The overall purpose of the multidimensional poverty analysis is to ensure that Sida’s operations are more relevant for people living in poverty. This means that the conclusions from the MDPA should be integrated into the various operational decisions related to design and implementation of the strategy.

Operational decision making requires consideration of various political priorities and contextual information. Key conclusions from the poverty analysis and assessment should also be included as a factor in decision making. Decision factors include:

- Conclusions of the poverty analysis and assessment of Sida’s operations.
- Swedish priorities and comparative advantages.
- Current strategy.
- Other donors.
- National priorities.

**Operationalisation** is one of the important opportunities to manage the portfolio and to integrate conclusions about multidimensional poverty. Another important opportunity is when the yearly Strategy Reports are being prepared. Operational teams have an opportunity to reflect on the progress towards the strategy goals and to assess the relevance of their portfolio.

The **in-depth strategy reports**, which usually occurs after the halfway point of the strategy period, is a particularly important moment to reflect on the relevance of the portfolio in terms of people living in poverty and can serve as a basis for **development of strategy proposal**.

The following questions should be considered when assessing the relevance of the portfolio:

**The short-term:** To what extent is there a need to further strengthen the focus of the portfolio on reduction of poverty? To what extent is there room and need to adjust within the contributions: target groups, partners, areas addressed? Which target groups, partners, areas, approaches should be prioritized given the conclusions?

**The medium-term:** To what extent is there room and need to adjust the composition of the portfolio under the current strategy? Which themes/sectors/partners should be prioritized given the conclusions?

**The long-term:** To what extent is there a need to adjust the focus (thematic/sectoral, partners, target groups) of the Swedish support during the next strategy period? New areas/themes/sectors? New partners and/or target groups? Which themes/sectors/partners should be prioritized given the conclusions?