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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preamble

The Sida Procurement Guidelines (SPG) serve as an instrument for undertaking efficient and sound procurement in projects/programmes financed by Sida, whilst at the same time ensuring a strong degree of transparency, fairness and integrity in the procurement and contract management process.

In terms of guiding principles and basic tendering procedures, these SPG essentially follow the World Bank and The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development’s (EBRD) procurement rules, the European Directives on public procurement, and consequently also the Swedish Public Procurement Act (LOU), as well as the World Trade Organisation (WTO) Government Procurement Agreement (GPA). If a question is raised how to interpret SPG in matters not addressed in SPG, a comparison with LOU shall be done. If there exists an established LOU legal interpretation for a corresponding situation, this interpretation shall also be applicable for SPG.

1.2 The Purpose

These SPG set out the procurement policies and rules to be followed in Sida-financed operations, when so agreed in the Agreement, for contracts covering goods, works and consultant services, between Sweden and another country. If deemed appropriate, these procurement guidelines can also be used in Agreements between Sida and other Co-operation Partners when justified.

Sida adopts a variety of financing and co-operation instruments in its development co-operation assistance. Those instruments should, whenever appropriate, address the procurement issue as well as define the relevant procurement policy framework and the specific provisions to be applied for the procurement of contracts subject to Sida-financing.

Unless Sida has otherwise agreed, procurement shall not be initiated before there is an effective Agreement concluded between Sida and the Co-operation Partner.

1.3 Definitions and Terminology

For the purpose of clarity and simplicity these SPG apply the following definitions:

a) The term Co-operation Partner is used throughout the SPG as a common expression for the party, which enters into the Agreement with Sida and is thereby responsible under the Agreement for the correct application of these SPG. The party may be a government, multilateral organisation, an NGO or a company.

b) Sida’s Representative is the common expression for a staff member of Sida’s organisation who is granted the authority to issue a “no objection” in respect of the various steps and components in the procurement and contract administration process.

c) With Firms means all suppliers of goods, works and services.

d) Agreement is used throughout the SPG as a common expression for the various financing and co-operation agreements and instruments applied by Sida in its
development co-operation work. The expression is also used for commercial contracts.

e) The term “No objection”, whenever used in the SPG, constitutes Sida's written communication to the Co-operation Partner that Sida’s review of tendering procedures and contract management concludes that agreed procedures has to be followed throughout the whole process as required by SPG and the Agreement.

f) “Procurement”: the purchase, leasing, rental or hire-purchase of goods, works or services.

g) with “Contract document” means the basic documentation for either “Tender Dossier” (services) or “Tender Documents” (goods, works and consultant services).

These SPG apply British English terminology using terms and expressions that are based on the vocabulary practised within the EBRD and WTO/ Government Procurement Agreement (GPA). Co-operation Partners are requested to use the same terminology in procurement documentation to be used for prequalification or tendering.

1.4 Applicability of the SPG

Subject to an effective Agreement established with the Co-operation Partner, these SPG, unless otherwise agreed, shall be applied in the following circumstances:

a) The Co-operation Partner undertakes the procurement and is the party to the contract;

b) The Co-operation Partner is the party to the Agreement, but another entity under a sub-agreement with the Co-operation Partner undertakes the procurement and concludes the contract;

c) The Agreement specifies that Sida may undertake procurement and conclude contracts subject to financing under the Agreement for the purpose of efficiently serving or monitoring the project.

1.5 When Sida applies the SPG

With reference to Clause 1.4 Applicability paragraph c), Sida shall apply these SPG, when so agreed in the Agreement, on the same condition as the Co-operation Partner but with the following exceptions:

- The word Co-operation Partner shall be replaced by Sida where applicable
- Clause 2.2 second paragraph on eligibility will not apply
- Clause 2.7 Procurement Secrecy and 5.13 on Confidentiality will not apply since the Swedish Public Access to Information and Secrecy Act will apply;
- Clause 3.16.1 and 3.16.2 on Tender Opening: (b) will apply and (a) will not apply.
- Clause 3.14 fourth paragraph on Submission of tenders in separate envelopes/documents is optional for Sida
- Clause 3.19 regarding Appeal will not apply
- Section 6 regarding Review of procurement decisions will not apply
2 PRINCIPLES AND CONSIDERATION

2.1 Basic Principles

Open and fair competition is the foundation for sound procurement. When financing projects and programmes Sida requires accountability, efficiency and that procurement decisions are based on commercial grounds only. This will affect the choice of procurement method and the documents and procedures that are used. Sida therefore requires its Co-operation Partners and own organisation, in all appropriate cases, to undertake the procurement of goods, works and services through competitive tendering procedures in accordance with the rules outlined in these SPG.

2.2 Eligibility

Procurement of goods, works and services for contracts financed by Sida shall be open to all firms and individuals from any country.

Where another rule on eligibility restricting participation is considered for a certain project or contract as a result of a decision by the Swedish government, the applicable rule shall be clearly addressed in the Agreement. The relevant eligibility rule to be applied shall also be stated in the invitation to tender or to prequalify (Letter of invitation or/and publication notice) irrespective of the procurement method used.

Sida shall not finance a contract or make payment to firms or individuals that are prohibited from participation by any act taken in compliance with a decision of the United Nations (UN) Security Council taken under Chapter VII of the Charter of the UN.

2.3 Co-operation Partner Responsibilities

Co-operation Partners are responsible for implementing the SPG in Sida financed projects and contracts, including all aspects of the procurement process from the stage of planning through to the award of contracts, as well as the administration of the contracts themselves.

The rights and obligations between the Co-operation Partner and the tenderers for goods and services to be furnished will be governed by the tender documents issued by the Co-operation Partner and not by these SPG.

2.4 Sida Responsibilities

In accordance with Section 6 Sida’s Review of Procurement Decisions, Sida conducts reviews to ensure that the tendering process has been carried out in accordance with agreed procedures, as required in the Agreement. Sida is responsible for procurement contracts concluded by itself.

If at any time in the procurement process (including after the award of contract) Sida concludes that the agreed tendering or contract administration procedures were not substantially followed in any material respect, Sida may declare that the contract is no longer eligible for financing. Sida will also declare a contract ineligible for financing if it determines that its “no objection” was based on incomplete, inaccurate or misleading information furnished by the Co-operation Partner.
2.5 Ethics in Procurement

It is a requirement of Sida that the Co-operation Partners, as well as tenderers, suppliers, contractors, and consultants under Sida-financed contracts observe the highest standards of ethics during the procurement and execution of such contracts. Sida requires that all parties concerned take measures to ensure that contracts and purchase orders relating to Sida financing are not used as devices for the transfer of gifts, payments or other benefits to public officials and/or procurement management/staff members with decision making responsibility or influence.

Sida defines corruption as an abuse of trust, power or position for improper gain. Corruption includes, among other things, taking and giving bribes, including bribing a foreign public official, embezzlement, conflict of interest and nepotism.

Sida's approach to corruption and other irregularities is to: always prevent, never accept, always inform and always act. The objective for Sida and its Co-operation Partners is to counteract corruption and other irregularities in Sida financed operations.

If a Co-operation Partner, firm or individual has been found by a judicial process, or an official enquiry undertaken by any of the IFI (International Financial Institutes) or bilateral donors, or by an independent Sida review to have engaged in corruption as defined above, Sida reserves the right to cancel all Sida financing for such Co-operation Partner, firm or individual. In a contract financed by Sida, a provision shall be included requiring firms or individuals to permit Sida to inspect their accounts and records relating to the performance of the contract and to have them audited by auditors appointed by Sida.

2.6 Conflict of Interest

No affiliate of the Co-operation Partner shall be eligible to tender or participate in a tender in any capacity whatsoever unless it can be demonstrated that there is not a significant degree of common ownership, influence or control between the Co-operation Partner and the affiliate.

Where a firm, its affiliates or parent company, in addition to consulting, also has the capability to manufacture or supply goods or to construct works, that firm, its affiliates or parent company normally cannot be a supplier of goods or works on a project for which it provides consulting services and vice versa, unless it can be demonstrated that there is not a significant degree of common ownership, influence or control. The only exceptions would be turn-key, single responsibility, public works concessions or similar undertakings where design, supply and construction activities are an integral part of the contract or where certain critical items of equipment are an essential part of the process design.

A tenderer may submit or participate in any capacity whatsoever in only one tender for each contract. Submission or participation by a tenderer in more than one tender for a contract (other than alternatives, which have been expressly permitted or requested by the Co-operation Partner) will result in the disqualification of all tenders for that contract in which the party is involved. However, this does not limit the inclusion of the same subcontractor in more than one tender.

Consultants shall provide professional, objective and impartial advice and at all times hold the Co-operation Partner's interest's paramount, without any consideration for future works, and strictly avoid conflicts with other assignments or their own corporate interests. Consultants or any of their affiliates shall not be engaged for any assignment
which, by its nature, may be in conflict with another assignment of the consultant. Sida may accept the participation of a consultant in a tender that has been engaged in the preparation of a project if it can be demonstrated that no unfair advantage is given to the consultant and that the tendering process offers equal opportunities to all tenderers.

2.7 Procurement Secrecy

The procurement process is confidential from the time of receipt and opening of tenders to the notification of the award. The handling of the issue of confidentiality may differ depending on whether it is the Co-operation Partner who undertakes the procurement or Sida itself. The invitation to tender or the instructions to tenderers shall state the applicable rule for the specific tender.

2.8 Sustainable Procurement

The sustainable use of natural resources and the protection of the environment belong to the fundamental objectives of Swedish development cooperation. The Co-operation Partner shall when procuring and wherever it is motivated take into account environmentally-sound products and/or services when devising criteria and requirements.

If there are relevant international and/or national frameworks, conventions or legislation, for example prohibiting certain chemicals or fertilizers, that are applicable for the services/goods to be procured, relevant requirements shall be incorporated in the Tender documents.

The Co-operation Partner shall as far as possible when procuring services, limit the amount of travel needed to perform the services and use virtual meetings, phone conferences etcetera to the greatest extent possible.

Sida requires Co-operation Partners and tenderers to respect and safeguard human rights as defined in the following Conventions of International Labour Standards of ILO (International Labour Organisation): Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organize Convention, (No. 87 and 98), Forced Labour Convention, (No. 29 and 105), Minimum Age Convention, (No. 138 and 182), Equal Remuneration Convention, (No. 100 and 111). The Co-operation Partner shall require and review compliance with these standards in its procurements to the greatest extent possible.
Procurement Planning

Sound and efficient planning of procurement is crucial for the successful outcome of a project. The Co-operation Partner must determine what goods, works and services, including such independent services that may not be procured under Section 5, are required to carry out the project, when they must be delivered, what standards and other considerations are needed, and which procurement and contracting procedure is most suitable for each contract. Sida’s requirement of a procurement plan shall be specified in the Agreement.

I. PROCUREMENT METHODS

The following procurement methods, under the rules and conditions described below, shall be used in the procurement of contracts for goods, works and services, including consultant services that are financed by Sida.

3.2 Open Tendering

Open Tendering procedures are those procedures under which all interested firms are given adequate notification of contract requirements and all eligible tenderers are given an equal opportunity to submit a tender. The Co-operation Partner must give sufficient public notification of tendering opportunities for potential tenderers to determine their interest and to prepare their tenders. Open Tendering may be conducted with or without prequalification proceedings.

Open Tendering is the principal method for procurement when the individual contract value in Swedish Kronor (SEK) exceeds:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SEK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goods</td>
<td>1,300 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>1,300 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works</td>
<td>10,000 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Co-operation Partners are also encouraged to apply Open Tendering below the above thresholds but may use other methods where the conditions specified further in this section are met.

3.2.1 Open Tendering with Prequalification

Co-operation Partners may require potential tenderers to prequalify when that is justified by the nature of the contracts and all tenderers that meet the prequalification criteria shall be invited to submit tenders. The notification for prequalification and the evaluation procedure shall be consistent with those for Open Tendering in these rules. In the procurement of consultant contracts, the prequalification proceedings correspond to the request for “Expressions of Interest” and the short-listing of qualified firms. The prequalification criteria, which shall be specified in the prequalification documents or in the invitation to prequalify, shall be based entirely upon the capability and resources of the prospective tenderers to perform the particular contract satisfactorily and they shall be in the form of pass/fail criteria as regards contracts for goods and works.
Prequalification or shortlisting for consultancy services will use another methodology as further described in 5.9.

3.2.2 Negotiated Procedure

If no tenders or no appropriate tenders are submitted subject to a notification under open tender procedure, the Co-operation Partner may initiate a Negotiated Procedure without further publication of a Procurement Notice provided the contract terms originally laid down in the contract documents have not been substantially altered. Such procedure shall, with the exemption of notification, be carried out in accordance with the procedure stated for Open Tendering, and subject to “No-objection” from Sida.

3.3 Selective Tendering

Selective Tendering procedures are similar to those for Open Tendering, except that qualified firms are preselected for each tender and invited in writing by a direct invitation. In order to ensure sufficient competition, the number of invited tenderers, normally, should not be less than three (3) firms. A tender from a firm, which is not pre-selected, shall be treated in the same way as a tender from an invited firm.

Selective Tendering may be used for awarding contracts when the value of the contract is less than:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>SEK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goods</td>
<td>1,300 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>1,300 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works</td>
<td>10,000 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selective Tendering includes the preparation of a complete set of tender documents and the use of formal opening procedures.

3.4 Simplified Tendering

Simplified Tendering is a procedure where prospective tenderers are invited by direct invitation in writing and the formal requirements are less strictly set compared to the procedures for Open and Selective Tendering. It may be used when the contract value is less than:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>SEK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goods</td>
<td>500 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>500 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Works</td>
<td>1,000 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simplified Tendering should be used in cases where a Co-operation Partner will carry out procurement for readily available off-the-shelf items or products and services of a similar nature, and will include the following features:

- the invitation, normally to not less than three (3) firms,
- the tender and contract documentation can be prepared in a simple format;
- no public or formal opening of tenders is required;
3.5 Single Source Procurement/Selection

Single source procurement for goods or Single Selection for consultant services may be used in exceptional cases or when the contract value is less than:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SEK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goods</td>
<td>100 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant Services</td>
<td>100 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single source procurement, when the above thresholds are exceeded, may be justified in the following cases:

a) The extension of an existing contract awarded in accordance with the procedures for Open or Selective Tendering for additional goods, works or services of a similar nature would clearly be economic and efficient and where no advantage would be obtained by further competition. In the case of a consultant contract, the contracts maximum value shall not exceed 50% of the original contract, unless otherwise agreed with Sida.

b) A product or consultant services can only be provided by a single firm because of exclusive capabilities, exceptional worth for the assignment or proprietary rights;

c) Standardisation with existing equipment is determined to be important and justified, and compatible goods cannot be provided by other suppliers;

d) It is a case of extreme urgency not foreseeable or attributable to the Co-operation Partner.

II. OTHER RULES

3.6 Valuation of Contracts and Thresholds

For the purpose of determining the applicable procurement method, Co-operation Partners shall ensure that the estimation of the contract value is made correctly and cover all costs related to the specific contract, whether it is a so called one-off contract or a time-based contract for a certain period of time. Any form of options and extensions of the contract shall be included when estimating the contract value.

No procurement requirement for a given quantity or input of a contract may be split-up with the intention of avoiding the application of a formal procurement method.

3.7 Notification and Advertising

Timely notification of tendering opportunities is essential in competitive tendering. For this purpose, Sida requires Co-operation Partners under Open Tendering to publish invitations to prequalify or to tender and to make known the requirements to the public in the following manner:

a) Whenever applicable, in at least one newspaper of national circulation in the country of the Co-operation Partner.

b) The Co-operation Partner shall publish a “Procurement Notice” on its website and send the “Procurement Notice” to Sida for publication.
c) when so required by Sida, publication in one or more of the following publications; the UN publication Development Business, the Tenders Electronic Daily (TED) of the Official Journal of the European Communities, and the OECD DAC’s Internet Procurement Bulletin Board. Publication of notices in international technical magazines and newspapers of wide circulation is encouraged.

The invitation to prequalify or tender shall be sent by email and contain all information necessary to guide the prospective tenderers about the requirements, how to obtain the tender documents, and the place and deadline for submission of tenders.

The tender documents shall be accessible electronically or via email and no fee may be charged to obtain them.

3.8 Time Limits

Prescribed time limits for the preparation and submission of applications to prequalify or tenders shall be sufficient for all tenderers to prepare and submit applications or tenders. The following minimum time limits apply from the date of publication:

a) Open Tendering with Prequalification
   - Prequalification/ “Expressions of Interest” 30 days
   - Submission of Tender 30 days
b) Open Tendering 30 days
c) Selective Tendering 30 days
d) Simplified Tendering 15 days

For large and complex contracts, the time limits under Open Tendering should be extended considerably, normally to not less than 90 days.

3.9 Language

Tender documentation, including all published procurement notices, shall be prepared in English (preferred language), French or Spanish. The chosen and governing language shall be stated in the Agreement between Sida and the Co-operation Partner and in the official tender documentation. In addition, the Co-operation Partner may prepare a further set of the tender documentation in other languages in order to assist local firms in tendering. However, the English, French or Spanish text and the interpretation thereof govern the tender documentation. A text in another language than the governing language is to be regarded only as a translation and as a guide to local firms.

3.10 Qualification of Tenderers

In tenders where a prequalification of tenderers has not been carried out, the Co-operation Partner shall assess the qualifications of a tenderer as part of tender evaluation in order to determine whether it is capable of performing a possible contract satisfactorily.

The qualification of tenderers may be undertaken as a post-qualification in the final step of the tender evaluation for goods and works. Alternatively, the assessment of tenderer qualifications may take place during the preliminary examination of tenders. The qualification of tenderers for consultant contracts shall always be undertaken before the detailed technical evaluation of tenders is initiated.
The tender documents for goods and works and the tender dossier for consultant services shall state the minimum qualification requirements that have to be met by the tenderers in order to qualify for the award of contract. Such minimum requirements shall cover the following aspects, as appropriate:

a) Necessary professional, technical and organisational capacity, financial resources and other required facilities to perform a contract;

b) Proven experience, including the satisfactory completion of similar contracts;

c) Legal capacity to enter into a contract.

To ensure that the firms are qualified in accordance with the criteria referred to in the above section, the Co-operation Partner may, as appropriate, require the tenderers to provide the necessary documentary evidence or other information it may deem useful. The requirements specified shall be relevant for the particular procurement and be based on objective grounds. References shall, where possible, be made to European or international standards, such as EN 2900 and ISO 9000.

Consequently, the evaluation of the qualifications of the tenderers must be carried out solely in accordance with the criteria and procedures laid down in the tender documents.

3.11 Grounds for Disqualification

A firm may be excluded from the evaluation and the award of a contract, who:

a) is bankrupt or is being wound up, whose affairs are being administered by court, who has entered into an arrangement with creditors, who has suspended business activities or who is subject of an injunction against running business by court;

b) is the subject of proceedings for a declaration of bankruptcy, for an order for compulsory winding up or administration by court, or for an arrangement with creditors or of any other similar proceedings;

c) has been convicted of an offence concerning his professional conduct by a court;

d) has been found guilty of grave professional misconduct; or

e) has not fulfilled obligations relating to payments of taxes or social security contributions.

3.12 Verification of Qualifications

In addition to the verifications necessary to determine a firm's qualifications according to the various requirements set out above, prior to contract award, the Co-operation Partner, unless deemed unnecessary, shall ensure that a tenderer meets formal requirements as stipulated under national law. A tenderer would normally be required to include in its tender evidence that it:

a) is registered by a national Registrars of Companies (Swedish Companies Registration Office, SCRO, or a corresponding national official register for non-Swedish firms);
b) is registered for declaration and payment of value added tax or a similar sales tax in accordance with national legislation;

c) has declared preliminary taxes for staff and employee contributions; and

d) is free from debts regarding taxes and social security contributions.

In case of a request to the tenderer for the information referred to above, it shall be stated in the tender document/tender dossier, the procurement notice or letter of invitation in what manner the tenderer must provide such information. Failure to furnish such information may be a ground for rejection.

A firm not registered by the SCRO or by a corresponding national official register as well as one not registered for declaration and payment of value added tax in accordance with national legislation shall be excluded from participation in the tender proceedings.

3.13 Clarifications

3.13.1 Clarification of Contract Documents

Tenderers shall have the right to seek clarifications on any aspect of the Contract documents and receive responses from the Co-operation Partner in good time before the deadline for submission of tenders, normally not less than 10 days prior to the deadline. The responses prepared by the Co-operation Partner shall be sent to all firms that have received the Contract Documents at the same time and without disclosing the names of the prospective tenderers. If the tender documents have been published on an electronic tender portal or similar, all requests for clarification and responses shall be published there to ensure that all tenderers get access to the same information at the same time.

3.13.2 Clarification of Tenders

The Co-operation Partner may also request clarification of a tender, if this can take place without risk of preferential treatment or limitation of competition.

The Co-operation Partner may also request for clarification or amplification of a certificate, written proof or other documentation that has been submitted as laid down in Clauses 3.10, 3.11 and 3.12.

3.14 Submission of Tenders

For procurements using open tendering or selective tendering, tenders shall be submitted via mail, direct delivery, or through an electronic tender portal or similar. For procurements using simplified tendering or single source procurement, tender submission via electronic mail is also allowed, if agreed upon between Sida and the Co-operation Partner.

The Co-operation Partner shall allow enough time for tender preparation. The time allowed shall depend on the assignment but shall never be less than the minimum time limits set out in Clause 3.8. For more complex procurements, it may be appropriate to arrange a pre-tender meeting between the invitation and the deadline for submission. This shall be stated in the invitation to submit tenders and all firms that have declared their intention to submit a tender shall be invited to attend.
If necessary, the Co-operation Partner may extend the deadline for submission of
tenders. If such a decision is taken, the tenderers shall be informed of the decision to
extend the deadline, not less than 7 days before the deadline for submission of
tenders.

When the procurement relates to consultant services, technical and financial proposals
shall be submitted at the same time but in separate envelopes. If tenders are submitted
electronically, the technical and financial proposals shall be submitted in two separate
documents.

3.15 Validity of Tenders

The period of validity of the tender shall be specified in the tender documents and be
sufficient to enable the Co-operation Partner to complete the evaluation of tenders and
conclude a contract with the successful tenderer, including receiving Sida’s “No
objection” when so required. This would normally be in the range of 60 - 90 days. In
exceptional circumstances, the Co-operation Partner may request the tenderers to
extend the validity of their tenders, subject to Sida’s “No-objection”.

3.16 Tender Opening

3.16.1 Goods and Works

Tenders solicited under Open and Selective Tendering procedures for goods and
works shall be received and opened under procedures and conditions guaranteeing the
regularity of the opening as well as the availability of information from the opening. The
following procedures will apply:

a) Tenders opened under procedures where the Co-operation Partner is
responsible for the procurement.

Tenders shall be opened as soon as possible after the tender submission
deadline. The tender opening shall be attended by a committee of at least two
officials. The received bids and the total price for each tender shall be recorded
in a tender opening form. The tender opening form shall be signed by both
officials. At the time and place stated in the tender documents, the name of the
tenderers and the total price of each tender shall be read aloud in the presence
of the tenderers or their representatives that choose to attend. The tender
opening form shall be distributed to all tenderers as well as to Sida as soon as
possible after its public presentation.

Sida shall have the right to nominate an observer to attend the tender opening
procedure.

A non-public procedure may be used by the Co-operation Partner under
Simplified Tendering and Single Source Procurement.

Physical tenders received after the deadline for submission shall be rejected
and returned unopened, see Clause 5.10, fourth paragraph.
b) **Tenders opened under procedures where Sida is responsible for the procurement.**

Opening of tenders shall be carried out in a non-public meeting the second day after the deadline for submission of tenders and all tenders shall be recorded in a form issued and signed by two persons appointed by Sida.

### 3.16.2 Consultant services

a) **Tenders opened under procedures where the Co-operation Partner is responsible for the procurement**

The technical proposals shall be opened as soon as possible after the closing time for the submission of the tenders by a committee of at least two officials. The received bids shall be recorded in a tender opening form. The tender opening form shall be signed by all two officials. The financial proposals shall remain unopened until the technical evaluation has been completed and thereafter opened, provided they meet the minimum technical score. Physical tenders received after the closing time for submission shall be returned unopened.

b) **Tenders opened under procedures where Sida is responsible for the procurement**

Opening of tenders shall be carried out in a non-public meeting the day after the deadline for submission of tenders and all tenders shall be recorded in a form issued and signed by two persons appointed by Sida.

### 3.17 Rejection of All Tenders

Rejection of all tenders shall only be done in exceptional circumstances. It may be justified when there is a lack of effective competition, where the budget is extensively exceeded, or where there is a lack of responsive tenders.

### 3.18 Joint Ventures

The formation of joint ventures is allowed in tendering for Sida-financed contracts when so stated in the tender documents. Any tender shall be signed by all partners of the joint venture, so as to legally bind all partners, jointly and severally, and any tender shall be submitted with a copy of the joint venture agreement providing for joint and several liabilities with respect to the contract, including the nomination of the lead firm of the joint venture. []

### 3.19 Appeal

Tenderers with the view they have been harmed by an error or irregularity during the award process may file a complaint with the Co-operation Partner directly.

The Co-operation Partner shall immediately inform Sida of such complaint and must respond to the complainant within a reasonable time as well as send a copy to Sida.

If the outcome of above procedure does not satisfy the complainant, the tenderer may have recourse to procedures established under the Co-operation Partner’s national legislation.
4 PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND WORKS

In this section, a number of areas related specifically to the procurement of goods and works will be addressed including such independent services that may not be procured in accordance with section 5.

4.1 Tendering Procedures

The selection of procurement methods to be used in the procurement of goods and works are laid down in Section 3. Procurement methods and common rules applicable to all contracts, including the thresholds applicable for the various procurement methods and rules on advertising and time limits.

4.2 Tender Documents

The tender documents are the focal point in the tendering process and shall furnish all information necessary for a prospective tenderer to prepare a responsive tender for the goods and works to be provided. While the detail and complexity of these documents may vary with the size and nature of the tender package and contract, they generally should include:

a) Invitation to Tender;
b) Instructions to Tenderers;
c) General and Special Conditions of Contract;
d) Technical Specifications;
e) Schedule of Requirements;
f) Tender Form;
g) Contract Form;
h) Appendices.

Tender documents shall be drafted so as to permit and encourage the widest possible competition. They shall clearly define the scope of works, goods and associated services to be supplied, the rights and obligations of the purchaser and of suppliers and contractors, and the conditions to be met in order for a tender to be declared substantially responsive, and they shall set out fair and non-discriminatory criteria for selecting the winning tender.

4.3 Standards and Specifications

Standards and technical specifications referred to in tender documents shall promote and allow the broadest possible competition, while assuring the critical performance or other requirements for the goods and/or works under procurement. Co-operation Partners should use European (EN) and international standards (ISO) and specifications wherever these are available and appropriate. The use of performance related specifications is encouraged and may be appropriate to include when full comparability for evaluation purposes between the tenders can be achieved. The use of brand names and similar references should be avoided. If necessary, to quote a brand name of a particular manufacturer to clarify an otherwise incomplete specification, the words “or equivalent” shall be added after such reference.
4.4 Domestic Preferences

Sida does not allow the inclusion of domestic preferences for local firms in the tender documents for evaluation purposes.

4.5 Tender Securities

A tender security, in the amount specified in the tender documents, affording the Co-operation Partner reasonable protection against irresponsible tenders may be acceptable, but it shall not be set so high as to discourage tenderers and the amount would normally fall within the range of 2-5% of the estimated contract price.

4.6 Evaluation Criteria

The Co-operation Partner shall award the contract to the tenderer who has submitted the most economically advantageous for the Co-operation Partner:

Which tender is most economically advantageous shall be assessed based on one of the following grounds:
1. best price-quality ratio,
2. cost, or
3. price.

In the procurement documents it must be indicated which grounds for evaluation of tenders it intends to use.

4.6.1. Tender with the best price – quality ratio

When tender documents are being evaluated based on the basis of best price / quality ratio, it shall evaluate the tender based on criteria connected to the subject-matter to be purchased.

An award criterion is considered connected to the supplies, services or works to be purchased if the criterion in some way relates to the supplies, services or works at any time during their life cycle.

The award criteria shall ensure effective competition and must not give the Co-operation Partner unlimited freedom of choice. They should be presented so that it, based on the supplier's information, is possible to review how well a tender satisfies the criteria. If there is cause, the Co-operation Partner shall review that the supplier's information is correct.

4.6.2 Tender with the lowest cost

When a Co-operation Partner evaluates a tender on the basis of cost, the Co-operation Partner shall assess the effects of the tender in regard to cost-efficiency, for instance, through analysing the costs throughout the life cycle of the supplies, services or works.

Factors other than price to be used for determining the most economically advantageous tender should be quantifiable and expressed in monetary terms, or where that is not practicable, given a relative weight in the evaluation provisions of the tender documents.
4.6.3. **Tender with the lowest price**

This method may be appropriate for goods and works of a standard or routine nature where well-established specifications and standards exist. Under this method, a minimum of technical points for quality is established. Those tenders securing the minimum threshold are determined qualified. Thereafter the tender with the lowest price shall be selected.

4.7 **Award of Contract**

The Co-operation Partner shall award the contract to the tenderer whose tender has been determined to be substantially responsive and has been determined to be the most favourable tender, provided further that the tenderer is determined qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily. The award decision must be made in writing in an evaluation report and the report shall be distributed to all tenderers.

4.8 **Evaluation Procedure**

The following steps and considerations should normally be followed and made in the examination and evaluation of tenders:

a) Prior to the detailed evaluation, the Co-operation Partner will examine each tender to determine whether it is complete, the documents are properly signed (scanned signatures are accepted for tenders submitted electronically), it is substantially responsive to the tender documents and that no computational errors have been made;

b) The Co-operation Partner may at this stage undertake an examination of the tenderer’s qualifications in accordance with the qualification requirements specified in the tender documents in order to determine whether the tenderer is qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily. Alternatively, the determination of a tenderer’s qualifications may be made when the evaluation is completed as a post-qualification of the tenderer whose tender has been determined the lowest evaluated;

c) A substantially responsive tender is one that conforms to all the terms, conditions and specifications of the tender documents, without material deviation, reservation or omission. A material deviation, reservation or omission is one (i) which affects in any substantial way, the scope, quality or performance of the goods, (ii) which limits in any substantial way the rights and obligations under the contract, or (iii) the rectification of which would affect unfairly the competitive position of the other tenderers;

d) The Co-operation Partner may waive any minor informality, non-conformity or irregularity, provided that such waiver does not prejudice or affect the relative ranking of any tenderer;

e) If a tender is not substantially responsive, it will be rejected and may not subsequently be made responsive by any correction of the tender;

f) The Co-operation Partner shall thereafter analyse those tenders determined substantially responsive, and the award shall be made to the tenderer that has submitted the most favourable tender.
4.11 Negotiations

Negotiations on price are not permitted with tenderers prior to the award decision, other than in connection with Negotiated Procedure or single source procurement. Clarifications in writing in respect of a tender may be sought by the Co-operation Partner during the evaluation process.

In the course of concluding a contract with the successful tenderer, the Co-operation Partner may invite the winner for a meeting in order to discuss and agree on outstanding issues related to the contract to the extent that no substantial change is made to the basis on which the award decision was made.

4.10 Contract Models

Unless otherwise agreed, all contracts shall be concluded directly between the Co-operation Partner and the selected supplier or contractor. Co-operation Partners may use appropriate international contract models for the delivery of goods and works with associated services, such as the World Bank’s sample contracts for goods and works as well as the various FIDIC (the International Federation of Consulting Engineers) contract formats appropriately adapted to Sida’s requirements as set out in the Agreement.
5 PROCUREMENT OF CONSULTANT SERVICES

5.1 Introduction

The main concern when selecting consultants should be the quality of the services that are provided. The concept of quality in this context covers the entirety of factors subject to evaluation of the technical proposals, such as the qualifications of the consultant’s team and the suitability of the approach and methodology indicated by the tenderer in its tender. The procedures for selecting consultants and contracting for their services shall be competitive and transparent to ensure that assignments can be efficiently executed in a cost-effective way with high standards of performance, while providing the necessary degree of accountability.

5.2 Tendering Procedures

The selection of consultants will fundamentally rest on the same principles and basically follow the same procurement methods as laid down in Section 3. Procurement methods and common rules applicable to all contracts, including the thresholds applicable for the various procurement methods and rules on advertising and time limits. However, there are some significant features associated with the procurement of consultant services which generate the need to design and adopt special procedures to be used in order to ensure the quality of the firms to be retained as well as to secure the observation of requirements of objectivity and transparency in the evaluation and award of contracts. The term “Tender” as used in the following is normally composed of a Technical and Financial Proposal.

One of the following procurement procedures shall be used:

a) **Open Tendering with prequalification**

   This procedure should normally be used for large and complex consultant contracts where it is necessary to limit the number of tenderers for evaluation purposes.

   The first step is the publication of an invitation notice where firms are invited to submit “Expressions of Interest” in order to establish a formal shortlist of qualified firms. The next step is to determine which firms are to be included in the shortlist based on the criteria stated in the invitation and thereafter invite those firms short-listed (in the range 3-6 firms) to submit a Tender based on the tender dossier issued by the Co-operation Partner.

b) **Open Tendering**

   The invitation to tender, which may be combined, with a direct invitation of pre-identified firms, shall be made by the publication of a contract notice.

   Since no formal shortlist is prepared, all eligible firms are invited to submit a tender. However, the invitation to tender and the tender dossier shall state the minimum qualification requirements needed in order to determine which firms are qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily. Those firms not meeting the minimum qualification requirements will be rejected as non-responsive and excluded from further participation.
c) **Selective and Simplified Tendering**

This involves a direct invitation, normally not less than three firms chosen on objective grounds.

d) **Single Source Selection**

A qualified consultant firm or individual may be selected directly, when so justified (see Clause 3.5 Single source procurement/Selection), without the requirement to prepare a shortlist. The firm shall be asked to prepare a technical and financial proposal on the basis of a ToR furnished by the Co-operation Partner and acceptable to Sida, which may then be negotiated.

### 5.3 Selection and Evaluation Variants

The Co-operation Partner shall award the contract to the tenderer who has submitted the most economically advantageous for the Partner:

Which tender is most economically advantageous shall be assessed based on one of the following grounds:

1. best price-quality ratio,
2. cost, or
3. price.

In the procurement documents it must be indicated which grounds for evaluation of tenders it intends to use.

#### 5.3.1 Tender with the best price – quality ratio

When tender documents are being evaluated on the basis of best price / quality ratio, it shall evaluate the tender based on criteria connected to the subject-matter to be purchased.

An award criterion is considered to be connected to the supplies, services or works to be purchased if the criterion in some way relates to the supplies, services or works at any time during their life cycle.

The award criteria shall ensure effective competition and must not give the Co-operation Partner unlimited freedom of choice. They should be presented so that it, based on the supplier’s information, is possible to review how well a tender satisfies the criteria. If there is cause, the Co-operation Partner shall review that the supplier’s information is correct.

#### 5.3.2 Tender with the lowest cost

When a Co-operation Partner evaluates a tender on the basis of cost, the Co-operation Partner shall assess the effects of the tender in regard to cost-efficiency, for instance, through analyzing the costs throughout the life cycle of the supplies, services or works.

#### 5.3.3 Tender with the lowest price

This method may be appropriate for assignments of a standard or routine nature (audits and engineering design of non-complex works, and so forth) where well-established practices and standards exist. Under this method, a minimum of technical
points for quality is established. Those tenders securing the minimum threshold are determined qualified. Thereafter the firm with the lowest price shall be selected.

5.4 The Procurement Process

The procurement process for consultants under Open and Selective Tendering normally involves the following steps:

a) Preparation of Terms of Reference (ToR) by defining the scope, objectives and deliverables, as well as determining the selection method and evaluation basis to be followed;

b) Preparation of cost estimate and the budget;

c) Preparation of the complete Tender Dossier normally comprising:
   a. Invitation to Tender,
   b. Instructions to Tenderers,
   c. Terms of Reference (ToR),
   d. Draft Contract;

d) Advertising and/or the preparation of a shortlist of qualified firms (which varies with the selection method chosen);

e) Receipt and the formal opening of tenders according to 3.16.2;

f) Evaluation of Technical Proposals;

g) Evaluation of Financial Proposals;

h) Preparation of complete Tender Evaluation Report;

i) Negotiations and award of contract to the selected firm.

When Simplified or Single Source Procurement is used all the steps as listed in the above process are not needed.

5.5 Terms of Reference (ToR)

The ToR shall clearly and comprehensively describe the background, including objectives and scope of the project supported, the objectives, including intended longer-term effects, the scope of the assignment, the tasks to be performed and the time schedule for them, the deliverables, as well as the reporting requirements and other implementation arrangements.

Full harmonisation between objectives, tasks, deliverables and time schedule should be obtained.

The scope of the services described in the ToR shall be compatible with the available budget.

5.6 Cost Estimate (Budget)

Preparation of a realistic cost estimate is essential for the financial planning and Sida’s allocation of resources to a project and for the specific assignment and contract. Costs shall be divided into two broad categories (a) fees and (b) reimbursables.
5.7 Tender Dossier

The Tender Dossier shall furnish all information necessary for a prospective tenderer to prepare a responsive and competitive tender for the services to be provided. While the detail and complexity of these documents will vary with the size and nature of the proposed contract, they shall include:

a) The Invitation to Tender which shall state the intention of the Co-operation Partner to enter into a contract for the provision of consulting services, the type of tendering method used, eligibility requirements, the source of funds (Sida), the details of the Co-operation Partner, any qualification requirements, the date, time and address for submission, and the required validity period of the tenders. The validity period shall be sufficient (normally 60-90 days) to enable the Co-operation Partner to complete the comparison and evaluation of tenders, and to allow for Sida’s review and “no objection”.

b) The Instructions to Tenderers which shall contain all necessary information that would help the consultants prepare responsive tenders, and shall bring as much transparency as possible to the selection procedure by providing information on the evaluation process and by indicating the evaluation criteria and factors and their respective weights and the minimum passing quality score.

c) A draft contract, that takes into account the special conditions and provisions applicable under Sida financing. The Co-operation Partner may use Sida’s Contract for Consulting Services or other appropriate international contract models, such as those issued by the World Bank or others acceptable to Sida. Any changes or amendments to the general conditions should be introduced as special conditions of contract, with the general conditions remaining unchanged.

5.8 Advertising

Invitations to tender for consultancy contracts shall be done in accordance with the notification and advertising rules and procedures laid down in Clause 3.7. Notification and Advertising.

In case no formal shortlist of consultants is prepared, as being the case under Open Tendering without prequalification, the invitation to tender instead shall state the minimum qualification requirements that will be applied to the tender. Tenderers that fail to meet these minimum qualification requirements will be excluded from further participation and evaluation.

5.9 Preparation of Shortlists of Consultants

The formal shortlisting of qualified firms to be invited to submit a tender is the first step under Open Tendering with prequalification and requires the publication of a notice for “Expressions of Interest”.

Formal shortlists of consultants should normally include no less than three and no more than six qualified and experienced consultants.

All relevant expressions of interest and other information on consultants should be collected that would be considered for shortlisting. The shortlisting criteria shall be worked out in advance, preferably in the form of shortlisting grids. The three to six consultants having received the highest score then constitute the shortlist.
When the shortlisted consultants have been invited to submit proposals, the other firms shall be informed in writing that they were not shortlisted this time.

A shortlist of firms is also established in connection with the use of Selective Tendering and Simplified Tendering but without the publishing of an invitation notice. Instead, the shortlisting will be based on market knowledge of qualified consultant firms and available lists of firms that have declared their interest with Sida or the Co-operation Partners to participate in tenders for certain areas of contracts.

5.10 Evaluation of Tenders under Quality and Cost-Based Selection

The evaluation of tenders shall be carried out in two stages: first the quality and then the cost. Evaluators of the technical proposals shall not have access to the financial proposals until the technical evaluation is concluded. Financial proposals shall be opened only after the technical evaluation has been completed. The combined evaluation of cost and quality shall be carried out in full conformity with the tender dossier.

The Co-operation Partner shall evaluate each technical proposal, taking into account several criteria such as: the consultant’s experience, the quality of the methodology, the qualifications of key staff, and other factors in accordance with the tender dossier. A proposal shall be rejected at this stage if it fails to achieve a minimum technical score as specified in the tender dossier. In the absence of a formal shortlisting of the tenderers, the Co-operation Partner shall conclude at this stage whether the tenderers meet the minimum qualification requirements as stated in the tender dossier.

At the end of the process, the Co-operation Partner shall prepare a technical evaluation report. The report shall substantiate the results of the evaluation, describe the relative strengths and weaknesses of the proposals and indicate the technical scores of each proposal.

The Co-operation Partner shall open, the financial proposals of those tenders that met the minimum technical score, according to the procedures indicated above, see Clause 3.16.1 a) and 3.16.2 b). The total score shall be obtained by weighting the quality and cost scores and adding them. The weighting principles for technical and financial merits shall be done as specified in the tender dossier and, normally, the weight for cost shall be in the range of 20 – 40 points out of a total score of 100 points.

Evaluation procedures that include hearings or the presentation of tenders by the tenderers during this phase should not normally be practised, except for cases where it is determined necessary to conduct interviews with key members of the proposed team to determine their suitability for the positions.

The Co-operation Partner shall prepare a final evaluation report and the firm obtaining the highest total score shall be invited for negotiations.

5.12 Negotiations and Award of Contract

Negotiations may include discussions of the ToR, the methodology, staffing, Co-operation Partner’s input, tax liability and special conditions of contract. These discussions shall not alter the original ToR, the terms of the contract, the quality of the final product, its cost or the relevance of the initial evaluation. The final ToR and the agreed methodology shall be incorporated as an attachment to the contract. Normally, proposed unit rates for fees shall not be negotiated, since these have already been a factor of selection in the cost of the financial proposal.
The selected firm shall not be allowed to substitute key staff, unless both parties agree. If it is established that key staff were offered in the proposal without confirming their availability, the firm may be disqualified and the process continued with the next ranked firm. The key staff proposed for substitution shall have qualifications equal to or better than the staff initially proposed.

If the negotiations fail to result in an acceptable contract, the Co-operation Partner shall terminate the negotiations and invite the next ranked firm for negotiations. The Co-operation Partner shall consult with Sida before taking this step. Once negotiations are commenced with the next ranked firm, the Co-operation Partner shall not reopen the earlier negotiations.

Negotiations with all firms meeting the requirements on technical scoring are not acceptable.

The award decision must be made in writing in an evaluation report and the report shall be distributed to all unsuccessful Tenderers.

5.13 Confidentiality

Information related to evaluation of tenders and recommendations concerning awards shall not be disclosed to the consultants who submitted the proposals or to other persons not officially concerned with the process, until the award of contract is notified to the successful firm, except as provided in the above paragraph.

Tenders and information concerning tenderers shall be regarded as confidential until a contract has been signed or the procurement finalised in another fashion. The Co-operation Partner shall ensure that its employees, its consultants and their personnel and any other person involved respect the provisions regarding confidentiality set out in these SPG.
6 SIDA’S REVIEW OF PROCUREMENT DECISIONS

Sida reviews the procurement process for the procurement of goods, works and services with respect to its fiduciary responsibility to ensure that tendering and contract management are carried out in accordance with agreed procedures as required by Sida Procurement Guidelines and the Agreement. Sida will communicate the result of its review in the form of either a “no objection” or a statement that Sida is not in a position to issue a “no objection”.

1) Sida’s prior review is mandatory in the following manner for all SPG procurements with a contract value over the threshold value SEK 500,000 unless otherwise agreed in the Agreement

A. Goods and Works

- Prior to an invitation to prequalify or tender, the Co-operation Partner shall submit the complete draft set of prequalification or tender documents to Sida for its review;
- Use of Negotiated Procedure in accordance with 3.2.2;
- Prior to finalising a prequalification list or awarding a contract, a detailed prequalification or tender evaluation report setting forth the specific reasons on which the recommendation to prequalify or award the contract is based shall be submitted, to Sida for its review;
- The Co-operation Partner shall submit the draft final contract and any amendments to Sida for its review.

B. Consultant Services

- Prior an invitation to prequalify or tender, the Co-operation Partner shall submit the complete draft set of prequalification or tender documents to Sida for its review;
- Use of Negotiated Procedure in accordance with 3.2.2;
- Prior to finalising a prequalification list, a prequalification evaluation report shall be submitted to Sida for review. The report shall set forth the reasons to why certain tenderers has been deemed qualified;
- Prior to opening the financial proposal, a detailed technical evaluation report shall be submitted to Sida for its review;
- Prior to inviting a selected firm for negotiations, a complete tender evaluation report in accordance with 5.10 shall be submitted to Sida for review;
- The Co-operation Partner shall submit the final draft contract and any amendments to Sida for its review.

2) In addition, Sida may require the following prior review actions regarding all types of contracts (goods, works and services):

- Use of Single source procurement;
- Extension of period of tender validity;
- Rejection of all tenders;
- The modification or waiver of the terms and conditions of a contract or granting a material extension of the stipulated time for performance or issuing any change.