Structures and Relations of Power

Ethiopia
This paper was commissioned by Sida from Sarah Vaughan and Kjetil Tronvoll, as a resource to enrich and inform policy dialogue between Sida and the Government of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia.

Sarah Vaughan is a Research Consultant and Honorary Fellow of the School of Social and Political Studies of the University of Edinburgh. Kjetil Tronvoll is Director of the Africa Programme of the Norwegian Centre for Human Rights of the University of Oslo.

The layout and subject matter covered by the report reflect Sida’s requirements (as per the Terms of Reference given in Annex). The views expressed, however, are those of the authors. They do not reflect the views of Sida, or of any other institution.

The paper was drafted and revised between May and August 2002. No attempt has been made to update it to take account of subsequent developments. The paper is a desk study, and does not draw on research undertaken specifically for this purpose.
# Table of Contents

Table of Contents ..............................................................................................................................................ii
Glossary/Acronyms ................................................................................................................................................iv
Executive Summary ................................................................................................................................................vi
Introduction: central themes ............................................................................................................................1
Understanding reform, measuring change, evaluating transformation .........................................................1
The dynamics of reform ................................................................................................................................1
Measuring and evaluating change ..................................................................................................................2
Power and collective perceptions of power .....................................................................................................4
Some theoretical reflections ..........................................................................................................................4
The current context .........................................................................................................................................5
Economic confidence .......................................................................................................................................5
Political confidence .........................................................................................................................................7
Ideological tools & resources: revolutionary capitalism, pluralism, democratic centralism .........................8
Revolutionary v liberal democracy ..................................................................................................................8
The ‘national question’ ..................................................................................................................................9
Pluralism, dialogue and the development process ..........................................................................................9
‘Political culture’ in Ethiopia ..........................................................................................................................10
Issues for the future: resource conflict ..........................................................................................................13
A) The state institutions .................................................................................................................................15
The Constitution ..........................................................................................................................................15
The nature of the Federation ..........................................................................................................................15
Self-determination and secession ...................................................................................................................16
Division of powers and functions between State and Federal Governments ............................................16
The executive ...................................................................................................................................................18
Federal Ministries and Superministries .........................................................................................................18
The National Regional States ......................................................................................................................20
The Legislature ..............................................................................................................................................21
The Judiciary ..................................................................................................................................................22
Questions of capacity ...................................................................................................................................22
… and judicial independence .........................................................................................................................23
A new surrogate arena for political competition? .........................................................................................24
Public Administration ......................................................................................................................................24
B) The political party system ..........................................................................................................................27
The EPRDF parties .........................................................................................................................................27
EPRDF-affiliated (‘alliance’) parties ................................................................................................................29
The TPLF crisis of 2001 ..................................................................................................................................29
Opposition parties ..........................................................................................................................................30
Diaspora/armed opposition ............................................................................................................................32
Concluding remarks .......................................................................................................................................32
C) The links between the state and the ruling party coalition .......................................................................35
State – party relations .....................................................................................................................................36
Decentralisation ..............................................................................................................................................37
The ‘peripheral’ regions ....................................................................................................................................37
The EPRDF-administered core .......................................................................................................................38
The Security Apparatus, Military, and Police .................................................................................................39
Transparency ..................................................................................................................................................40
D) Power at the village and community level .................................................................................................41
Kebele/wereda structure ................................................................................................................................41
Customary power-holders ..............................................................................................................................44
Concluding remarks .......................................................................................................................................45
E) The democratic culture ...............................................................................................................................47
Human rights ...................................................................................................................................................47
Documented, reported, and alleged human rights abuses .............................................................................47
Government attitudes and responses .............................................................................................................49
Democratic governance ...................................................................................................................................49
Electoral practice .............................................................................................................................................50
Ruling party influence .....................................................................................................................................51
Customary democratic practices ....................................................................................................................51
Concluding remarks ................................................................................................................................. 52

F) The role of privatisation in economic policy making ............................................................................ 54
Trends in the ownership of assets ............................................................................................................... 54
Party-associated enterprises ......................................................................................................................... 55
EFFORT and Endeavour; structures, strategies, and sectors ......................................................................... 55
Problems with party domination of the private sector ..................................................................................... 56

G) The role and structure of civil society ........................................................................................................ 58
NGOs and the EPRDF ................................................................................................................................ 58
Policy directions ......................................................................................................................................... 59
Government-NGO relations ............................................................................................................................ 59
International NGOs (INGOs) ......................................................................................................................... 59
Ethiopian National NGOs (NNGOs) .............................................................................................................. 59
Government oriented NGOs (GONGOs) and Development Associations .................................................. 60
Welfarist and traditional NNGOs ................................................................................................................... 60
Community-based organisations .................................................................................................................... 61
Advocacy, lobbying, research and consultancy outfits .................................................................................. 62
Networking .................................................................................................................................................. 63
Religious institutions .................................................................................................................................... 63
Press ............................................................................................................................................................. 63

H) The participation of the poor ..................................................................................................................... 65
Women ......................................................................................................................................................... 65
Minorities ...................................................................................................................................................... 69
‘Ethnic’ conflicts .......................................................................................................................................... 70
Concluding remarks .................................................................................................................................... 72

Conclusions ................................................................................................................................................ 73
Promoting democratisation: looking for agents of change ............................................................................. 73
Indicators of future scenarios ......................................................................................................................... 73
The health of the ruling party ......................................................................................................................... 73
The health and capacity of the state ............................................................................................................... 74
Coercive powers of the state ......................................................................................................................... 75
Elections and the electorate ......................................................................................................................... 75
Elections and the opposition ......................................................................................................................... 75
Regional political dynamics ......................................................................................................................... 76
Conflict patterns .......................................................................................................................................... 76
Economic indicators .................................................................................................................................... 76
Living standards .......................................................................................................................................... 76
Social norms, culture, and ‘institutions’ ......................................................................................................... 76
Improving policy dialogue, understanding, and knowledge ........................................................................... 76

Bibliography ................................................................................................................................................ 79
Bibliography ................................................................................................................................................ 79

Annexes and tables ..................................................................................................................................... 80
Terms of Reference for the Study of Power in Ethiopia ................................................................................ 80
Federal Cabinet & Agencies Accountable to Each Ministry ........................................................................ 83
Regional State Executives for the four EPRDF-controlled States ................................................................. 84
Background information on the EPRDF parties ......................................................................................... 86
Political parties/seats in House of Peoples’ Representatives ...................................................................... 87
Political Parties Registered at the National Electoral Board ...................................................................... 88
An example of ethnic conflict: the Gedeo-Guji case ..................................................................................... 90
Ethiopia’s status in relation to international conventions ............................................................................. 91
List of Ratifications of International Labour Conventions (ILO) ................................................................ 92
Article 35 of the FDRE Constitution: rights of Women ................................................................................ 93
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAPO</td>
<td>All Amhara People’s Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAU</td>
<td>Addis Ababa University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Af gubaye</td>
<td>speaker (of parliament)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>Anti-Corruption Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALMA</td>
<td>Amhara Development Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANDM</td>
<td>Amhara National Democratic Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANDP</td>
<td>Afar National Democratic Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDUF</td>
<td>Afar Revolutionary Democratic Unity Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGPDUF</td>
<td>Benishangul-Gumuz People’s Democratic Unity Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBE</td>
<td>Commercial Bank of Ethiopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBOs</td>
<td>community-based organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC/cc</td>
<td>central committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDF</td>
<td>community development fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEVO</td>
<td>Consortium of Ethiopian Voluntary Organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRDA</td>
<td>Christian Relief and Development Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dergue</td>
<td>(Amh.) ‘committee’ used of PMAC regime of Mengistu H Mariam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSC</td>
<td>Ethiopian Civil Service College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDP</td>
<td>Ethiopian Democratic Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFORT</td>
<td>Endowment Fund for the Rehabilitation of Tigray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHRCO</td>
<td>Ethiopian Human Rights Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endeavour</td>
<td>Endowment Fund for the Rehabilitation of Amhara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOC</td>
<td>Ethiopian Orthodox Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPDM</td>
<td>Ethiopian People’s Democratic Movement (now ANDM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPLF</td>
<td>Eritrean People’s Liberation Front (now PFLDF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPPF</td>
<td>Ethiopian People’s Patriotic Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPRP</td>
<td>Ethiopian Peoples’ Revolutionary Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPRDF</td>
<td>Ethiopian People’s Revolutionary Democratic Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equub</td>
<td>(Amh.) mutual savings association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETV</td>
<td>Ethiopian Television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWLA</td>
<td>Ethiopian Women Lawyers’ Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDRE</td>
<td>Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (est.1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGM</td>
<td>female genital mutilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gada</td>
<td>(Orom.) Traditional Oromo age-set social system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemgem[a]</td>
<td>(Amh.[Tig.]) ‘evaluation’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelel</td>
<td>(Amh.) private, non-government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GONGO</td>
<td>government-oriented non-governmental organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPDP</td>
<td>Gambella People’s Democratic Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HoF</td>
<td>House of the Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNL</td>
<td>Harari National League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPR</td>
<td>House of People’s Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRC</td>
<td>International Corps of the Red Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iddir</td>
<td>(Amh.) mutual savings association for funeral expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kebele</td>
<td>(Amh.) ‘neighbourhood’ level of administration (‘baito’ in Tigrigna)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lema’at budin</td>
<td>(Amh.) development group or team, usually around 10-15 members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoEd</td>
<td>Ministry of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meison</td>
<td>(Amh.) All Ethiopia Socialist Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mengist</td>
<td>government(al)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mengistawi budin</td>
<td>(Amh.) ‘government group’ of 50 households</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoFED</td>
<td>Ministry of Finance and Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoFA</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoFedA</td>
<td>Ministry of Federal Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>member of parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N/I)NGO</td>
<td>[national/international] non-governmental organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>National Regional State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nus-kebele</td>
<td>sub-kebele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFAG</td>
<td>Office of the Federal Auditor General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLF</td>
<td>Oromo Liberation Front</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ONC   Oromo National Congress
ONLF   Ogaden National Liberation Front
OPDO   Oromo People’s Democratic Organisation
ORDA   Organisation for Relief and Development in Amhara (formerly ERO)
OSG   Oromo Support Group (UK-based NGO)
PDOs   people’s democratic organisations
PMAC   Provisional Military Administration Committee
PM(O)   Prime Minister’s Office
PRSP   Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper/Policy
REST   Relief Society of Tigray
Secra   (Orom./various) traditional Gurage, Kambatta, social system
SEPDA   Southern Ethiopian Peoples’ Development Association
SEPDC   Southern Ethiopian Peoples’ Democratic Coalition (opposition)
SEPDF   Southern Ethiopian Peoples’ Democratic Front (EPRDF)
Sida   Swedish Agency for International Development Co-operation
SNNPNRS   Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples’ National Regional State
SPDP   Somali People’s Democratic Party
SPO   Office of the Special Prosecutor
TAND   Tigrayan Alliance for National Democracy
TDA   Tigray Development Association
Tehadso   (Amh.) renewal, cleansing
TESCO   Trans-Ethiopia Share Company
TPLF   Tigray People’s Liberation Front
UNFPA   UN Family Planning Agency
UNICEF   UN Children’s Fund
Wereda   (Amh.) ‘district’ administration, nominally approx. 100,000 population
WHO   World Health Organisation
WIBS   wereda integrated basic services
WIC   Walta Information Centre
WPE   Workers’ Party of Ethiopia (of the Dergue)
xeer   (Som.) traditional Somali social system
Executive Summary

Power and interaction
The distribution of power in a given society is a function of the system of knowledge as it operates throughout that collective, itself a function of the interaction of all of its members, be they regarded as ‘powerful’ or ‘powerless’. It is continually constituted and reconstituted in each of these interactions, and it is here that the distribution of power inheres, rather than in the structures, or resources of the state per se. Thus a shift in the relations of power requires a shift in the ‘systems’ or ‘patterns’ of knowledge and interaction which constitute the society.

Political culture in Ethiopia
Dominant socio-political culture in much of Ethiopia has historically been vertically stratified, and rigidly hierarchical. The process of socialisation from birth often teaches Ethiopians that people are not equal. It instils an understanding of the roles and statuses which are assigned to different individuals, marking them as either marginal, disenfranchised, or privileged and empowered, usually on the basis of ethnicity, clan, class, gender, wealth or age. This contributes to a non-egalitarian distribution of power, which is deeply entrenched, and resistant to change. Whilst male household heads mediate access to family and local political arenas, representatives of the state (mengist) at each level play the same powerful role vis-à-vis public life, with little likelihood of challenge from their subordinates.

Access to resources: the roots of conflict
The democratisation of political life in Ethiopia requires the transformation and the ‘democratisation’ of social and economic relations at all levels of the collectivity. Given that the state in Ethiopia continues to exercise an almost monopolistic control over major resources (budgets, salaried employment outside the major cities, land, etc.), the question of expansion and equity of access to the resources and decision-making powers of the state is more than usually critical. This is a question of some urgency since inequality of access has been the primary root of conflict throughout the second half of the twentieth century. Even under circumstances of democratised access, such conflict could nevertheless be expected to escalate in a context of growing population, and dwindling resources.

Reforms since 1991
EPRDF came to power in 1991 with a commitment to democratise and decentralise access to the resources of the state, and rapidly undertook three major processes of reform. In the political sphere the regime sought to transform a highly centralised single party arrangement into a radically devolved federation, drawn along the lines of the major language groups, and subject to periodic multi-party elections. The reform of the civil service attempts to overhaul both its systems and culture. Finally, in the economic sphere the pre-existing command economy is being liberalised with the gradual introduction of privatisation and plural systems in many areas.

Recent decline of political and economic confidence
Progress was interrupted in 1998 with the outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean war. In the wake of the war, the period from late 2000 has seen both political and economic confidence shaken as a result of a combination of circumstances. Falling producer prices for cereals and coffee, the impact of the war, and the curtailment of investment loans in the wake of corruption scandals have depressed the economy and damaged investor confidence. Political morale within the ruling party (and the confidence of the wider population) has also been damaged by the unprecedented division amongst its leadership, which emerged in March 2001, and by the widespread purges which ensued. The sense of depression has been exacerbated by a prolonged period of suspended animation during which the remaining leadership of the government and ruling party have sought to consolidate their positions, renew policy, and revise strategies for its implementation. As these new initiatives begin to be implemented, Ethiopia’s leaders seem poised either for a renewal of progress towards devolution and ‘power sharing’, or for a dive into increased authoritarianism, and repression of competitors.

Constitutional structure and balance of power in the federation
On paper the FDRE is a radically devolved ‘confederation’, with all residual powers and sovereignty resting with the National Regional States, which enjoy rights of self-determination including secession, and the Federal Government existing only ‘because the states will it’. There are three centripetal
influences which counteract this degree of devolution in practice. Firstly, constitutional Chapter 10, formally requires that NRS policy-making develops in line with federal norms. Secondly, a combination of centralised policy making by the ruling party, and lack of capacity in the NRSs means that this formal constitutional requirement is rarely challenged by autonomous development in the states. Thirdly and critically, the financial balance of power is tipped overwhelmingly in favour of the centre which controls the flow of federal subsidy (the majority proportion of their budgets) to the NRSs.

Re-organisation of the executive
During 2001/2, three major steps have been taken to restructure the executive, and its relations with the party, and with the other two branches of government. Firstly, all ministers at federal and NRS levels will now be political appointees of the ruling party: it is now planned that civil servants and advisors, meanwhile, will be appointed on the basis of professional considerations. This is intended to create a clearer demarcation between party and state. Secondly, the leadership of NRS, zonal, woreda, and kebele legislative bodies has been removed from the purview of the executive at each level, with the creation of a new office of ‘speaker’ (af gubaye). This is intended to create a clearer separation of executive and legislature. Thirdly, various of the functions of the PMO (or chief administrator at each level) have been allocated to a series of newly established ‘superministries’, catering for Capacity Building, Infrastrastructure, Rural Development, and (at federal level) Federal Affairs. This group of ministers (or cabinet members at lower levels) can be regarded as forming the core leadership of the government’s development programme at each level.

The new emphasis on woredas
Two related changes are currently being effected in the structure of government within the states. The first is the ‘abolition’ of the zonal level of administration; the second is the move to a system of block grants which now pass directly from the NRSs to the woredas. Whilst ethnic zones in the SNNPNRS, and special zones elsewhere, which have a political as well as an administrative status, will continue to operate, the proportion of expenditure and personnel allocated to this level (as also to the NRS level) will drop significantly even in these cases, with a likely concomitant drop in capacity and authority. There is some indication that this move may curb calls for separate ethnic zonal status which have been prevalent in the SNNPNRS, and which are thought to have been encouraged by generous budgets at ethnic zonal level. Whilst the increased focus on woreda level development is broadly welcomed, uncertain capacity for its ‘instantaneous’ implementation is of concern. The assignment of large numbers of civil servants from federal and NRS offices to the greatly expanded woreda level of government has met with considerable resistance, in view of the reduced facilities offered by weredas – particularly to those with families. The government has sought to counter this problem by means of significant increases in salaries for local administrators.

The judiciary
The judiciary is constitutionally independent of both legislature and executive, an autonomy which remains functionally constrained in a number of respects. Recourse to the law is an important feature of Ethiopian life, both urban and rural. Bottlenecks and delays in the court system which emerged in the mid-1990s became a focus of vitriol, and critics accused the government of sabotage. It is clear that (like most governments) the TGE was keen to recruit only judges who could be expected to share, or be sympathetic to the ruling party’s philosophy, and removed many judicially competent former members of the Dergue’s WPE. With the removal of many SPO cases to separately-constituted benches, bottlenecks have eased in recent years, and focus primarily on labour courts, which have been flooded with compensation claims in the wake of privatisations. Since 2001, attention has focused on high profile proceedings against former senior political figures amongst the ruling party ‘dissidents’, and well-connected business people, for alleged corruption. The view that these proceedings are politically motivated is widespread, a fact which risks undermining the credibility of both the Anti-Corruption Commission, and the court system itself. Significant problems with the judiciary and police persist at local levels, where a potentially highly effective social court system lacks resources, training, and institutional autonomy.

Public administration
Public administration in Ethiopia faces two core problems. Firstly the public sector has for some years been crippled by the heavy haemorrhaging of professional personnel, as a result of gross disparities in public and private sector remuneration and opportunities. Secondly, the sector is affected by a culture of inertia and ‘lowest common denominator self-preservation’, apparently fostered by the decline of
living standards and job security experienced by state sector workers over the last few years. There have been widespread complaints that the combined requirements to recruit personnel on the basis of ethnic quotas, and political affiliation or loyalty means that the most able and efficient functionaries are continually overlooked. It is notable that the educational level of zonal and wereda administrative personnel has increased dramatically in the last 5-6 years, with most if not all now graduates, many of the civil service college degree and diploma programmes in law, economics, and urban development. New government emphasis on capacity building is likely to continue this trend, which has both improved the capability of local government, and built a class of educated administrators who share an investment in, and commitment to, the current system of government, if not the ruling party itself.

Trends of opposition to the ruling party
Throughout the 1990s the government faced opposition from two quarters. Firstly, a number of ethnic-based parties and liberation movements called for autonomy, or secession, for their constituents, and claimed that under EPRDF federalism was a ‘sham’ – a means of ‘divide and rule’, rather than genuine self-determination of peoples. Secondly, pan-Ethiopian nationalists opposed to both Eritrean secession, and Ethiopian ethnic federalism in principle, regarding both as divisive and destructive of Ethiopia’s ‘natural interests’. Both groups have had their legally registered, and their illegal and armed or exiled elements. To these two wings has now been added the so-called internal ‘dissident group’, sacked from the leadership of EPRDF in 2001. It seems likely that, despite a commitment to ethnic self-determination, this group may now appeal to pan-Ethiopian nationalist elements, stressing issues of economic and territorial sovereignty, and even questioning the manner in which the Eritrean referendum was held.

EPRDF approaches to democracy…
EPRDF grew out of the Marxist student movement of the late 1960s and early 1970s. Its conception of democracy is not the liberal bourgeois variety, based on individual participation, a diversity of interests and views, and plural representation. Rather ‘revolutionary’ democracy is based on communal collective participation, based on consensus forged through discussion led by the vanguard organisation. Its commitment to Leninist and Maoist precepts of mass political mobilisation were confirmed by the TPLF’s success in Tigray, where the peasantry was mobilised on an ethnic basis. This, in turn, became the mode of participation and representation in the FDRE.

…and ethnicity…
The Front has drawn on a Stalinist understanding of the so-called national question, which incorporates two contradictory elements. The first is the (laudable, and demonstrably true) notion that a community can be mobilised better in its own language, using its own culture, by its own people - effectively ‘from within’. The second is the (more problematic) view that the criteria for the establishment of ‘nations, nationalities, and peoples’ are objectively and externally identifiable, and verifiable by a vanguard organisation independently of the views of their members – effectively ‘from above’. Tension between these contradictory tendencies can be seen at work in a number of shifts in policy towards ethnic autonomy over the last decade.

…and pluralism
Finally, the EPRDF has never appeared as an organisation committed to pluralism for its own sake, and it has long understood the great political potential of a coincidence of interest between peasant populations benefiting from socio-economic development, and the party/government winning support by being seen as responsible for such benefits. It has thus been equally resistant to the emergence of competitors whether for allocative power of government office (opposition parties), or over the distribution of resources and delivery of services (NGOs, churches, etc.). A particularly frustrating area of ruling party philosophy is its unwillingness to engage in dialogue with alternative political perspectives. A dominant view has been that those who disagree with EPRDF should look for political competition with it, rather than policy dialogue. Given the political culture referred to above, and the domination of resources by the state, such attitudes are more likely to foster exclusion and conflict than healthy competition.

Structure of EPRDF
EPRDF is composed of four organisations: TPLF, ANDM, OPDO, and the SEPDF, itself a Front encompassing ethnic PDOs in each of the SNNPNRS’ ethnic administrative units. There are indications that EPRDF is moving more towards the status of a single party, with local units rather than
separate organisations. Currently, however, it is co-ordinated by a 20-member politburo of 5 representatives of each of the constituent organisations or fronts. Each front is organised in classic Marxist-Leninist style, led, between congresses, by an elected central committee, and powerful executive committee. The structure extends hierarchically down through series of cadres, to party cells established throughout the four NRs administered by EPRDF. Membership distinguishes between peasants (who pay a flat fee) and ‘intellectuals’ (salaried members, who pay a sliding percentage of their income). Recent changes in party structure have included the expansion of cc membership (with, according to some, its concomitant downgrading vis-à-vis the executive committee), and the disassociation of the former mass associations for women, youth, and farmers.

Opposition parties operating within legal framework
Many of the opposition parties which operate within the constitutional framework, seeking to mobilise support, and contesting elections, are also organised on an ethnic basis, and include: SEPDC, AAPO (until recent changes), and the ONC. An exception is the relatively new EDP, established just before the 2000 elections, and appealing to pan-Ethiopian nationalists, particularly regarding the issue of Assab. All opposition parties have complained of intimidation and harassment by the ruling party, and the security instruments of the state, between, and in particular during elections. It is true that the opposition parties are weak, lack clear programmes, and enjoy only limited support in the rural areas (the exception is the support shown for HNDO/SEPDF in Hadiya in 2000, which may or may not have been largely a protest vote against EPRDF rule). The pervasive character of the repression they have faced, particularly at local levels, suggests a systemic problem.

Diaspora/armed opposition parties
Of the armed opposition to the government, by far the most significant in political (and military) terms is the long-established OLF. Whilst organisationally and militarily weak, the OLF nevertheless enjoys the residual attachment of many Oromo nationalists and intellectuals, a fact which has been sufficient to cripple the capacity and credibility of the ruling OPDO over the last decade. The activities of armed opposition groups tend to be concentrated in the pastoralist areas, an arc stretching from the border with Eritrea in the northeast, clockwise through the Ogaden and Borana, to the border with Sudan in the west. They involve Afars (Uguguma), Somalis (ONLF), Oromos (IFLO, OLF), and increasingly, the small pastoral groups along the border with Sudan. An area of sporadically violent opposition is in Amhara, where EPRP factions and EPPF (arbegnoch), are intermittently active. None of these military campaigns in itself represents a threat to the state or to the government. However, the containment of each does involve considerable military and developmental cost, and, in the OLF case, might succeed in pressuring the government for a change of policy. Each of these campaigns is deeply implicated in regional security and diplomatic relations, involving at least the passive facilitation of one of Ethiopia’s neighbours.

State-party relations
Whilst significant steps were taken during the TGE to effect the formal separation of the ruling party and the state, their roles remained closely blurred and intertwined, with a system of party decision-making often overshadowing that of the state/government. Following a power struggle within the party culminating in early 2001, which it is possible to interpret as a struggle between those relying on the authority of state positions, and those influential only within the party, significant changes seem now to be being forged in the party-state relation. Political and administrative appointments are now more clearly demarcated; the party is no longer involved in the evaluation (gimgema) of government activities; and government policy is debated and evolved by government bodies, not separately as previously throughout the party. At the lower levels, this has already generated significant dissatisfaction amongst veteran cadres who question the current functioning of the party. At the highest level the two structures of party politburo/cc and Council of Ministers seem effectively to have been fused. This kind of package of centralised bureaucratic reform, involving either the downgrading, or the incorporation of the nationalist party by the state, has been commonly undertaken in other parts of Africa during the twentieth century, where it has been uniformly accompanied by a further downgrading of representative institutions.

Local power holders: ‘ye mengist’: the kebele/wereda system
As in many cases where state structures are poorly emancipated from society, local administrative and political party systems overlap and interweave, so that local government structures and officers are rarely either politically ‘neutral’, or perceived as such. The current system of kebele/wereda
administration was inherited from the Dergue, by whom it was devised as a means both of communicating development plans and ideology, and of gathering intelligence regarding ‘anti-revolutionary’ activities. Ethiopians are well aware of the extensive authority of the kebele/wereda officials, and the fact that their relations with them will mediate the access they enjoy to all resources and services the state has to offer – jobs, health services, land rights, water, relief food, credit, rented houses, and so on. The fact that local militia, police, prosecutors, and judges are often part of the same party hierarchy which has nominated such powerful officials, seems to have contributed to the instances of abuse of power by local officials who enjoy effective impunity vis-à-vis the state, and little social sanction from community and constituents.

Local power holders: ‘ye gelel’: customary leaders
In many instances, religious leaders of all faiths have the power to define appropriate social conduct, a fact which frequently limits the social space of women. Given the hierarchical nature of social and political relations in highland tradition, peasant-to-peasant relations in Ethiopia have been few, and restricted to the achievement of practical tasks, such as ploughing. Several common types of local association (iddir, mahaber, senbete, equub) offer forms of mutual socio-economic support to members, particularly at times of stress or expense, such as weddings or funerals. These relations are characterised by their horizontal orientation, with members co-operating on an equal basis, since these traditional associations exclusively bring together existing peers. Collaboration thus tends to be reconstitutive of the status quo, and inimical to social transformation. It is nevertheless significant that the leaders of such structures are selected by, and remain accountable to, their fellows. There is evidence that, as a result, the collective sanctions (or other norms) they are able to apply – for instance regulating repayment of credit – may in some instances be more effective than those coming, externally, from government or other outside actors, including NGOs.

Human rights
Ethiopia’s human rights record under EPRDF is an improvement upon the nadir it had reached under the Dergue regime, particularly the Red Terror period of the late 1970s. A decade after EPRDF came to power, however, human rights violations occur throughout the country, and are sometimes very grave. Detention without trial, torture, ‘disappearances’ and extra-judicial executions are regularly reported by international and national human rights monitoring organisations. The government is sensitive to criticism of its human rights record, and generally reluctant to admit direct responsibility for abuses, often blaming the unauthorised actions of individuals outside the control of the central government. Recently there have been some indications of increasing willingness to hold local police and government officials responsible for violent incidents, such as those which took place in SNNPNRS, particularly Tepi and Awassa, in the early and middle parts of 2002.

Democratic governance and elections
A body of recent studies of the practice of elections in rural Ethiopia indicates that, despite the liberal-democratic provisions of the constitution and relevant legislation, the operation of the political system in many parts of the country is such as to make it difficult for opposition parties and candidates to use these democratic institutions effectively to challenge the dominance of the ruling party. A range of tactics commonly disadvantages the opposition prior to and during elections: closure of offices, harassment and arrest of candidates, refusal of some of their signatures of endorsement, last minute shifts in the regulations regarding the number of candidates to be fielded, suspension of candidates falsely claimed to be ‘under police investigation’, and so on. As a result, those opposition parties which continue to contest elections regard themselves as besieged on a far-from-level playing field. Few opposition parties see EPRDF as responsible for bringing ‘democratisation’, or consider themselves as an opposition ‘loyal’ to a regime they distrust and feel repressed by. Donor engagement in the ‘democratic process’, meanwhile, has been superficial, focusing on formal political institutions, and neglectful of the socio-political dynamics which give them meaning and significance.

Privatisation and trends in the ownership of assets
The Ethiopian government is now in the midst of a second Five Year Development Plan, designed to enhance agricultural productivity, improve rural infrastructure, encourage private investment, promote participation of the private sector in the economy, mobilise external resources, and pursue ‘appropriate’ macroeconomic sectoral policies. The government has several times revised and liberalised the investment code, recently giving those of Ethiopian origin the same investment status as nationals. Ethiopia has privatised approximately 180 enterprises, mostly in the trade and service sectors, but also
the large Lega Dembi Gold Mine. None of Ethiopia’s utilities has yet been privatised, and the
government retains ownership of all land. The state retains its dominant position in the ownership of
key assets, although the emerging private sector has played an increasing role, particularly with respect
to the service sector. What critics have called the ‘genuine private sector’, however, has been dwarfed
by the activities of two large blocs: the Midroc ‘empire’ owned by Sheikh Mohammed Alamoudi, and
the so-called ‘party-associated enterprises’.

Party-associated private sector
EFFORT was established by the TPLF in 1995 as a means of co-ordinating the effective developmental
use of the material and cash resource in the possession of the TPLF at the end of the war with the
Dergue. Under the umbrella of the foundation, and sister organisations in other EPRDF-administered
regions, a range of commercial enterprises and factories have been established, dealing in trade,
agriculture, cement production, textiles and garmenting, livestock and leather, transport, mining,
engineering, and finance. Together these represent an enormously influential and strategically
integrated bloc, dominating key sectors of the economy. Critics and supporters fear the potential
political and economic implications of the concentration of such economic power in the hands of bodies
effectively controlled by the ruling party, and allege the emergence of new monopolistic and unfair
trading practices.

Civil society
It is ironic that whilst the NGO sector has flourished, grown, and diversified under EPRDF, it has also
felt itself to be threatened from many sides. Bilateral and multi-lateral government funding through the
NGO sector dropped off dramatically as the international community renewed government-to-
government relations after the demise of the Dergue. The new Ethiopian government policy
environment involved strict regulation and monitoring of NGOs, the curbing of their income-
generating, commercial, and autonomous activities, and the requirement that they work increasingly
through government structures. As in the commercial sector, the party has also been active in the
development industry, establishing a series of powerful regional NGOs with close government links.
Recently, a number of independent research and consultancy associations have grown out of Addis
Ababa University, and are now producing useful and challenging studies.

Women
Gender roles in Ethiopia are, as elsewhere, often bound by tradition, and there is great variation across
ethnic and socio-economic groups. To a great degree, marriage and motherhood determine Ethiopian
women’s relationships to work, property, and public space, and define their status as political actors.
Many of the factors that disadvantage women are problems of poverty and underdevelopment, shared
by the wider community. FGM, however, is reportedly experienced by between 73 and 90% of
Ethiopia’s women, ranging from infibulation and radical clitoridectomy, especially in lowland areas, to
the piercing of labia more common in the highlands. During its opposition to the Dergue,
TPLF/EPRDF recruited women fighters and commanders, and endorsed the separate organisation of
women ‘to prepare them to participate fully in the class struggle’. Since 1991, however, women have
seen little advancement to positions of political influence, and the proportion of women elected to
representative office at all levels has not increased. Whilst the enrolment of female school students has
recently gone up, there are some indications that the upsurge of ethnic consciousness during the 1990s
may have revived a number of traditional practices (often seen as ethnic boundary markers) which
further disadvantage women.

Minorities
Given the ethnic federal arrangements, minority ethnic groups, even numerically small ones, are less
marginalised at the national political level than ever previously in Ethiopia’s history. However, a
number of occupational or clan minorities within ethnic groups continue to be marginalised, despised,
and disadvantaged, their political representation subsumed within the wider ethnic group. Such
stigmatised and despised groups (often craftsmen or hunters) exist amongst many if not all of Ethiopia’s
ethnic groups, and a number have been encouraged by ethnic federalism to petition for separate
representation. Since they live mixed amongst other groups they are unlikely ever to secure
representation in a ‘first past the post’ electoral system, and remain largely excluded from the local
socio-political arenae.
**Ethnicity politicised**

EPRDF has chosen to stress the positive aspects of ethnicity, which invest communities who share language and culture with a sense of common identity. It instituted the federal arrangement as the only way of diffusing a pattern of conflict, drawn in terms of the nexus of ethnicity and class, which had engulfed Ethiopia in the second half of the twentieth century. Critics have argued that ethnicity ‘captured’ within the political structure of the state is in fact likely to inspire conflict, bringing such groups explicitly into competition with one another over their share of the state ‘pie’; others argue that it is only its explicit invocation which can diffuse existing tensions. It is true that there is evidence that the introduction of ethnic federalism has added a new dimension to pre-existing conflicts over land, water, government budgets, and other resources, often adding legitimacy and motivation to an ethnic ‘rationale’ for dispute. There are confusing and contradictory processes at work: some inspired by ‘rightful’ or ‘exaggerated’ claims by local communities, others imposed from above; some driven by political entrepreneurs for their own purposes, others perhaps seeking to diffuse opposition. This critical issue, requiring a full study in its own right, is not considered in this report in great detail.

**Agents of change**

Given the weakness of the opposition parties, and the strong central dominance of the state, it seems likely that the major agents of change in Ethiopia will continue for the foreseeable future to be the leadership of the ruling party. Since early 2001, the intentions, objectives, organisation and methods of the ruling party have perhaps undergone a greater sea-change than at any time since the inception of the TPLF in 1975. The dramatic challenge presented by the ‘dissident’ group in 2001 have had far reaching implications for the reorganisation and restructuring, ‘renewal’ and remobilisation of both ruling party and state structures at all levels. These implications have taken on a life of their own, and have penetrated far beyond the rapid and effective marginalisation of the initial group. In the longer term, the educational strategy of the government, which prioritises a dramatic expansion of educational access at all levels, is likely to promote both capacity and pluralism.

In mid-2002 Ethiopia is potentially beginning to emerge from the calamitous period of the last 4-5 years of developmental disappointment caused by war. The outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean war marked a disastrous setback for a state and government which had, until then, been thought to have been making steady progress towards economic growth and administrative reform, capitalising on a context of peace. Periods of change are often also periods of uncertainty, and instability. This paper is written at a time when significant changes to the structures and relations of power seem to be occurring. The coming period will demonstrate whether the ruling party has been able to reconsolidate the stability and cohesion it seeks, and whether this will be put to serve plural and inclusive, or authoritarian and exclusive ends.
Introduction: central themes

Insofar as Ethiopia is committed to the pursuit of modernity, she cannot fail to be embarrassed to some extent by the wax-and-gold complex. For nothing could be more at odds with the ethos of modernization, if not with its actuality, than a cult of ambiguity. (Levine 1965:10)

This report seeks to move beyond the constitutional developments, and formal structures which provide the waxen form of politics in Ethiopia, to illuminate its ‘golden’ alternate, the relations and structures of power which underpin and give it life and meaning. This is a project which requires more than the usual statement of caveats. That the results are tentative goes without saying. Here, additionally, the reader is invited to participate in an attempt to clear away ambiguity, which is perhaps in itself a distortion of Ethiopian political life. The report is written in the service of that quintessentially modernist of projects, the pursuit of socio-economic development – so perhaps this could not have been otherwise.

The format of the report follows the outline of the terms of reference drawn up by Sida (set out in the first Annex). It should perhaps be noted that, as a result of this, a number of pivotal issues (such as for instance, the role of ethnicity in Ethiopian political life, which merits a separate study) are dealt with in a relatively cursory manner. The report opens with three introductory sections outlining the nature of the reform processes being undertaken by the Ethiopian government, the operation of power in theoretical terms, and in practice, and various of the ideological tools in play, both within the ruling party and political class, and in Ethiopian society more widely. The report then goes on to consider eight sections specified in Sida’s terms of reference: the institutions of the state; the political party system; the links between state and ruling party; the operation of power at the village and community level; questions of democratic culture; the private sector; civil society; and the participation of the poor. Although each of these sections has been initially drafted by one or other of the authors, some editorial attempt has been made to avoid overlap, and to reconcile style and tone.

Understanding reform, measuring change, evaluating transformation

The dynamics of reform

The Ethiopian People’s Revolutionary Democratic Front (EPRDF) came to power by force of arms in 1991, after civil wars in Ethiopia and Eritrea which had engulfed much of the north of the region for over a quarter of a century, and which also resulted in the secession of Eritrea, de facto in 1991, and de iure in 1993. The EPRDF quickly convened a conference of the major movements of opposition to the defeated Dergue regime, along with representatives of the country’s various other ethnic (or language) groups and certain social sectors. The meeting adopted a Transitional Charter under which the country would be governed for the time being, and set its principled approval on a raft of reforms, designed radically to transform the inherited political, economic, and administrative order in the longer term.

A decade ago, the EPRDF publicly pledged its commitment radically to decentralise power within the Ethiopian state of which it had won control. It had long identified the extreme centralisation of state power, its ‘ethnocratic’ concentration in the hands of an elite from a single group, at the expense of the country’s other impoverished, oppressed, and exploited populations, as the central root of Ethiopia’s

---

1 ’Wax and gold is the formula used by the Amhara to symbolize their favourite form of verse. It is a form built of two semantic layers. The apparent, figurative meaning of the words is called ‘wax’; their more or less hidden actual significance is the ‘gold’ […] (this terminology is developed from the work of the goldsmith who constructs a clay mould around a form created in wax and then, draining the wax, pours the molten gold into that form)’ (Levine 1965:5)

2 Addis Ababa University, and the Trades Union Movement, for instance, were represented. A number of organisations (notably the EPRP and other COEDF members) were not included on the grounds that they refused to surrender a commitment to armed opposition. The fact that they did not participate maintained the tradition, and established a focus, of ‘extra-legal’ political opposition which has continued at varying levels, but uninterrupted, since.

3 Cf Vaughan (1994) for further details of the preparation and dynamics of the conference.

4 The term was coined by Ali Mazrui (1975)
modern political history of war, famine, and underdevelopment⁵. The solution it proposed was ‘self-determination’ for those populations: an expansion of popular access to decision-making and control over resources, which would encompass the great majority of Ethiopia’s agricultural and pastoral producers, democratise relations between them, and release their potential for socio-economic development. These were objectives with which few of Ethiopia’s donors found fault.

Over the decade since 1991, then, the Ethiopian government under the EPRDF has been simultaneously engaged in three radical reform processes, each of which would represent in itself an ambitious undertaking in any country, and each of which has drawn in high levels of support from the international community. In the political sphere, the regime has moved to transform a highly centralised single-party arrangement into a radically devolved federation of nine Regional States, based on multi-party competitive election to representative office, the formal separation of the powers of legislature, executive, and judiciary, and accession to a raft of international legal instruments related to human, economic, civil, and political rights. Secondly, the reform of the Ethiopian civil service has focused not only on the extensive changes in administrative and fiscal arrangements required to underwrite changes in the political sphere, but also extends to attempts to overhaul its systems and professional culture in the five areas of: expenditure management and budget control; human resource development; senior management approaches; service delivery; and ethics and corruption-related issues. Finally, and perhaps most ambitious of all, in the economic sphere, the Ethiopian government, with the extensive involvement of the international community and IFIs, is seeking to restructure the pre-existing socialist command economy with the (gradual) introduction of market forces in many, although not all, sectors.

Measuring and evaluating change

The last decade has been marked by frantic activity in each of these three areas of reform of government; by clear, consistent, and persistent expressions of ideological and practical commitment to change from the leadership of party and government⁶; and by concrete progress that would have dumbfounded observers a decade ago⁷. Nevertheless, fundamental doubts remain with citizens, observers, and donors. These doubts are not confined to the implementation and progress of the reform processes, to such questions as, for instance: should one, or should one not be satisfied with progress? Is the policy in question adequately designed, and sufficiently flexible in response to setbacks and unexpected implications?

Over and above such universally-shared concerns about the efficiency and effectiveness of policy processes for change, in Ethiopia questions and doubts also persist in relation to the intentions of the ruling party which has been not only architect of the reforms, but also contractor, supervisor, and auditor in their implementation. How, for instance, should one understand the various constraints encountered over the last decade? Are they the result of incapacity or lack of political will, accident or design? In the political sphere, for example, does decentralisation mean devolution of power or deconcentration of responsibility⁸? Does ‘popular participation’ envisage inclusiveness and pluralism, compromise arising out of fractious debate and conflict? Or should one be thinking of participation in the managed dissemination of the ruling party’s programme via a seamless structure of party-fused-

---

⁵ There is a substantial body of literature available which documents the party’s analysis, of which the 1980s English language publication of the TPLF, People’s Voice, is the most accessible. The issue is summarised and discussed in Young (1997). Strongest ideological influences are Marxist-Leninist perspectives on the National Question, and the position bears close resemblances to Gellner’s views on uneven development, modernisation and nationalism (cf. esp.1960). The issue is further discussed below in the section the National Question.

⁶ Although it is worth recording that critics complain that these expressions have not been widely disseminated, but rather confined to government, party, and diplomatic circles, such that the wider public is often not party to knowledge and discussion about these vision and intentions. In turn one may observe the conscious determination of large sections of the middle class to distance themselves from politics and any interest in the considerable information which is disseminated through the government media.

⁷ There is considerable disagreement as to the extent of development over the last decade, with a range of critics claiming that ‘nothing has changed since the Dergue’. Whilst it is certainly the case that Ethiopia is subject to overwhelming continuities of political culture and context (and that much of this influence is negative), such views fail to recognise extensive and significant developments, which are perhaps most prominent in the areas of infrastructural development (particularly arterial and feeder roads, electrification, and also urban expansion), and the reorganisation of public administration and government (which forms the subject of much of the following).

⁸ Devolution of power involves the decentralisation of resources and decision-making (responsibility and authority) whilst deconcentration suggests only the decentralisation of administrative responsibility without commensurate authority over decision-making or resource allocation.
with-state; of participation in lengthy ‘consultations’ through which the sovereign consensus of the party translates into the ‘will’ of ‘the people’?

Such questions have persisted over the last decade, and they have if anything become broader and more fundamental, not least because of the shattering, in May 1998, of the peace which most of the country had enjoyed since the defeat of the Dergue9. Have observers, for instance, been too keen to identify EPRDF’s democracy as liberal democracy? Does Ethiopia’s ruling party, with its public commitment to ‘democratic centralism’, also value pluralism for its own sake? Accountability, perhaps of a sort, but diversity, transparency? Can a revolutionary party with an evangelical belief in the superiority of its own political programme seek to establish a competitive electoral process as a desired goal in its own right? Could (should?) elections for the EPRDF really be anything other than a means to an end, a process useful only for demonstrating anew the virtues of revolutionary democracy and democratic centralism? If the goal is winning at all costs, how can the contest be anything other than zero-sum, how can ‘their gain’ be anything other than ‘our loss’?

In sum, then, the key questions regarding democracy, pluralism, and inclusion remain. How and where has power really devolved, and how much more can we now expect for the future? If and where it has devolved, has everybody had a chance of access to the process or only certain sections of society? And if, as must always be the case, new disparity, discrimination, and iniquity are forged in the emerging pattern of change, should we see this as an incidental correlate, or as inherent in the process, an integral function of the interests and objectives of those steering the future course of Ethiopia’s structures and relations of power?

This report seeks to go some way towards presenting and weighing the evidence in relation to these queries. In doing so it draws on conversations, research and analysis on Ethiopian political development over a fourteen-year period. It offers suggestions regarding the current situation, and also regarding the best places to keep looking for evidence regarding future directions. In this sense, the report also seeks to address what may seem to be the more modest, and longer-term goal of looking for ways of ensuring that Sida’s involvement with Ethiopia not only supports, but also seeks better to illuminate and understand, the processes at work. In a lot of ways, after 10 years of dramatic events, shifts, setbacks and hopes, analysis of social and political developments and relations, especially as they operate at local levels, is little advanced. Particularly since the outbreak of hostilities between Ethiopia and Eritrea in May 1998, the international community has perhaps been overly engaged in evaluating and judging, at the expense of learning, understanding, knowing – as a result of which the basis of evaluation has become all too brittle and thin10. This report is intended to fuel a discussion not only as to how to advance towards developmental goals which are shared by Sida and the Government of the FDRE, but also as to how both parties can, in another 10 years, be in a significantly better position to evaluate the extent of their shared commitment, and scope for future collaboration. Information regarding social, political, and economic developments and perspectives at the level of local communities remains at a premium.

The point may seem trite, but perhaps emerges as more significant when one considers that in many spheres inadequacies of basic data apply not only to the knowledge base from which donors’ decisions are made, but – far more crucially - to that on which the Ethiopian government’s own decisions are founded. A new concentration of support is required in the development, expansion, and consolidation of institutions and resources geared to the generation, accumulation and analysis of relevant and accessible ‘base-line data’ regarding the development of Ethiopia’s disparate populations, be it social, economic, or (most neglected of all) political.

The report concludes with concern that the immediate period is a critical time for Ethiopia – in terms both of political stability and economic development. The following section of this introductory chapter documents negative trends over the period from late 2000 in both areas, and attempts to suggest their significance. Recognition that there have been important changes, including significant downturns, in a number of political indicators, however, should provide a basis for realism in constructive and

---

9 ‘whatever we thought of EPRDF, many of us saw the end to war which they had brought us all in 1991 as the jewel in their crown, the thing that made us hopeful’, interview, Addis Ababa, May 2001.
10 The rather sharp distinction between the relative enthusiasm of those members of the international community who came to know EPRDF during the TGE, and the more cautious approach of many of those who first encountered it during the Ethio-Eritrean war, can presumably be related to the different preoccupations and optimism of the two periods: it is sufficiently striking to require mention in analysis which draws heavily on such sources. Such inconsistencies are, of course, greatly exacerbated by high turnover of personnel in the international sector.
increasingly active engagement with the socio-economic and political reform projects of the current Ethiopian government. This paper gives lengthy consideration of the nature and potential of political opposition in Ethiopia. It is, however, a clear-cut conclusion that, despite the existence of a spectrum of alternately exciting, intriguing, or worrying shifts in the politics of this large country, there is and will be for at least the next decade no viable national alternative political force to the parties of the ERPDF. A key implication of this analysis is, therefore, that the most influential and important agents of change with whom Sida can work in Ethiopia can be expected to continue to be the political leadership of the ruling party.

Power and collective perceptions of power

In the philosophy of the TPLF, the question of democracy is the question of peoples' power. Unless there is peoples' power, which is controlled by the people and beneficial to the people, the TPLF has the stand that the question of democracy shall never get an answer. As a result, the TPLF believes that in order to achieve the economic benefits of the entire people, to alleviate social problems and thus create a sustainable development growth, political power should rest with the majority of the people. (TPLF 2000)

Some theoretical reflections

'[The leader’s] underlings had knowledge of [him] and of each other. They knew he was everywhere obeyed. Knowing what they did, they obeyed him. By their obedience they confirmed the validity of what they knew, and continued to accept it as valid. Acceptance of the knowledge generated confirming instances of it. Rejection of the knowledge would have led to disconfirming instances. As a system, knowledge of the power structures was self-referring and self-validating: indeed knowledge of the power structure was the power structure. The overall system of domination and obedience had the character of a vast monumental self-fulfilling prophecy.

If social life is constituted by the actions of responsible knowledgeable agents, then this is how we should understand the basis of such stability and orderliness as it possesses. Not just crude systems of domination and obedience, but all manner of organisations, institutions and hierarchies. (Barnes1993:215)

In considering structures and relations of power, this report treats the distribution of power as both function and constitutive feature of the interaction of a social collective: the system of power which operates in a given society is the continual product and resource of the interaction of all of its members. Although the study hopes to identify the effects of the operation of power on individual and groups of citizens, that power cannot on this analysis be understood as wholly separable from them and their own activities and interactions. Power is not, for instance, a mere attribute of other individuals (agents who become ‘power-ful’, for instance, as a jug is filled with water): it is a function of the whole social system. Thus we need to apply an understanding of power that captures the positions of individuals and groups of actors by means of their relations with others. Even though we are analysing structures of power, this does not imply that structures have power; and describing the distribution of power in society by the relations between peoples does not mean that the relations between those people are themselves powerful (Dowding 1996:28).

Structures, of course, do not have capacity to operate ‘on their own initiative’ – people do. This does not, of course, imply that a ‘system’ or ‘structure’ cannot appear – indeed cannot be - powerful vis-à-vis individuals, even large groups, caught up in that very system/structure. But this power is in fact a function of the interaction of the collectivity of individuals, who are themselves also all positioned in the structure, all party to the same ‘system’ of knowledge, which encompasses the experiences of all of those both constituting and subject to it. Independent of this interaction, then, there is no other mysterious external force which constitutes social structure, and dictates social power.

Analysing the state in Africa from this perspective, then, we must conclude that state power is not an attribute of the state machinery as such, but a product of the interaction between (and resultant

[11 Although it is likely that alternative parties seeking government office will continue to develop at the national, NRS and sub-State levels.
distribution of knowledge amongst) the state’s ruling elite and all of its citizens. The concentration of social power at certain points in this interaction (the fact that the elite ‘wield power’) remains a function of the whole constellation, and will shift as that constellation shifts. Now, perhaps, we can advance our understanding of power a further step. Instead of imagining that the question of power is settled simply by deciding who ‘has’ it, one can instead pay more attention to the question of what kind of power is being exercised, how it is being exercised, and how this is understood or perceived (known), and by whom. From this point of departure, the state is neither the source of power, nor simply the projection of the power of an interested subject (a ruling group, for instance). Rather than an entity ‘holding’ or ‘exercising’ power, it may be more fruitful to think of the state, political parties, and all other forms of organised associational social life, as instead forming points of relay or co-ordination and multiplication of power relations. Within this notion of ‘bureaucratic state power,’ the state as such is not an entity possessed of power (the jug metaphor as above) but a characteristic mode of exercise of power, a mode of power that is organised through state institutions, but which transcends them. On this view of state and power, it remains collectivities of people who influence and exercise power; and in order to illuminate this, our analytical focus is on the relational and operational aspects of power, and the collective system(s) of knowledge which underpin them.

Simply put, in the Ethiopian case this approach means that whether or not the country enjoys democratic relations, justice, and an egalitarian approach to social transformation depends not only on the activities and aspirations of its leaders, but also upon the nature of the social and political relations, expectations, and perspectives of each and all of its citizens. In many cases, for instance, a failure to democratise relations which have for centuries proved hierarchical and authoritarian cannot be attributed exclusively – or even primarily – to government policy. It is for this reason that after reviewing current trends in the perceptions of power in Ethiopia, this introductory section gives some attention to the ideological context within which both ruling party, and wider society operate.

**The current context**

What significant numbers of Ethiopians believe (what they know) about the situation in their country has shifted dramatically since the beginning of 2001. It seems important to contextualise our report by outlining the two areas in which the perception of many members of socially and politically influential groups has visibly changed. The first set of revised experiences, views, and expectations relates to the economy, which, by mid-2002 was widely believed to have taken a downward turn; the second concerns the political strength and stability of the ruling party, which is also widely believed to have been undermined. Regardless of the true extent of decline of economic growth or political stability, the revision of the collective ‘knowledge’ of important sectors of Ethiopian society is sufficient to give cause for concern. These shifts in themselves potentially threaten the attainment of Sida’s objectives in Ethiopia, namely poverty reduction, economic growth, liberal democratic development, and increased respect for human rights. They are therefore discussed as providing a frame for the subsequent analysis.

**Economic confidence**

The perceived decline of Ethiopia’s economy has been felt at a number of levels. The negative economic impact of the war from 1998 to 2000, and subsequent military stand-off between Ethiopia and Eritrea, seems initially to have been disguised by the high levels of domestic procurement and commissioning undertaken by the Ministry of Defence in the early phase of the conflict. Whilst the...
underlying economic impact of the war has not yet been quantified\textsuperscript{18}, the downturn has been felt all the more harshly in the wake of an initial war-time boom, and there is evidence that it has been profound.

The underlying macroeconomic trend has been exacerbated by the dive in coffee prices during the last two years. Earnings from this most valuable of Ethiopia’s exports have been slashed, and the implications of Ethiopia’s inability strongly to diversify its exports demonstrated sharply\textsuperscript{19}.

A further factor to have depressed the rural economy is the collapse of grain prices following bumper harvests in the 2000/1 and 2001/2 seasons. Hardest hit have been the traditionally surplus-producing areas, which the government’s critics have argued for some years were likely to be disadvantaged by agricultural economic policies apparently better designed to preclude food insecurity in less fertile parts of the country. In the area of Western Shoa around Ambo, for instance, the collapse in prices of a range of food grains and pulses has coincided with expressions of anger and demonstrations against the inflexibility of credit repayments in the face of dwindling revenues from production\textsuperscript{20}. The collapse of grain prices was clearly of great concern to Ethiopia’s surplus producers. Meanwhile, the heavy drop in the price of staples might have been expected to benefit both the urban poor, and low-paid public sector workers. There are, however, indications that these positive effects have been curbed by the weakness and contraction of a poorly institutionalised rural-urban transport and marketing infrastructure. Prices have in some instances dropped so far that merchants have assessed the proportional increase of transportation costs to be prohibitive of their operations in remoter markets. Reports from 2002 suggest that local bureaus of agriculture anticipate that some sort of strategy to address these issues is being elaborated, and will be promulgated shortly by the federal government.

Investor confidence in urban areas, particularly in Addis Ababa, suffered an acute shock in mid-2001, following public allegations of widespread corruption amongst senior officials of the CBE and ruling party. Arrests were made, proceedings instituted by the anti-Corruption Commission, and the issue of further loans suspended for several months. Although the suspension was subsequently lifted, the willingness of the business community to seek fresh loans seems to have been eroded, with ‘few willing to stick their necks out given the daily ETV diet of court cases, suspicion and sensitivity about business ethics’\textsuperscript{22}. Shock waves have spread amongst civil servants whose confidence has been marred by the widespread perception that the anti-corruption commission’s charges are in some instances politically motivated, and that even the minor indiscretions common in government dealings to date could become a pretext for proceedings of a serious nature\textsuperscript{23}.

Some observers suggest that the deportation of a large proportion of the Eritrean business community is a further factor which has had a detrimental effect on economic activity, both because of the removal of an active sector, but more importantly because of the damage to investor confidence as a result of the very visible confiscation of Eritrean assets. The view is, of course, controversial.

In concluding, it must be remarked that many international monitoring organisations seemed to consider the health of the Ethiopian economy to have been returning by the middle months of 2002. Whilst confidence in macro-economic reform remains high, less optimism relates to government hopes...
to boost peasant incomes, with poor rains in mid-2002 apparently likely to herald further rounds of food insecurity.

**Political confidence**

The link between damaged confidence and perceived economic decline links with the second area of negative change, to perceptions about the stability, unity, strength and viability of the ruling party.

For much of the 1990s, opposition to the EPRDF-led government came from two quarters. The first was a range of ethnic-based parties and liberation movements calling for autonomy, or in some cases secession, for their constituents, and claiming that under EPRDF the federal arrangement was 'a sham' and a means of 'divide and rule' rather than of delivering self-determination. Secondly the government faced pan-Ethiopian nationalist opposition from groups opposed to both Eritrean secession and Ethiopian ethnic federalism in principle, regarding both as divisive and destructive of Ethiopia’s natural national interest. Both quarters have had their legal and their extra-legal wings, with both agendas pursued through electoral and constitutional means as well as by means of armed opposition and in the Diaspora.

To these two wings of opposition has now been added the so-called internal ‘dissident group’ sacked from the leadership of the EPRDF following the dramatic split within the TPLF central committee which emerged publicly in March 2001. Whether or not this group will be able to form an effective external opposition to the TPLF/EPRDF led by Meles Zenawi is currently unclear. At the time of writing it seems unlikely. What is already significant, however, is the potentially negative internal impact that both the division and some aspects of the subsequent period of ‘renewal’ seem to have had on the psychology and morale of the ruling party and its members. The division within the party leadership seems to have had a differential impact on different social groups, and the following observations attempt to give some sense of these trends and distinctions.

Most strongly seem affected have been the members and associates of the TPLF, where the division originated. The leadership of the organisation, who were party to the disagreement, regard it - and their opponents - with bitterness and anger. On the face of it, it seems unlikely that individuals from the two camps will be capable of future co-operation. Each side accuses the other of trying to undermine them, and it seems likely that the views of both are credible in this respect.

Less visible has been the larger group of middle-level cadres either dismissed or demoted from party-related appointments as a result of the division in the leadership. Apart from those whose loyalty has been called into question, many middle-class Tigrayans who were either members or associates of the TPLF and have witnessed the changes seem to have suffered a tangible crisis of political confidence since March 2001. Their dismay has an undercurrent of ethno-nationalist frustration – almost a sense of humiliation at the public laundering of dirty Tigrayan political ‘linen’. The result seems to have been a widespread ‘drawing in of horns’: an unwillingness to take initiatives, be they in governmental, commercial or political activity; a lapse of commitment and sense of purpose on the part of cadres; and a sense of uncertainty and anxiety amongst the wider public. For those who have seen the party as the driving dynamic behind the implementation of governmental objectives, this combination of demoralisation and withdrawal must represent a serious threat to the achievement of development goals.

The impact of the crisis has been most abruptly and widely felt in Tigray, where the members of the dissident group were well known, and can be expected to retain some family and personal following. Whilst there is no shortage of anecdotal evidence of the notable demonstrations of personal and social support which ousted individuals have received, it seems unlikely that this would translate easily into

---

24 Further discussion is given in the section on political parties, which are also enumerated in appendix

25 although the causes and dynamics of the split in the TPLF Central Committee cannot be covered in depth in this report, some further discussion is provided in the section on political parties, below.

26 The establishment of a new organisation, TPLF-DM (Democratic Movement) was announced in May 2002, but the relation between this unknown group, and the dissidents currently remains unclear.

27 even those individuals who have remained in government after some hesitation are widely felt to have been damaged politically by their indecision, such that a number of interlocutors have used the footballing analogy of their being ‘issued red and yellow cards’. (Interviews, Addis Ababa, March 2002).

28 And some of these instances seem specifically intended to send a message of disapproval to the party.
support at an organised political level. Outside Tigray, the ramifications of the division, whilst less marked outside the party, seem similar. Of all of the EPRDF member organisations, ANDM emerged most unified and apparently strongest from its evaluations of 2001, although some dissatisfaction is rumoured to have arisen from the slow pace of discussions and process since then. Meanwhile, the purges within the OPDO and SEPDE seem to have been extensive, with some elements of these organisations still failing to attain even a semblance of stability by mid-2002. The ruling party’s ability to put an end to this instability over coming months will be a primary indicator not only of its internal health, but also of the ability of its leaders to concentrate on other matters.

Amidst all the gossip of the urban middle-class, however, central questions remain unanswered as to the views of the rural majority.

The likely impact of shifts in political confidence in the Ethiopian context is of course difficult to assess. The opening up of the relatively raucous public criticism, which has followed changes since early 2001, would seem, from a liberal democratic perspective, to be in itself a positive development. It is worth noting, however, the many references to be drawn from the academic literature on Ethiopian political history, which draw attention to the prevalence of an Abyssinian political culture, which sets great value on the inviolability and unity of leadership, documenting instances of the rapid decline in support available to those leaders seen to be publicly damaged or humiliated.

**Ideological tools & resources: revolutionary capitalism, pluralism, democratic centralism**

This section briefly reviews the ideological tools and influences which have a bearing upon the outlook, culture, structures and strategies of the EPRDF and its members.

**Revolutionary v liberal democracy**

A point of primary importance is that donors and the EPRDF have not meant the same thing by ‘democracy’. The ruling party has its own understanding of democracy, which differs significantly from the type favoured, and ascendant, in the west; the institutions it has created accordingly function differently. Its conception of democracy is not the liberal bourgeois variety based on individual participation, a diversity of interests and views, and plural representation. Indeed liberal democracy is considered to be a sham under conditions in Ethiopia:

> ‘As long as democracy is an instrument in the hands of the few it cannot strike roots. For our democracy to be guaranteed and to strike roots it should be based upon the all inclusive participation of the people’ (EPRDF Action Plan 1995:12)

What the Front calls ‘popular democracy’ is based on communal collective participation, and representation based on consensus. Its perception of democracy is shaped partly by ideological conditioning, and partly by historical experience, fusing class theory with ethnicity. The Marxist and Maoist precepts of mass political mobilisation were apparently confirmed by the TPLF’s success in Tigray, where the peasantry was mobilised on an ethnic basis, which became also the mode of political participation and representation (see below).

Following land reform and other ‘levelling’ measures, the peasantry was regarded as an ‘homogenous mass’ with common needs, interests, and political outlook. Political participation in these ‘mass’ terms presumes such commonality, which makes pluralism irrelevant. It does not rule out debate for the purpose of arriving at the information, clarification and persuasion required to reach consensus. Once consensus is achieved, however, the community speaks with one voice, and dissent is ruled out – or rather does not ‘objectively’ emerge since decisions are not made until consensus is achieved. The same holds true for the unanimity expected of community officials, and those who represent it at higher levels (cf. Markakis 2001).

---

29 The Central Committee of the Sidama zonal party, from which the former regional president Abate Kisho was removed, for instance, is reported to have been replaced ‘almost every few weeks’ during early 2002 (interviews, Awassa, May/June 2002)

30 Clapham (1988) for instance, records the historically documented tendency of Abyssinian armies to dissolve on the death or injury of their leaders, and cites as an example ‘damage’ done to the ‘inviolable’ persona of Haile Selassie by the Newaye brothers’ coup attempt of 1960.
Such a perspective generates ‘all the right language’: it emphasises all of the factors thought desirable by most liberal democrats (decentralisation, participation, inclusiveness of discussion, etc.). It also invests each with a markedly different meaning, premised on the certainties of historical materialism, rather than the vagaries of plural interaction.

The ‘national question’

It seems likely, then, that EPRDF and the international community have not either meant the same thing by national ‘self-determination’.

Social scientific approaches to ethnicity increasingly focus upon the ‘social construction’ of ethnic identity, the importance of the culture and interaction of the collective in the production of the norms and ‘social institutions’ associated with ethnicity, and the key role of self-definition/constitution of ethnic groups. Since they regard the components of collective identities as the intrinsically shifting products of continuous collective reinvention (in response to changing circumstances and perceived interests), these accounts therefore stress the inherent difficulties of incorporating them as the fixed basis for the permanent demarcation of administrative boundaries. Meanwhile, Stalinist approaches to the national question, in which EPRDF thinking is firmly grounded, have a more ambiguous attitude to the potential utility of the ‘nation, nationality or people’ as a unit of governance. Marxist-Leninist understanding of the so-called National Question incorporates two contradictory elements in thinking about ‘nationalities’ and their ‘self-determination’. The first is the (laudable, and demonstrably true) idea that you can mobilise (indeed even define or create) a community more effectively and get it engaged in its own political development if you ‘get at’ it from the inside, i.e. with its own members, in its own language, using its own cultural traditions and knowledge system, etc.. This is clearly in tune with the social-constructivist position. The second element of Stalinist thinking is not: this is the view that the criteria for the establishment of ‘nations, nationalities, and peoples’ are objectively and externally identifiable, and verifiable independently of the views of their members. It is this component of Marxist thought which has resulted in the notion that a vanguard party may legitimately ‘grant self-determination’ to a community from the outside, in that process identifying and prescribing the ethnic criteria to define the group, and demarcating geographical borders around it. This issue merits a full study in itself. In sum, however, it seems possible to interpret shifts in EPRDF policy regarding the implementation of ethnic federalism as reflecting tension between these two competing urges – to prescribe from above, or to facilitate from within the groups in question. The changes of policy in 2000, for example, which allowed separate organisation and special zones for Silte, and Welaita, can be seen as instances of a (belated but welcome) move from the former to the latter. It should be remembered, however, that Marxist-Leninist advocacy of nationality self-determination is intended to neutralise, and not to foster, ethno-sub-nationalist sentiment (seen as the result of economic iniquity), as a means eventually to achieve greater national integration and cohesion (once economic access is democratised and expanded). Whilst EPRDF has been willing to give in to a number of demands for separate organisation and administration, therefore, it is highly unlikely that this strategy is regarded as a desirable end in itself. The underlying urge to voluntary integration and unity remains at the core of party ideology. This desired outcome is, of course, understood by Marxist-Leninists to be contingent upon levels of expansion, integration, and security of the national economy which have yet to materialise in Ethiopia.

Pluralism, dialogue and the development process

The TPLF and ANDM (former EPDM) were originally and for the most part of their existence Marxist guerrilla movements not political parties. They were organised and trained to fight for state power in the bush, not to compete for it in a democratic arena. Their structure and conditioning have not altered greatly since 1991 and they have a bearing on the political behaviour of the EPRDF. Democratic centralism is the principle to which both movements adhered. Its purpose is to prevent factionalism and ensure discipline. Needless to say, it is not conducive to pluralism. (Markakis 2001:51)

31 In the view of the authors this difficulty reflects a fundamental flaw in the tradition of Marxist-Leninist or Stalinist approaches to the ‘national question’, which incorporate contradictory and mutually incompatible elements both of primordialism (such as the notion of the intrinsic ‘naturalness’ of certain nations and nationalities, and corresponding idea that a ‘correct’ map of their location can be drawn up) and of instrumentalism (suggestive of the political mobilisation and construction of a malleable ethnic identity).
We do not seek coalitions with elites. The only coalition we seek is with the people; and the democratisation we seek is the democratisation of society and social relations. (Meles Zenawi, interviewed August 1994)

EPRDF has never appeared as an organisation committed to pluralism for its own sake. This has been particularly visible in terms of its attitudes to resource distribution and the delivery of services, views entrenched by experience gained during the cross-border period of the 1980s. During this period, the organisation consolidated its clear understanding of the value and potential of the coincidence of interest between peasant populations benefiting from socio-economic development, and the party consolidating its support base as a result of being seen to provide the means of such development.32 As a result, it has been resistant to the emergence of parallel (competitor) systems of local resource delivery, as for instance welfare provision by religious and other civil society institutions (this is discussed further in the section on civil society below).

This said, there is some evidence of shifts in the public pronouncements and apparent thinking of the party leadership, seemingly on the basis of experience since 1991. It would perhaps be important to understand these developments in detail (and perhaps even to seek to incorporate them into a framework for negotiation with the Government of Ethiopia). During the 1997 Jimma congress of the EPRDF, for instance, the formal disassociation of the party’s mass associations (for farmers, women, and youth) was agreed upon, albeit with the considerable reluctance of many rural delegates. The rationale given for this step was the importance of harnessing local affiliation, initiative, and even competition into the development process, by opening it up to alternative groupings. The formal decisions taken in 1997 were followed in 2001 with party agreement to foster local development initiatives. This marked a reversal of the policy which had endorsed activities only at the Regional State levels, through the identikit twin structures of development association (TDA, ALMA, SEPDA, etc.), or regional NGO (REST, ORDA, OSHO, etc.).33 Whilst practical results of the change of policy have not yet been seen, it represents a dramatic shift of party rhetoric, potentially impinging in a fundamental way on the ideological positions discussed above. It will be important to monitor developments in this respect.

If there is one area in which ruling party ideology is infuriating for outside observers, particularly those accustomed to a plural approach, it relates to an ideological unwillingness to engage in dialogue with alternative political perspectives, a sense that ‘if you are not with us, you are against us’. A dominant view in EPRDF is that disagreements in policy and perspective should generate political competition rather than dialogue. Thus, ‘if people disagree with our programme, that is their right, and we also don’t have to take on board their views: let them set up a political party of their own and lobby for support for their ideas from the people – this is what democracy means’.34

‘Political culture’ in Ethiopia

Before proceeding with the more specific comments about particular aspects of the operation of power in Ethiopia, a number of general observations concerning some of the pervasive traits of the ‘political culture’ dominant in much of the country may provide a background for the subsequent discussion.35

Hoben has suggested that

32 de Waal (1998) has given a favourable (if underdeveloped) account of these dynamics, stressing the importance of a ‘political contract’ between party and population, as forming the basis for mutual advantage and socio-economic benefit, and elaborating the Tigrayan case as a rare positive example.

33 This recent shift is seen by those involved as ‘seismic’: it has certainly involved the dramatic reversal of strong public statements on the part of senior politicians. In the past, for instance in Tigray, calls to organise development work in - and in the interests of - specific localities, and outside the ‘national’ framework of REST and TDA, were denounced as ‘narrow nationalism’ (interview notes, December 1991)

34 Interview, EPRDF member, April 2002.

35 This brief review cannot but caricature the diversity and vitality of Ethiopian socio-political culture. Readers are encouraged to look, for more nuance, at such work as Poluha (2002) (forthcoming); Freeman & Pankhurst (2001); Donham & James (eds) (2002[1986]); James et al. (eds) (2002); Kurimoto & Simons (eds) (1998); Fukui & Markakis (eds.) (1994); Baxter et al. (eds) (1996); amongst many others.
[i]t is a fundamental postulate of Amhara culture [...] that social order, which is good, can be created and maintained only through hierarchical, legitimate control deriving ultimately from God. (1970)

In other words, ‘Abyssinian’ political culture emphasises a strict hierarchical understanding of society, where each member’s socio-political position and status is clearly defined and understood. Social and political interaction and behaviour are guided by an elaborate set of norms and rules, which establish socio-political order on the basis of a rigid system of deference and sanction. This is not to say that all Ethiopian cultures can be compared to the Amhara tradition. Levine, for instance, asserts that

The Oromo are in many ways the antithesis of the Amhara. [...] Where the Amhara system is hierarchical, the Oromo is egalitarian. Where the Amhara is individualistic, the Oromo is solidaristic. (1974:128)

However, since the control of the Ethiopian state has historically been associated with the Abyssinian, or Amhara/Tigrayan, socio-political tradition, it may be argued that it provides the context for the formation of the dominant trends in the ‘political culture’ of contemporary Ethiopia.

Ideas about, and norms of political culture and behaviour (i.e. that system of knowledge which, we asserted above, itself ‘constitutes’ the distribution of power) are transmitted to new generations first and foremost through the general pattern of socialisation. As such, a child receives his/her first impression of political behaviour, and all other social institutions, through observations, experiences, teaching and sanction at home, based on the interaction among the family members and between the family members and the outside world. Levine’s characterisation of the Amhara household is that it “is less a family unit than it is a vertically ordered set of status-roles” (1974:123). The elaborate set of rules guiding social conduct between family members, and within the community at large ensures that everyone, from an early age, knows their place in the hierarchy with respect to one another and is expected to show the appropriate degree of deference. Thus, for instance, interaction continually reaffirms how men are superior to women, and elders to younger. Moreover, religious or political office gives added authority, whereas members of certain despised groups (craftsmen, potters, tanners, hunters) are classified as inferior. A system of social classification along these lines continues to be widely reproduced, imbuing new generations with cultural notions that people are not equal and the world is not egalitarian. Individuals are ranked according to a set of criteria which invest some people with greater ‘value’ than others – both in social and political terms – and determine, moreover, that one should always be subservient to any individual regarded as superior to oneself.

The pattern of social interaction in Ethiopia hence sustains a strictly hierarchical stratification of society, where one is constrained, by a largely invisible but rigid system of collective sanctions, to obey the ‘orders from above’. This applies whether the orders are a fatherly command to assist in the chores of the household, or an instruction from the kebele to join in a political meeting. We may thus also say that the traditional socio-political framework of highland Ethiopia defines the both the relevant political arenae of interaction, and also the specific gate-keepers who control access to these arenae, at different levels. In the political arena of the household, the male household head is the gate-keeper, and administers the political capital of the household. Hence, women are usually quiescent in discussions outside the household, since the social norm defines that the household is controlled and represented by the male household head, and that women are thus represented in public arenae by their husbands. At the level of the village community, the relevant gate-keepers may be identified as the local kebele administrators.

A deeper understanding of the ‘political culture’ of Ethiopia also suggests how the distribution of power in the country must be viewed as both ‘function and constitutive feature of the interaction of a social collective’. Focusing on the position of individuals and groups of actors by means of their relations with others allows us to understand better the continuing marginalisation of, for instance, women and minorities. Since the state and other institutions should be viewed as relay points of power and thus facilitating the enhancement and multiplication of power relations, the position of individuals or groups disassociated from these institutions from the start will hence be perpetuated in powerlessness.

36 Referring to the historically dominant Orthodox Christian highland area where the ge’ez language family (Amharic and Tigrigna) is in use.
37 For an extremely critical study of Amhara tradition in these respects, see the controversial analysis of Molvaer (1995).
On the kind of analysis we advocate, then, the social and cultural (and indeed economic) norms and institutions persisting between the groups in question must be understood as much more than the ‘context’ within which their political life is waged and develops. These institutions form part of the system of knowledge, which constitutes (which is) the pattern of distribution of ‘social power’. If power relations are to be democratised and transformed, these transformations must take place at every level and in every sphere of such social relations. Similarly, the corollary of this position is that there is a powerful weight of inertia in the pre-existing social and cultural arrangements, which counteracts the attempts of any force (be it ruling or opposition party, or civil society group) committed to their reform. It seems essential to recognise that – whatever the aspirations of the government - fundamental socio-political dynamics and norms in Ethiopia, as they currently operate, favour not democratisation, but the perpetuation of hierarchy and authoritarianism at every level of interaction.

In Ethiopian tradition and in the Amharic language (which remains the language of state at the federal and some regional levels) no distinction is made between ‘state’ and ‘government’, both being referred to as mengist. Since the kebele administrators are government representatives, they are also vested with the authority of the state/government, the prime power-holder in highland Ethiopian tradition (with the exception of God). They control the public political arena at the village level, and, as a result of prevailing social institutions, their authority is seldom publicly questioned by the people. Moreover, in a similar manner kebele administrators themselves seldom question the orders they receive ‘from above’ to implement in their localities. ‘As long as one says nothing and makes no remarks, neither can one be punished for one’s actions’ is a postulate traditionally followed equally by peasants, administrators and low/middle level politicians in Ethiopia.

The hierarchical expression of political culture creates various mechanisms of exclusion and inclusion. The gate-keepers also, to a certain degree, define the socio-political agenda for discourse, be that within the household/family/clan or within the village/local community. Most of Ethiopia’s rural citizens do not imagine that they should debate and select from alternative means of asserting control over their own lives. Nor do they consider it appropriate that their peers should do so, let alone those they regard as superior or inferior. Rather, the major source of political discourse continues to be the central government. In this kind of socio-political context there is little realistic alternative to the communication of political programmes from the top-down, from centre to periphery (whatever the aspirations or protestations to the contrary of those involved). Thus, it is the political agenda of the EPRDF which dominates, communicated through its control of the state mass media (notably radio), and the state administrative structures. It would require a transformation of political culture for government officials imagine that constituents can be ‘relied upon’ to choose between alternative political visions. As a result, attempts from opposition parties or other civil society actors to define an agenda for discourse are actively counteracted by the organs of state and government, and vice versa. The result and perpetuating cause of this exclusionary culture is the polarisation of public political debate. The government and opposition do not enter into public dialogue on issues and ideology, but are entrenched each in their own inwardly-informed political positions, from which they communicate against each other, rather than with each other.

The outcome of such polarisation can be expected to be the channelling of political opposition through other means than peaceful statements and rallies. ‘Since our opinions are not heard or considered through political debate, let us talk with the barrel of the gun’ has been a political tradition in Ethiopia. It would be surprising if this tradition were quickly reversed. As the following section suggests, the potential for violent political conflict is not only driven by exclusionary political culture, but also fed by the long-standing monopolistic predominance of the Ethiopian state in the control of material resources of all kinds.

38 See the fascinating description by Eva Poluha (In press) on the continuity of understanding of power at the grassroots over three Ethiopian regimes.

39 A number of the armed resistance movements in the country, of which the Oromo Liberation Front is the most prominent, are discussed below.
Issues for the future: resource conflict

A range of commentators on the Horn of Africa has traced a relation between iniquitous distribution or scarcity of resources, and conflict. In Ethiopia, the majority of resources continue to be administered by, and at the disposal of the state: the state, therefore, remains the locus of conflict. After a decade of ethnic federalism, there are initial indications that the transformation of the structures of the state has resulted in a concomitant shift in the patterns of resource-related conflict.

Of particular interest (and concern) is the proliferation of increasingly localised conflict over administrative boundary-making in the ethnically diverse SNNPNRS. Since the allocation of government budgets (still overwhelmingly the major resource in rural areas) is made on the basis of such administrative units, their terms are matters of great significance for those seeking control of the resources in question. Under FDRE constitutional arrangements, for instance, it matters very much whether a given town is chosen as the capital of a region, zone, or special wereda, or whether it loses out to a neighbour. The relatively educated and urbanised elites of small groups in the south have learned quickly that a successful claim to separate language, history, culture, and identity can provide the key to separate allocation of budget, and elevation from wereda or kebele status to that of ‘special wereda’ or zone, with its correspondingly enhanced budgetary provision. It is no surprise then that such claims have proliferated, particularly in cases where a right which had initially been granted is seen to have been removed or curtailed, and indeed that they have often led to bloodshed, as ambitious ‘ethnic entrepreneurs’ have mobilised their ethnic compatriots to resistance.

It is worth noting that conflict has often focused either around the fate of towns and cities, or over grazing and water resources where pastoralists, agro-pastoralists, and agriculturalists are in close proximity and competition. This can be seen to reflect the interests of those in positions of social and political influence in the various communities in question. Amongst pastoral communities, clan and lineage leaders are primarily concerned with the maintenance (and expansion) of access to livestock-related resources. Amongst settled communities, by contrast, educated elites are primarily concerned with control of the resource concentrations to be found in towns, and associated with the state.

Thus arguments have been presented by Oromo nationalists laying claim to the vast revenues of Finfinne (Addis Ababa, itself); three NRSs have laid claim to the resources of Dire Dawa (which, as a result, remains subject to a Provisional Administration, reporting to the Federal Government); and Sidama nationalists have recently opposed moves to remove SNNPNRS regional capital Awassa’s municipal administration from the purview of the Sidama zone in which it is situated. On the other hand, ethno-nationalists have been equally concerned to win recognition for home towns seen to have been neglected under current federal arrangements: thus Jimma’s inhabitants complain of its precipitous decline following the removal of a large proportion of its former coffee trading to neighbouring SNNPNRS, and its reduction to mere zonal capital, complaints also echoed in Gondar; Welaiyta elders lobbied (successfully) not only for recognition of their language but also that Sodo might not lose out to Arba Minch; and recent disturbances in and around Yeki wereda, in Kaffa, Sheka and Bench Maji zones, seem to involve a combination of calls for the instatement of Tepi as capital of a separate zone, and attempts to control the lucrative coffee trade which centres on the town.

Whether one considers these developments to be the unfortunate consequence of ‘ethnicising’ federalism, or the dynamic flourishing of local level political competition, reflecting the greatly enhanced access of local communities (or at least their elite members) to participate in politics, and ‘eat’

---

40 The most prominent is John Markakis, whose views are synthesised in his 1998 publication Resource Conflict in the Horn of Africa.

41 This could apply to a range of recent cases in the southern region, as for instance, disgruntlement at the merger of Bench-Maji and Kaffa-Sheka zones after 1995, failure to reinstate what had under Haile Selassie been an awraja around Sheka (comprising six woredas from what are now Gambella, Oromia, and SNNPNRS), current negotiations regarding the possible removal of Awassa the SNNPNRS regional capital from the ambit of Sidama zone, etc.

42 Markakis, in a recent study for USAID (forthcoming) has also drawn attention to the increasing importance of contraband trade in driving conflict amongst pastoralists, in this case the Afar and Somali Issa.

43 if there is one instance of conflict which does not involve pastoralists which nevertheless also does not focus on the fate of an urban centre, it is perhaps the Silte case. In this instance, the situation is distorted by the influence on the Silte autonomy campaign of the large Silte mercantile community in Addis Ababa. This influential constituency has been less interested in the establishment of an urban centre in Silte area from which they could operate (since they are already effectively established in trading networks in the capital, and throughout the country), than in securing a separate government budget for their own zone. See particularly Markakis in Mohammed Salih et al (eds) (1997), on the peculiarities of the Gurage/Silte case.
from the government table\textsuperscript{44}, is a complex question. What seems important in this analysis is that such conflicts are not remotely ‘senseless’, and cannot be explained by reference to ethnic difference. Rather they are the logical reactions of communities seeking to secure what they perceive to be their interests in the context of current circumstances (where ethnic difference has been rendered constitutionally salient), and on the basis of the information at their disposal. (Note once again that the distribution of knowledge is at the root of the relations of power). The recent government decision to reduce the relative scale of budgetary resources available to NRS, ethnic zonal, and special wereda government strata, in favour of wereda-level block grants, seems designed to remove an important incentive which has fed this trend over the last decade, whilst at the same time effectively reducing the relative importance of ethnically defined units.

If these conflicts are the result of calculative action on the part of communities seeking to extend their control of resources, they are likely to prove increasingly problematic in a probable context of population growth, depletion of natural resources, and uncertain expansion of the economy relative to demographic developments. If, as suggested above, the dynamics of local administration (e.g. conflicts over border demarcation, access to budgetary, human, and natural resources, etc.) are already conceived in terms of resource conflict, it can only be expected that this will escalate as pressure increases. There are indications that the government is increasingly considering the likely implications of this situation, beyond its new emphasis on weredas. The impact of two important new policy initiatives, for instance, will be relevant here. These are: the new and additional emphasis on pastoralism and the pastoral economy; and the development of decentralised municipalities, and exploration of infrastructure to enhance urban-rural linkages. Both have been adopted and will be pursued under the auspices of the MoFedA (regarding which see further detail below). It is noteworthy that both new areas of policy focus relate to areas associated with the emergence of conflict.

The key point here is that these conflicts continue to centre upon the state, its offices, budgets, and centres, which overwhelmingly dominate the control of resources, including – crucially - land. Whilst the patterns of state administration have been radically changed and decentralised, with privatisation introduced since the demise of the previous regime, this central factor remains unchanged. Unchanged also, therefore, is the central dynamic of conflict – the essential need to win control of state bodies in order to gain access to resources of any significance.

\textsuperscript{44} Cf for instance Bayart (1993)
A) The state institutions

In common with subsequent sections of this report, this chapter does not seek comprehensively to
document or provide an overview of the political institutions of central importance in Ethiopia today. It
does however seek to draw attention to points of significance, debate, or confusion, regarding relations
and structures of power as they influence the prospects for Sida’s objectives in Ethiopia.

The Constitution

The constitution must be regarded not only as a legal document, but also as a political
statement of purpose, which must therefore be interpreted realistically in the context of
political power relations pertaining. (Vice-President of the Federal Supreme Court,
interviewed March 1998)

The Constitution of the FDRE was drawn up by a Commission established by a conference of political
and ethnic groupings convened after the departure of the Dergue regime in 1991. Adopted in mid-
1995, it established a federation of 9 National Regional States (NRSs) drawn along the lines of
Ethiopia’s major language groups and enjoying full rights of ‘self-determination up to and including
secession’, along with two cities (Dire Dawa and Addis Ababa) administered by the Federal
Government. The 9 States (Afar, Amhara, Benishangul-Gumuz, Gambella, Harar, Oromia, Somali,
SNNPNRS, and Tigray) are dramatically asymmetrical in terms of every social indicator, with vast
differences in demographic distribution and profile, developmental indices, resources, etc.45. In
constitutional terms, the federation is itself asymmetric, with slightly different administrative and
political arrangements marking the representation of populations notably in Harar (where elections to
part of the legislature are, exceptionally, open to an electorate living beyond the State’s borders), and in
the SNNPNRS (which forms a federation within a federation, with legislative, executive, and
representative bodies existing at sub-regional levels46).

The nature of the Federation

During the Transitional Period it was clear that regional and national governments were subordinate to
the central TGE (Proclamation 7/1992, Art.3(3)). This changed abruptly with the adoption of the
FDRE constitution, which specifies that ‘all powers not given expressly to the Federal Government
alone, or concurrently to the Federal Government and the States, are reserved to the States’ (Art.52(1)).
Over and above debate about the political reality the constitution frames, there is also disagreement as
to the formal significance of this arrangement. Brietzke’s view is that the combination of residual
powers to the States, and the ‘right of secession of nations and nationalities’ (Art.39) constitutes
something ‘more like a transnational treaty such as the Treaty of Rome […] than a nation-state’
(1994:18-24)47. The constitutionally enshrined fiction that the arrangement reflects the spontaneous
coming together of pre-existing nationality-based polities is, of course, fundamentally at variance with
the history of the process which was, as in Cohen’s analysis, ‘a product of the centre which could [also]
reverse [it]’ (1995:165)48. This contradiction parallels the bizarre combination of circumstances at the
outset of the Transitional Period. In 1991 different ethnic groups had clearly sought self-determination
to a highly asymmetrical degree, such that a number were – in a striking conceptual contortion –
effectively ‘granted’ a ‘right of self-determination’ which they had not previously claimed (cf. Andreas
Eshete 1992:11). This marked an attempt to translate inequity of political history and demands, into
equity of future provision. The asymmetries which characterised the transition continue to be of

45 A useful review of developmental and political indicators is given in Havé, et al. (1998) ‘summary report’, ‘federal context’
and ‘statistical tables’.
46 this situation is also replicated in those other regions which include ‘Special’ Woredas or Zones for ethnic representation, such
as, for instance, Waag Himra, Agew Midir, and Oromia special zones in Amhara NRS.
47 although he goes on to stress (1994:33) that ‘in jurisprudential terms the apparent absence of an Ethiopian attachment to
westernised positivism or natural law is actually an advantage because it facilitates purposive (unionist) interpretations of
modifications of the [then] Draft Constitution’. Thus also Later (1996:16) ‘a legalistic approach to implementation could throw
up all sorts of difficulties in precisely defining the power and jurisdiction of the of Federal and Regional Government. Disputes
over jurisdiction would need to be settled in the courts or by the Federal Council [HoF]. But this is only one possible scenario.
The constitution provides for reciprocal delegation of power, and it may equally be possible for Federal and Regional
Governments to work together within the Constitution on the basis of common interests and consensus’.
48 This was also Brietzke’s analysis.
significance in the political power structure in Ethiopia; meanwhile struggles over the autonomy and identity of the self-determining 'selves' increasingly define the territory of contemporary political, administrative, and economic conflict.

**Self-determination and secession**

FDRE Constitutional Art.39(5) defines ‘a nation, nationality or people [… as] a group of people who have or share a large measure of a common culture or similar customs, mutual intelligibility of language, belief in a common or related identities, a common psychological make-up, and who inhabit an identifiable, predominantly contiguous territory’. The article goes on to spell out the right of self-determination pertaining to these units in linguistic, cultural, and political terms. The most controversial component of the article, however, is of course the unconditionality of the right of self-determination which provides for secession following carefully specified procedures (sub.Art.(4)). Most commentators, including Brietzke, now agree that the attainment of secession has been constitutionally circumscribed in ways as to render its achievement exceptionally difficult.

Parallels have been drawn with a 'tradition' of Marxist-Leninist regimes which, having offered self-determination to minority communities to gain their support for revolution, have subsequently ensured that it cannot be used as a means to escape the post-revolutionary orbit (cf., for instance, Walker Connor 1994). On the other hand, it seems that the inclusion of the right to secession was intended as far more than a psychological sop to the apprehensions of those communities or movements still smarting in 1991 from a history of marginalisation. Those who interpreted the incorporation of the right as a device simply to secure the participation of the OLF in the TGE underestimated the strength of feeling on this issue amongst EPRDF organisations and particularly their older constituencies.

**Division of powers and functions between State and Federal Governments**

It is important to note that, in comparison with the more specific Proclamation 7/1992 of the TGE, which first established the National Self-Governments, the FDRE constitutional provisions establishing the NRSs give a skeleton framework only. The status quo established by the Transitional Proclamation had, until relatively recently, seen little adjustment since 1995, with State constitutions (and legislation) demonstrating little or no variation from the Federal model. This situation has been heavily influenced by the unmitigated dominance of the ruling party, bureaucratic inertia, and relatively greater capacity constraints within the regions. The essential legal point is that its perpetuation is not necessitated by constitutional provision.

Formally, States enjoy residual powers under the Constitution. In addition to Art.51, which enumerates the powers and functions reserved to the Federal Government, however, the major effective check upon NRSs' power is embodied in its Chapter 10, which sets out National Policy Principles and Objectives binding on Federal and State Government bodies alike. In combination with Art.51(2) (which reserves to the federal government the power to 'formulate and implement the policies, strategies and plans in respect of over all economic, social and developmental matters') and Art.51(3) (regarding the establishment and implementation of 'national standards and basic policy criteria for health, education' etc.), these vague and overarching principled provisions offer the Federal Government scope for extensive policy leverage – effectively even veto - over the States. The constitutional framework is, of
course, bolstered in favour of the federal government, by a financial balance of power decisively tipped towards the centre\textsuperscript{54}.

Reactions to developments during the 2000 (federal, state) and 2001 (wereda, kebele) elections have begun to suggest the potentially far-reaching implications of this complex constitutional sharing of powers and responsibilities. To date, opposition candidates elected to office at wereda and kebele levels (particularly in the SNNPNRS) have sought to do little in terms of formulation or implementation of distinctive local policies\textsuperscript{55}. In principle, however, it would be possible for a future Federal government (whether or not dominated by one political organisation) to use the constitutional provisions described above as a basis for withholding Federal subsidy from localities administered by alternative parties, on the basis that the policies pursued by those organisations were not in accordance with the framework set out by the Federal Government\textsuperscript{56}. On the other hand, the calculation of the federal subsidy is supposed to be made on the basis of a combination of factors including population, development indices, revenue generating capacity, etc., under the jurisdiction of the HoF: it makes no reference to federally-agreed policy frameworks. On the face of it, this apparent clash of constitutional principles could make a mockery of pluralism in devolution.

Two points of friction have already emerged over the last decade, regarding the relative authority of Federal and State governments and judiciary, one of which directly concerns jurisdiction over the federal subsidy. The Office of the Federal Auditor General was established by Proclamation 26 (March 1997), and is appointed by, and accountable to, the HPR. It is responsible \textit{inter alia} to carry out (or have carried out)

- audits of all Federal Offices’ accounts;
- audits of all accounts involving budget subsidies or special grants extended by the Federal government to the States.

The closure and auditing of accounts of national ministries were, at the outset of the TGE up to nine years behind schedule in some instances, and the OFAG made great progress towards closing the backlog by 1999, with resident auditors operating in the MoF (now MoFRED)\textsuperscript{57}. Regarding the second area of OFAG’s mandate, however, that of auditing the expenditure of Federal subsidies and grants transferred to the States, the office has experienced what it calls ‘a disparity between what the law says and the reality’\textsuperscript{58}. To varying degrees States have been resistant to federal auditing powers which, whilst set out in Proclamation 26, are not specified in constitutional Art.101 as pertaining to budget subsidies and grants to the States. Resistance does not seem to have been uniform, with – interestingly - the better-established NRS governments refusing access outright, whilst the weakest administrations petition OFAG to send additional personnel and resources to support their almost non-existent auditing capacity. Up to 1998, no overall audit of budget subsidy had been carried out in a number of key States, with only partial work completed in other States.

The second point of friction arises in the judicial arena, and relates to Art.10 of Federal Proclamation 25, which gives the Federal Supreme Court power of cassation over final decisions of the Regional Supreme Courts in cases where they contain a ‘fundamental error of law’. This federal legislation is not

\textsuperscript{54} No more than 10% of revenues by the Regional States are generated by them.
\textsuperscript{55} Recently, for instance, in weredas around Tepi town, Sheka zone of SNNPNRS during March/April 2002, controversy has focussed around the newly elected Sheko-Majengir Party’s determination to disarm militia and police within the wereda in which its officials were elected, rather than on any radical departures in socio-economic policy-making.
\textsuperscript{56} This point was made by a senior EPRDF politburo member, interviewed in April 2002, a fact which only increases the potentially extraordinary polico-economic significance which this issue could assume. The move to a system of budget block grants to weredas (see section on The Executive, below) in a context where it should be likely that some additional weredas will be administered by the opposition following new elections, could make a spectacular political battleground of the development budget. It is more than likely that Federal Ministries might invoke the binding character and moral authority of their agreements with donors (the major source of federal subsidy being, of course, multilateral and bilateral development funding, negotiated between the Federal government and donor community) as a further safeguard against loss control of resources to localities outside the ruling political orbit.
\textsuperscript{57} More recent information was unavailable in April 2002: it seems still to be the case, however, that federal accounts fulfil primarily historical functions rather than informing decision-making.
\textsuperscript{58} Interview, Addis Ababa, 5 March 1998.
clearly supported by constitutional provision, and has been challenged as unconstitutional by the State courts. It seems unlikely that this issue will be resolved in advance of pressing practical need.

The executive

Many of us thought the Ethiopian state on the verge of collapse in 1991: well, far from it! The state is stronger and stronger and, as throughout its modern history, Ethiopia’s problem is not too little government but too much. (International observer, interviewed February 2000)

In the wake of the split in the ruling party in 2001, and coincident with the ‘renewal process’ subsequent to it, a number of steps have been taken to reorganise and consolidate the executive. A point of overall importance concerns the decision by the ruling party to abandon the policy of appointing technocrat ministers, now making only political appointments to ministerial portfolios. This is discussed in further detail in the section on state-party relations below.

Federal Ministries and Superministries

A series of new federal ‘superministries’ has been established in the last 12 months, a number of which have been replicated at regional and local levels. This step seems to have been designed to rationalise responsibility for oversight of a range of priority areas of socio-economic development by placing them under the remit of the newly created:

- Ministry for Capacity Building
- Ministry of Infrastructure
- Ministry of Rural Development
- Ministry of Federal Affairs

The oversight and co-ordination functions of these new ministries (a full list of federal ministers, junior ministers, ministries, and the executive agencies reporting to each is given in Annex) had previously been undertaken by a series of political advisors to the PM, or by departments within the PMO. The establishment of these new structures can be interpreted as a move to expand, entrench and strengthen the institutionalisation of state executive structures, establishing ‘accountable’ civil service bodies to replace more personalised political advisory functions (these issues are further dealt with in the discussion on state-party relations below). It also represents a clear move to divest the PMO of large tracts of its oversight responsibilities, spreading these between the four new senior ministers who, together with the PM, can be seen to constitute a new core leadership of the government’s development programme.

It is worth noting the close parallels between the mandates given the new ministries, and the preoccupation of ongoing discussions to advance the elaboration and adoption of a series of party, and thus government, policy frameworks. New policies on Human Resource Development, and Rural Development have recently been finalised at the time of writing, and their Amharic texts are publicly available. Party policy on Urban Development and Industrialisation remains on the agenda for to discussion, along with two other areas of policy-making of more than average interest: democratisation and security.

It is currently difficult to establish how these structures will develop, since much of the last 12 months has been spent in discussions about their establishment, and the policies which will guide their activities. They currently exist in skeleton form only, with personnel only beginning to be assigned in mid-2002, or to move from evaluation and planning into operational activities. It is to be hoped that these last will now start to gather speed. The lengthy period from late 2000, since when senior political personnel have been effectively mired in meetings, seems to have had a detrimental effect both on political

59 The matter was discussed in early 1998 by the Plenum of the Federal Supreme Court (or Council of Courts) comprising the President, Vice-President and Judges of the Federal Supreme, High, and First Instance Courts, and the Presidents of the State Supreme Courts

60 interview with Sebhat Nega, March 2002
psychology and on state sector implementation capacity at all levels. Its further prolongation could prove extremely damaging particularly in view of current economic trends. Previous experience of the EPRDF’s handling of internal reorganisation and policy-making, however, does not lead one to be optimistic regarding the early conclusion of such deliberations.

A few tentative comments and observations may be made regarding the Federal Ministries.

The Ministry of Federal Affairs is perhaps of particular interest to Sida in terms of the critical roles it plays in the areas of decentralisation, urban/rural relations, inter-state relations and conflict resolution, and support to the weaker peripheral regions. The MoFedA comprises two divisions, dealing with Regional State relations, and with Urban Development. The latter, with which the Minister seems to be primarily pre-occupied, bears direct responsibility for the activities of the Addis Ababa and Dire Dawa city administrations, and plays the lead (facilitatory) role in the development of new policy directions in relation to municipal/urban development throughout the states. The other wing of the MoFedA is involved in conflict resolution within and between regional states, and includes departments dealing with, amongst other things ‘democratisation’, ‘decentralisation’, and support for the four weaker regions of Afar, Somali, Benishangul-Gumuz, and Gambella. Recent conflicts between the Afar and Somali Issa, which disrupted traffic along the main Djibouti road in the Gewane area in April-May 2002, have elicited the attention of the Ministry, with the Minister issuing stern statements regarding the severity of the consequences of any escalation of conflict. The Ministry is also directly responsible for the activities of the Federal Police and for Federal Prisons. Finally, because of its role in supporting those peripheral regions, which are home to the majority of Ethiopia’s pastoralists, the MoFedA has been given responsibility for the elaboration of new policies on pastoralism and pastoral development.

The new Ministry of Rural Development is headed by the former President of Amhara NRS. His appointment has been welcomed by those who saw Amhara NRS as unusually effective, ambitious, and receptive to innovation and devolution in rural development. Also newly established is a Ministry of Capacity Building, to which the Ministry of Education now reports. Whilst it is too early to assess the role of this pivotal new body, some concerns have been voiced regarding the ‘co-ordination role’ this ministry is beginning to exercise over the allocation of resources to other government offices. The government’s failure to fill the vacant post of Minister for Women’s Affairs has generated some concern that it plans to abolish the office.  

---

61 one well-placed recent interviewee summarised the mood of many outside government: ‘I am reminded with sadness of the last five years of the Dergue: the political leadership was locked in discussion, and the country was run – in so far as it was run at all – by department heads’ (interview, Addis Ababa, April 2002).
62 Compare, for instance notably, the deliberations regarding the separation of TPLF party/fighters and state army, and regarding popular expectations of socio-economic development, which effectively paralysed the Tigray regional government and a large sector of its population for more than 6 months in 1992/3 (Young 1994).
63 New focus in this area of policy development seems to represent a significant shift in EPRDF thinking which had, until recently, given almost exclusive emphasis only to rural areas. The apparently disappointing results of ADLI, together with concerns about the agricultural marketing infrastructure in the wake of recent price collapses may have contributed to a radical re-think. For further details see ‘Municipal Decentralization in Ethiopia: A Rapid Assessment’, World Bank, February 2002.
64 One of the changes of policy adopted by the Federal government in the last year relates to the status of federal advisers to these four regions whilst technical advice is still offered by the federal government to the NRS bureaux, the category of political advisors to the NRS Executives has been formally removed.
65 Such conflicts, which have traditionally governed access to shrinking grazing lands at this time of year, have been exacerbated by the fact that demarcation of State borders implies the replacement of overlapping and shifting access with a series of mutually exclusive ethnic jurisdictions. This is only the newest instance of a problem which first confronted the lowland areas of the Horn of Africa at the time of its partition by the colonial powers, including Ethiopia, in the late 19th century.
66 The federal government has been exasperated and angered by evidence that the government of Afar NRS has been involved in the provision of (at least) logistical support in the conflict, for instance in the form of vehicles to transport dead and wounded Afar fighters from the conflict areas.
67 Although these formal responsibilities do not yet seem to have been transferred from the Security Agency.
68 Interest in pastoralism, from government, NGOs and donors, has recently increased dramatically. It seems likely that government concern is at least partly caused by political and security considerations to do with disaffection amongst the porous, mobile, and marginal communities on Ethiopia’s borders, particularly in the wake of EPLF-backed agitation since 1996. Whilst the recent growth in discussion and debate regarding pastoralism is to be welcomed, concerns still focus upon continuing government enthusiasm for ‘voluntary’ settlement as a primary strategy.
69 One example of such openness and responsiveness is Amhara’s adoption in 1996/7 of a policy to lease hillsides to individuals or groups for income generation from environmentally sustainable tree-planting, an idea first developed and piloted in the NGO sector. It should be noted, however, that this positive view of Amhara NRS government is not universally shared.
70 Whilst many who followed developments regarding gender issues remained largely unconvinced of the effectiveness of the role, the potential importance and usefulness of the office is widely agreed upon.
Much speculation about the reorganisation of the executive at federal level concerns possible evidence of the decline of Tigrayan influence. Observers disagree about the overall impact of the change, some noting that a core of ministries and agencies key to the security and stability of the state are still controlled by Tigrigna-speakers loyal to the Prime Minister71. The point is of importance, although the value of extended analysis in these terms is doubtful.

The National Regional States

In common with the policy change at federal level, cabinet members of the EPRDF-controlled regional executives are also now all political rather than technical appointments. A further development of the recent period has consolidated the formal separation of powers of State executive and State legislature by means of the constitutional provision for, and appointment of, NRS Parliamentary Speakers. The change means that the States now enjoy a structure similar to that of the Federal Government. It may have been triggered by the ouster of the President and Secretary of Tigray NRS in early 2001. In the absence of the office of NRS Speaker, their removal was complicated by the lack of separation of powers, and their de facto dual role in the parliamentary leadership.

If concern is currently felt about the extent to which federal government activity has been curtailed by political and policy meetings, worries are significantly more serious regarding State (NRS) government activity. Although such periods of suspended animation are not entirely new in EPRDF-administered Ethiopia, this one is of more concern given the middle class political mood, and economic climate. A recent suggestion of the scale of local government inactivity was provided by the annual report of Mekelle municipality in April 2002, that expenditure of only one million Ethiopian Birr had been made against a capital budget of EB 17 million. In mid-2002 Mekelle seemed to be in the grip of a widespread sense of frustration at the prolonged period of government paralysis72. In so far as purges within the various components of the party structure continue in the coming months, this kind of paralysis can be expected to continue to be a feature of NRS government life.

Two related changes are currently being effected in the structure of government within the States. The first is the abolition or curtailment of the zonal level of administration; the second is the move to a system of block grants, which (as of the Ethiopian Financial Year beginning July 2002) pass directly from the States to the weredas73.

The idea of wereda block grants, and devolution of budgeting, expenditure and accounting, has been a stated objective of government decentralisation for much of the last decade. What is new is the abrupt shift to this system, which had been expected to be phased-in over a significantly more extended period. In Tigray, for instance, this kind of approach had been piloted in the UNICEF-supported Wereda Integrated Basic Services (WIBS), and Irish Aid Community Development Fund (CDF) programmes. Plans for a gradual expansion of the approach following phased evaluations of these projects have now been short-circuited, and there are concerns regarding the capacity for a rapid outward shift in financial responsibility.

The sudden change of policy seems to be related to the government’s determination to demonstrate a loosening up (in fact, a curtailment) of central and zonal control within the regions, in parallel with a diminution of the relative influence enjoyed by central party officials (influential at federal, NRS, and ethnic zonal levels) as opposed to local officials. The change can be expected to have a convulsive effect on local development processes, either galvanising them into new life, or potentially effecting a devastating near paralysis. Developments in this area over the immediate and mid-term periods will constitute perhaps the single most important aspect of the Ethiopian state’s political, social, and economic evolution. Sida’s extended period of engagement with the development process in a number of weredas in Amhara NRS where this devolved approach had previously been most advanced, is an
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71 Namely: Chief of Staff of the Armed Forces, General Samora; Head of Security, Getachew Asseffa; Minister of Federal Affairs, Abbye Tsehay; Minister of Foreign Affairs, Seyoum Mesfin; Minister of Information, ANDM member Bereket Simon. An equivalent list of non-Tigrigna-speakers could equally well be enumerated.
72 interviews, Mekelle, July 2002.
73 zones will still be involved in the budget process in the SNNP NRS, and in the case of ‘special zones’ where in accordance with the constitution zonal representatives are elected rather than appointed, and have a political as well as an administrative function, such zones constituting ‘units of nationality-based self-determination’. The levels of discretion and resources at their disposal however have been significantly curtailed.
invaluable resource. It seems imperative that the Agency now seek a programme of collaboration which will consolidate and expand upon its knowledge of progress in this critical development arena.

Wereda-level administration capacity, especially in the north of the country, suffered a great jolt at the outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean war in 1998, with the call-up of large numbers of more experienced personnel, including a large majority of those holding elected office. The effect of the temporary removal, or permanent loss, of this key human resource has never been quantified. If progress is to be made towards the developmental objectives shared by Sida and the Ethiopian government, the capacity building programme which might reverse the effects of these great losses will be essential.

Dispensing with zonal involvement in the administration of local government budgets will have a dramatic impact. It does not, however, seem to involve the abolition of those zones per se, with many zonal offices now re-constituted as ‘branches’ of NRS government bodies. New personnel have recently been assigned to the zones in the EPRDF-administered regions, and their relatively senior political profile suggests that the zone remains an important political locus. Quite what this means has not yet been publicly elaborated at the time of writing, and as they will henceforth play no executive role, there is some tension and confusion as to how they will function. There is considerable speculation that the diminution of the role and resources of the zones in the SNNPNRS is intended not least to remove the budgetary ‘carrot’, which had encouraged the educated members of a number of ethnic and language groups to seek a well-resourced ethnic zone of their own. The corresponding reduction of personnel also at NRS level has been plausibly interpreted as a means of reducing the capacity, but also the power, of the Regional State governments, with federal and wereda levels of government now emerging as most significant in terms of the allocation and deployment of the resources of the state74.

The Legislature

The administrative and management structures which support the activities of the House of People’s Representatives (HPR), and the House of the Federation (HoF) have recently been subject to extensive review, and new arrangements remain to be finalised at the time of writing. The parliamentary secretariat which, under a powerful Secretary General, used to serve both houses, is to be replaced with separate facilities for each. One effect of this change seems to have been the consolidation of authority of the Speaker of the HPR. The HPR will be managed by a House Affairs Commission of MPs, including opposition representatives, to be chaired by the Speaker75.

In addition to radical changes to the civil service that supports the parliament, there has also been a heavy turnover of personnel amongst MPs, with 243 of EPRDF’s 481 MPs reported deselected in advance of, or at, the last elections76. Such a widespread change of personnel has encouraged a more outspoken critique of the situation amongst those remaining in position. A number cheerfully explain a parliamentary voting procedure which, following regular weekly meetings of the parliamentary ‘leadership’ each Friday, relies on a series of individuals for each EPRDF party group who sit at the front during debates so as to lead consensus.

The system of public hearings regarding prospective legislation, organised by the committees of the HPR, has been a highly successful aspect of the development of parliamentary activity and capacity. These now attract considerable publicity, participation, and media coverage.

Since the elections of 2000, the HPR has included 25 non-EPRDF, and non-allied MPs, both from opposition parties, and independent candidates. In principle, the submission of draft legislation by means of a private member’s bill requires the signatures of 20 MPs, and is therefore within the reach of this group. In practice, however, they have not worked as a cohesive group, and the opposition has not been able to table either legislation or agenda items for debate. Indeed, the HPR has not initiated any single piece of legislation in this parliament (it had initiated 9 bills of minor or administrative import in the previous parliament), with all proposals to date coming from the Executive.

74 Interviews, SNNPNRS, Tigray, and Amhara NRSs, June/July 2002
75 The secretariat of civil servants will be further subject to the oversight of MPs’ committees for members’ services, and house liaison. The Speaker is supported by a former senior MP turned advisor, with extensive experience of financial management and the budget cycle.
76 11 from Tigray, 59 from Amhara, 104 from Oromia, 60 from SNNPNRS, 9 from Addis Ababa (MP interviewed April 2002)
Two pieces of new legislation in this category have proved particularly controversial. These are the proclamations establishing and providing for the activities of the Federal Anti-Corruption Commission, and the proclamation restricting the political activities of outgoing Heads of State. Both have attracted widespread media coverage, and speculation as to their overwhelmingly political rationale, as means of muzzling members of the EPRDF dissident group. (The work of the Anti-Corruption Commission, and the legal proceedings which it has initiated, are discussed further under Judiciary, below)

Whilst the HoF has remained relatively moribund since the defection of its Speaker in May 2001, there are plans that the three committees established by the House, dealing respectively with its major responsibilities of constitutional oversight, adjustment of the federal subsidy/budget allocation formula, and nationalities’ relations, will become standing committees, thus expediting their work. It is important to stress that, outside these three major areas of oversight, the HoF has few responsibilities, and specifically does not play the general role of ‘upper house’ regarding legislation.

The Judiciary

The judiciary in Ethiopia is constitutionally independent of both legislature and executive. This independence nevertheless remains functionally constrained in a number of important respects. Recourse to the law has, for a century, been an important component of the commercial, social, and political life of middle class Ethiopians, along with sections of the peasantry, a tradition which has now thrived and developed under three regimes. As a result, in Addis Ababa and Ethiopia’s major towns, the viability and credibility of court proceedings remain matters of widespread social concern.

Questions of capacity...

Under the EPRDF government, bottlenecks and incompetence in the court system have for some years been a focus of vitriol amongst the urban middle-class. Before the outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean conflict it was a primary cause of anger towards the government, which was often perceived as having either deliberately or neglectfully under-resourced and staffed the judicial system, and circumscribed the rule of law. It seems clear that the government [like most around the world] has been keen to appoint only judges who could be expected to share the ruling party’s policy perspectives. It is however unlikely that it is guilty of systematic sabotage of the capacity of the judicial system. The most serious bottlenecks in the federal court system seem to have been eased in recent years by the establishment of benches dealing separately and exclusively with the cases compiled by the Special Prosecutor’s Office, SPO, against members of the former regime. These cases (more than 5,000, of which more than half are being tried in absentia) had deadlocked the rest of the system until these separate arrangements were instituted. Both sets of proceedings now seem to be progressing more quickly than was the case several years ago, with the special prosecutions recently producing a spate of judgements and sentencing.

A bottleneck developed within the purview of the labour courts in 2001/2, largely triggered by a spate of redundancies from private and privatised enterprises, in the wake of the economic downturn. High profile recent cases have involved the party associated Mesfin Engineering, and the South African backed brewing company BGI Ethiopia, which is reported to have been pressed by the courts to reinstate sacked workers.

In the rural areas, the condition of the judiciary is of great concern. The federal decentralisation that started in 1991 demanded the recruitment of a large number of lawyers and judges, at the same moment as
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77 The legal coverage of The Reporter Newspaper has been particularly comprehensive.
78 It is a commonplace observation that ‘Abyssinian society must surely rank as one of the most litigious in the world’ (interview, 1994).
79 This discussion focuses on the urban and middle-class experience of the judicial system. It must be stressed, however, that the preoccupation with court proceedings is not the prerogative of the middle-class alone. The enthusiasm of Ethiopia’s highland peasants for the settlement of land-related disputes by means of litigation is legendary.
80 A large number of judges were sacked at the beginning of the 1990s, on the basis of their membership of the Dergue’s Workers’ Party of Ethiopia; they have been replaced, by and large, by new graduates of the Ethiopian Civil Service College. It should be noted that, although these graduates are in many cases relatively young, and without other legal experience, the curriculum they have followed at the CSC is identical to that of the AAU law faculty, from where it was adopted.
81 Extreme delays to these proceedings have led international observers to question their credibility and integrity, with many defendants now detained for over a decade.
experienced older judges were being dismissed for involvement with the previous regime. The extreme shortage of legally trained personnel in the more remote areas was overcome by giving primary teachers, or even school leavers, four to six months’ intensive training at the Ethiopian Civil Service College, after which they became judges or attorneys in their home areas. Reports suggest that their adjudication has not been efficient: queues and delays have become notorious, and in some instances people have simply withdrawn from a court system that has become impractical because of long deferrals and uncertain results. A promising donor programme of support to the judicial training programme in the States was cut in 2000/1, following the recruitment of the majority of its early graduates to the armed forces for the war with Eritrea. Whilst such frustration is understandable, it seems essential that donor support for judicial reform, and for the independent resourcing of the local social court system, is sustained and expanded.

The building of independence, capacity, and respect for the rule of law within the judicial sector (including the police), particularly at the levels closest to the rural majority, is perhaps the single focus of donor assistance most likely to foster the attainment of governance objectives.

... and judicial independence

If the capacity of the judiciary remains sufficiently fragile to compromise its functioning, questions also remain as to its independence from government interference. Given the overwhelming dominance of the ruling party, the judiciary operates in an atmosphere in which the pressure of government influence is unmistakable, unidirectional, and in rural areas, often backed up by at least the latent threat of force. In view of the inexperience and incapacity of the judiciary described above, this political context undoubtedly constitutes a powerful force for the compromise of judicial independence, potentially rendering judges unwilling to reach decisions which might be seen to mark them out as critical of the government. In rural areas, judges and social court arbitrators have usually been initially selected by the local administration, in each area. Many are - unsurprisingly – tempted to prove their worth through loyalty rather than by judicial autonomy.

Despite such pressures, however, a few judges in Addis Ababa have indeed passed court decisions which are not only independent of, but indeed counter to the wishes or interests of, the government. Such decisions seem often to have attracted exultant private media attention, and active governmental irritation in equal measure. In a range of cases, there is evidence that the government has sought (and found) ways of neutralising the original judgements. In at least one case, the judge concerned has been replaced. When, in 2001, the Minister of Justice was sentenced to one month’s imprisonment, he was pardoned by the Head of State (at the request of the government), in order to allow him to carry out his official duties.

The proceedings brought by the Special Prosecutor against members of the former regime for alleged crimes against humanity and genocide have occasioned a range of criticisms of the process which go wider than the central question of the freedom from interference of the members of the judiciary itself. The impartiality of both judges and process has been less a matter for concern than such wider associated issues as, for instance: the exclusive focus on WPE and Dergue members in the selection of defendants in the mandate of the SPO; the compromise of the right to speedy trial (and disregard of habeas corpus provisions) involved in the slow preparation of charges; the apparent dropping of charges against two senior members of the Dergue’s military apparatus following the outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean war; and the failure of the prison and security authorities to effect the release of those acquitted or against whom charges had been dropped or dismissed. Many of these issues affect defendants and court proceedings beyond the remit of the SPO.

82 Interview with the funding agency, Addis Ababa, March 2002.
83 for failing to allow a prisoner to appear in court.
84 The Reporter: “Minister of Justice sentenced for refusal to aid justice” (Addis Ababa, May 30, 2001). A similar fate had earlier been handed out by the High Court to the Special Prosecutor, who was unfortunately not subject to a similar official pardon overturning the sentence.
85 Although it is important to note, for instance, that the judges did not call for the immediate release of SPO defendants following the lapse in 1993 of the six-month suspension of habeas corpus provisions governing their detention. Any such call would have had little public support.
A new surrogate arena for political competition?

The work of Africanist political scientist, Maurice Szeftel\(^{86}\) has recently focused on the incidence of allegations of corruption, and related court proceedings, as a means commonly adopted by governments in Africa to marginalize political opponents – particularly those with sufficient personal popularity for their detention to be a desirable precaution for the ruling group. Developments in Ethiopia since early 2001 seem to provide material for this discussion. The arrest on corruption charges in 1994 of the then Prime Minister and Chairman of the ANDM, Tamrat Layne\(^{87}\), was a dramatic episode in the politics of the transitional period, which at the time elicited considerable speculation as to the reasons for his removal. Such speculation has been fuelled in the last 12 months by the arraignment of the much larger group including ruling party central committee and politburo members from the dissident group, private businessmen, and senior civil servants (primarily former ministers and managers of the CBE and Privatisation Agency), on a similar series of corruption-related charges\(^{88}\).

The government maintains the position that these proceedings have no bearing on the divisions within the political elite, relating exclusively to the misuse of public funds, which has always been a concern of the government, and reflecting the establishment in 2001 of the government’s new weapon in the fight against public sector corruption, namely the Federal Ethics and Anti-Corruption Commission, ACC. Few in middle-class Addis Ababa circles believe this to be true\(^{89}\). Most point to a range of coincidental factors as undermining these claims, and suggest that ‘corruption is very much in the eye of the beholder – and the beholder here means the ruling group’\(^{90}\). Critics of the government complain that corruption charges have been levied exclusively at those on ‘the wrong side’ of the political divide; that the allegations on which the cases are based are relatively trivial or empty – and such as could be levelled at almost anyone in a position of authority\(^{91}\); that legislation establishing the ACC, and modifying \textit{habeas corpus} provisions for those subject to its investigations, was rushed through explicitly in order to keep ‘the dissidents’ out of circulation, and is in any case ‘unconstitutional’.

That the opposition to the government, and in particular the private media, should relish the dissection in court of formerly inaccessible details of the less savoury activities of the political elite is of little surprise. More worrying, however, is the serious concern that the all-important ethics element of the civil service reform programme, of which the Anti-Corruption Commission is the spearhead, might be compromised in the longer term, if the ACC’s first actions are regarded as politically motivated. It seems clear that a widespread belief in the subversion of the ACC’s long-term credibility in the service of short-term political ends would further serve to consolidate a civil service culture of inertia, and reluctance to take initiatives. On the other hand, observers have also \textit{applauded} the apparent relocation of political competition from the extra-legal sphere of armed struggle and assassination, into the legal framework offered by the ACC and the courts. Whether that framework will prove robust enough to withstand these new pressures is a matter of concern and importance.

Public Administration

The Ethiopian state employs a total of 308,950 civil servants, of whom 43,752 are employed by the Federal Government\(^{92}\). Whilst 55\% of federal civil servants are from the Amhara ethnic group\(^{93}\), the

\(^{86}\) (forthcoming) but see also the range of recent editorial pieces in the \textit{Review of African Political Economy}.

\(^{87}\) Together with a small group of alleged associates from the commercial sector.

\(^{88}\) Key members of the cast of characters charged include: former TPLF cc member and dissident Siye Abraha; his brother, former head of the Federal Privatisation Agency, Asefa Abraha; a series of private businessmen who purchased privatised enterprises through the Agency; Bitew Belay, former TPLF cc member, and liaison with the SNNPNRS, and Abate Kisho, former EPRDF politburo member and President of SNNPNRS; all of the senior management of the CBE.

\(^{89}\) Rather, it is the case that the government’s persistent adherence to this line has served to undermine its credibility, amongst the urban middle class.

\(^{90}\) Interview, Addis Ababa, May 2002

\(^{91}\) At the time of writing it seems likely, for instance, that components of the case against Bitew Belay and Abate Kisho may collapse. They relate to non-competitive tendering arrangements illegally adopted for the purchase (from a relative) of a series of vehicles for the SNNPNRS government, apparently in an attempt to effect speedy budget expenditure in advance of the end of the financial year. The procedure, whilst unethical, is widely cited as ‘standard practice’ throughout the NRS governments.

\(^{92}\) 1998 figures from the Ethiopian Civil Service Commission.

\(^{93}\) According to figures from the Civil Service Commission: it is unclear whether these statistics refer only to members of the Amhara ethnic group, or to all mother-tongue Amharic speakers, likely to be a higher proportion. Whichever is the case, the statistics clearly indicate a percentage which is disproportionate with the national demographic distribution.
majority of the more than a quarter of a million new positions in the regional civil services created in the last decade are effectively open only to those willing and able, firstly, to work in local languages other than Amharic, and secondly to move and live outside of the capital. Both requirements have excluded and antagonised many existing civil servants and their families. The antagonism has been mutual, and in the early period of the TGE many EPRDF officials openly expressed the view that the ‘moribund’ civil service was ‘the next enemy we have to fight now that we have overcome the Dergue’94. This view was, if anything, reinforced by the findings of the Civil Service Reform Task Force, which reported in 1996 a series of serious and fundamental problems dogging public administration95. A large-scale four-year reform programme was adopted in 1997 with the support of donors, and a second phase has recently been agreed upon96.

The federal restructuring has also created an urgent need for additional civil servants – particularly those trained in law, accounting, and economics – from the country’s less developed ethnic groups. The Ethiopian Civil Service College97 received its first intake of students (186 for the LLB in law, and 190 for the BA programme in economics) in January 1995. Its rapid establishment98, high-level reporting mechanisms99, and high-priority treatment in terms of the allocation of resources and premises100, reflected the determination of the government to implement its key policy objective of establishing NRSs staffed by personnel trained in what it saw as a ‘constructive’ atmosphere. The ECSC’s heavy recruitment of former EPRDF-fighter students, and adoption of the EPRDF-favoured technique of collective evaluation by ‘criticism and self-criticism’ (the so-called gem gema) rapidly earned the college the nickname ‘cadre school’101.

Whilst it is clear that, in the early period, the majority of ECSC students were EPRDF members, its academic and administrative staff were, and are, not. They are keen to stress that the curricula which form the basis for ECSC degrees do not encompass political education of any kind, and bear comparison with those of other institutes of higher education, including AAU, from where some have been adopted. That this government college, like any civil service college the world over, is keen to foster a sense of loyalty amongst civil servants goes without saying. ECSC is formally mandated to respond to the demands placed on it by the governments of the NRSs, accepting the students they put forward, and stipulating only that the prospective students should be twelfth-grade complete, and pass an entrance examination102. In addition to the original degree programmes in Law, and Business & Economics, programmes in Technology, and Public Administration & Management have now been added.

Public administration in Ethiopia faces two core problems, one arising from the external context, and the other reflecting its internal culture. Firstly the public sector has for some years been crippled by the heavy haemorrhaging of professional personnel, as a result of the gross disparities in public and private sector remuneration and opportunities. In many instances, public sector bureaucracies face chronic and

95 The civil service was reported as ‘concentrating on inputs and activities, rather than achieving policy, more a hindrance than a facilitator; where management systems exist they are frequently outdated and unable to respond to the changing environment; the service is under-resourced, with expenditures one of the lowest in the world, and relatively few civil servants; the culture gives managers little scope to manage their commands; the service is unnecessarily hierarchical with little delegation; staff are ill paid and lack skills; they have been isolated from developments and training, and are demoralised; there is concern that unethical practices are on the increase’ (Lister 1988:35).
96 It is suggested that a full review of relations of power would require some evaluation of the impact of this programme which is not possible here; cf. the summary given in Hawes et al. (1998:AnnexI:64-70). The programme consists of five components: Expenditure Management and Budget Control; Human Resource Development; Top Management Systems; Service Delivery; and Ethics. The first phase of the programme was funded primarily by USAID and EU, with the UNDP playing a co-ordination role, which is likely in future phases to be taken over by the World Bank.
97 Which was established by Council of Ministers’ Regulation 3 in 1996 as ‘an autonomous higher education institution having its own legal personality [and] accountable to the Prime Minister’, an arrangement exceptional amongst Ethiopia’s higher education bodies which are otherwise accountable to the MoEd.
98 Well in advance of the proclamation that gave it legal status
99 its board has been and remains chaired by a senior EPRDF official, and includes a number of ministers; it is also accountable to a committee of the NRS presidents who represent its ‘major stakeholders’ (Dr Haile Mikhael, President, ECSC, interviewed March 2000).
100 the ECSC is the recipient of priority funding from the World Bank, and enjoys premises at Kotebe outside the city.
101 The college has however no formal relation with the ruling party, and its day to day management is conducted by academics and professionals.
102 problems have been encountered with the Somali NRS government, who have been accused of putting forward student candidates from neighbouring Somalia in large numbers. In a number of other cases – Afar, Gambella and Benishangul-Gumuz, entrance requirements have been lowered in order to fill candidate quotas.
critical staffing difficulties, with up to 50% of senior positions frequently remaining vacant. The difficulties of recruitment experienced by Oromia NRS, for instance, reached crisis proportions following the review of federal civil service salary scales in 1999. The Regional State’s inability to compete with marginally higher federal salaries, let alone commercial and international sector Addis Ababa-based employers, seems to have contributed to the decision to relocate the capital of Oromia NRS to Adama (Nazreth).

The second problem besetting the public sector is an overwhelming culture of inertia and ‘lowest common denominator self-preservation’, which has apparently only been fostered by the decline in living standards and job security experienced by state sector workers over the last few years. It seems more than likely that the problems of the prevailing culture are exacerbated by issues of political and economic confidence explored in the introductory section of this report. It is also the case that few Ethiopian civil servants see themselves, or observe their fellows, rewarded for industry or initiative. Further, whilst it is not set out as a constitutional requirement, most federal government institutions have interpreted their responsibilities regarding ‘equitable representation’ as extending to the achievement of ‘ethnic balance’ in the appointment of senior officials, civil servants, and committee members. This policy has been criticised as being wasteful of the country’s meagre skilled human resource; it is alleged by opponents that the ‘policy’ to recruit personnel on the basis of ethnic quotas and political affiliation or sympathy means that the many able and efficient functionaries are continually overlooked.

103 ‘What is the business that makes the Ethiopian state go round? It hod gudaie new – it is the business of the belly!’ (interview, senior civil servant, Addis Ababa, April 2002)
B) The political party system

The political parties in Ethiopia can be divided into three categories: the parties belonging to the ‘democratic front’ of the Ethiopian People’s Revolutionary Democratic Front (EPRDF); the EPRDF-affiliated parties, which are ethnically defined (or regional) parties; and the opposition parties, which are both ethnically defined parties and ‘nation-wide’ parties. The opposition bloc can be further sub-divided into a legally registered opposition, and unregistered (and thus illegal) parties, many of which are, at least partly, based in the Diaspora, and involved in armed opposition to the government.

This section will briefly outline the background to the most prominent parties within each category, and subsequently discuss different aspects of power relations both internal to and between the parties. Emphasis will be placed on the development of TPLF as the elder organisation within the EPRDF coalition.

The EPRDF parties

The Tigray Peoples Liberation Front (TPLF) was established in 1975, very soon after the Dergue took power. The Front grew out of the student movements at Addis Ababa University. The Tigrayan University Student Association was established in 1971 with an objective of mobilising Tigrayan students and intellectuals to the cause of strengthening Tigrayan cultural identity. This group inspired the formation of Tigray National Organisation (TNO) in 1974, the precursor of the TPLF. The TPLF was originally formed as a resistance movement with the objective of establishing an independent Tigray, although this ‘narrow nationalist’ agenda was early modified, in favour of a programme seeking the cultural and political autonomy of Tigray within a democratised Ethiopia. Although the founders of the organisation all were intellectuals, they based their resistance on peasant mobilisation against what they defined as the authoritarian, centralised and ethnocratic regime governing Ethiopia.

In its formative years, the TPLF also had to fight other Tigrayan/Ethiopian resistance movements, most notably the royalist Ethiopian Democratic Union (EDU) and the pan-Ethiopianist Ethiopian Peoples’ Revolutionary Party (EPRP). Towards the end of the 1970s, the TPLF had managed to oust their competitors from Tigray, and could concentrate on their struggle against the Dergue regime.

The internal structure of the front is similar to other Marxist-Leninist fronts. The highest formal body is the Congress, composed of elected members/fighters and, until 1997, representatives from mass-organisations. The Congress elects a Central Committee which, between Congresses, operates as the highest political organ, as well as an Audit Committee which monitors procedural regularity. At the most recent Congress in September 2001, the CC was expanded from 31 to 45 members. Many of the new CC members are regarded by the party as ‘intellectual’ representatives from ‘civil society’ in Tigray, included in a move to create a sort of internal system of checks-and-balances. The expansion of CC may also signal its waning political influence, beside what some see as the increasing influence of the Executive Committee. The CC elects the nine members of the Executive Committee (former Politburo), who manage the day-to-day activities of the Front. The Chairman of the CC, Meles Zenawi, is also the Chairman of the Executive Committee and of the Front as such.

The party structure has been firmly established throughout Tigray, with local units/cells in every village. This cadre-network facilitates a firm representation by the party and presentation of its ideology at the grassroots, on the one hand, and on the other, it supplies the party with grassroots information and [less positive observers claim] ‘intelligence’.

In tandem with the organisation of the Front operate mass associations. During the struggle to overthrow the Dergue, the TPLF established separate organisations for peasants, women, youth, merchants and workers. Membership in these organisations was voluntary, and they were used in raising consciousness about the overall TPLF ideology and policies. Apparently, at the 1997 Congresses of the TPLF and EPRDF respectively, a decision was made formally to disassociate the mass associations from the party/parties. However, in spite of this decision, the effective linkage between these associations and the party structure continues.

104 See John Young (1997) for an elaboration on the origins of TPLF.
105 As interpreted by one CC member, interview.
This organisational structure of the TPLF outlines its official decision-making bodies. Within the Front, however, the Marxist Leninist League of Tigray (MLLT) has also been significant, particularly in the six or seven years after its establishment in 1984/5 as a vanguard party within the Front. The ‘party within the party’ has always been a highly secretive organisation, but was designed to guide the Front towards the implementation of a ‘maximum programme’ which would establish a socialist system. The first secretary of MLLT was Abbaye Tsehaye, with Meles Zenawi as vice-secretary. Meles replaced Abhay as the secretary of MLLT in 1988, and the party is seen as a vehicle for his rise to influence. Both developments have been significant, and applied for political asylum abroad during the last few years, offering embarrassing exposes of what were considered to be TPLF control over the OPDO, in the process.

TPLF’s initial partner within the EPRDF coalition was the Ethiopian People’s Democratic Movement (EPDM), forerunner of the Amhara National Democratic Movement (ANDM). The ANDM’s history goes back to the Ethiopian Peoples Revolutionary Party’s (EPRP) war against the Dergue and the TPLF in 1977. One faction of the disintegrating EPRP entered into negotiations with the TPLF and with their facilitation, collaboration, and extensive support formed the EPDM. This organisation, later re-named ANDM, was until the late 1980s a relatively small operation. Many of the prisoners of war captured by the TPLF/EPDM/EPLF in the series of battles in the late 1980s were recruited into the movement, radically increasing its ranks. Since the TPLF has long been perceived as having been instrumental in the establishment of the EPDM/ANDM, after 1991 the organisation had some difficult to be recognised as an independent representative of the Amhara. The current chairman of the ANDM is Addisie Legesse, with Bereket Simon as vice-chairman.

The TPLF and EPDM formed the umbrella Ethiopian Peoples Revolutionary Democratic Front (EPRDF) in 1989, in order to extend their campaign against the Dergue from their northern base-areas. In 1990, the Oromo People’s Democratic Organisation (OPDO) was organised by the TPLF and EPDM as an EPRDF member party. The first members of OPDO were prisoners of war, and EPDM members, of Oromo origin. At that time, however, the Oromo Liberation Front (OLF, see below) had already been operating for 15 years, organising Oromo resistance against the Dergue regime, initially in the east, and later in the areas neighbouring Sudan. TPLF had collaborated with the OLF for several years in the early 1980s, before the two organisations drew apart in mutual distrust. EPRDF suggested the formation of a ‘united front’ with the OLF in the late 1980s, but these negotiations failed to produce results. As a result of its long history of struggle, the OLF is viewed by many as the primary repository of Oromo nationalist sentiment, enjoying a greater ‘Oromo mythos’ than the OPDO. However, this does not translate into concrete support for the organisation OLF (in terms of membership, and political programme backing), and from the period of the struggle against the Dergue the organisation has frustrated observers with its failure to consolidate through effective mobilisation. Nevertheless, the mythology which clings to the OLF has been sufficient to cause serious difficulties for the OPDO to create a popular base in many parts of Oromia. The current OPDO chairman is Abadula Gemeda, who was elected in the fall of 2001. OPDO has had severe internal problems during 2001 and 2002: a series of members of its leadership has defected and applied for political asylum abroad during the last few years, offering embarrassing exposes of what they allege to be TPLF control over the OPDO, in the process.

---

106 Whilst the Front itself was committed only to the more modest ‘minimum programme’ for equitable social transformation.
107 See also Young (1997:134-40). Interviews with members from the late 1980s suggest that the organisational effectiveness, and high degree of discipline associated with the MLLT were at least as important to the TPLF’s consolidation in this period as the ideological content of its programme.
108 Interview with Abbaye Tsehaye, Mekelle, October 1998. This deferral, in common with the postponement of resolution of various of the issues to do with policy towards Eritrea and the EPLF, re-emerged as a bone of contention during the 10 year evaluation of the Front which culminated in the split in March 2001. The manner of handling the MLLT could thus be seen as one of the factors contributing to the split of TPLF.
109 In addition to TPLF and EPDM, the Ethiopian Democratic Officers’ Revolutionary Movement (EDORM) was the third organisation in EPRDF. The EDORM was composed of prisoners of war with officer’s rank. The organisation was dissolved in 1994, with its members absorbed into the other ethnic parties.
110 OLF sources regarded the EPRDF offer as tantamount to one of ‘join us now so that we can crush you later at our leisure’ (interview Tahir Ali, London, August 1998).
Prior to 1991, the EPRDF planned for the transfer of power in the southern region of Ethiopia. Southern POWs were trained and organised within the EPDM/ANDM well over a year before the fall of the Dergue. Fighting units were then designed in such a way that specially trained cadres from the various areas of the south were ‘pre-positioned’ to move in to mobilise their home areas within days of the change of power in the capital, and establish ‘peace and stability committees’. These subsequently formed local ethnic political parties, commonly referred to as PDOs (People’s Democratic Organisations). These various PDOs then joined together to form the Southern Ethiopian People’s Democratic Front (SEPDF) in 1994 and it became the last member party of EPRDF. The party’s organisation was facilitated by the elder members of the EPRDF, and young teachers and government employees from the south flocked to join the new parties of government. At the time of SEPDF establishment, the Southern Ethiopian Peoples’ Democratic Coalition (SEPDC), an umbrella for many small opposition parties in the southern region, had just been established. Thus, although the SEPDF enjoyed the benefit of the relative popularity of ethnic federalism in the south, it also faced competition. The new leader of SEPDF from 2001 was Dr Kasu Yilala, an economist who joined the EPRDF/EPDM in London in the late 1980s, until he was replaced by Hailemariam Dessalegn, Chairman of the Welaiyta party, and former Dean of Arba Minch College, at an SEPDF meeting in September 2002.

Within the EPRDF coalition, the TPLF has always been considered to be the strongest party, both in terms of political weight and organisational capacity. The ANDM is ranked as the second strongest party within the coalition, and its political importance and power seems to be increasing (see below). OPDO and SEPDF have been widely considered less influential and autonomous, characterisations which continuing purges of members would do little to reverse.

### EPRDF-affiliated (‘alliance’) parties

EPRDF’s strategy from the transitional period seems to have been to win political control of the core of Ethiopia’s federal system, namely the four key regions of Tigray, Amhara, Oromia, and SNNPRS. In terms of the establishment of parties, therefore, it has adopted a different strategy towards the peripheral regions lying outside this core area, facilitating the establishment of organisations which have become allies rather than members of the Front. Thus in the regions of Afar, Somali, Harar, Benishangul-Gumuz and Gambella, the governing parties are affiliated to the EPRDF-umbrella. The respective parties are Afar National Democratic Party (ANDP), Somali People’s Democratic Party (SPDP), Harari National League (HNL), Benishangul/Gumuz Peoples Democratic Unity Front (BGPDUF), and Gambella Peoples Democratic Party (GPDP). The looser organisational ties to these parties also signify a lesser degree of control by the EPRDF in these regions, as well as a distancing of the Front’s responsibility for the visibly erratic activities of these organisations.

### The TPLF crisis of 2001

As mentioned above, the TPLF crisis in 2001 directly affected the political power relations in Ethiopia. It falls beyond the scope of this paper to dwell into the origins and background to the crisis, but a few comments on the crisis’ impact on power relations are warranted.

One of the factors reported to have driven the division within the TPLF CC was disagreement over the handling of relations with the Eritrean government. The dissenters assert that they argued for a stronger stand against Eritrea prior to the outbreak of the war (that Ethiopia should not ‘sponsor’ Eritrean development), and after the outbreak they pushed for a more radical military strategy to beat back the invasion and to eliminate the political and military capacity of the Eritrean regime. It is widely believed that the party chairman was keen to engage in negotiations than in military options to settle the war. Disagreement over the handling of relations with Eritrea has clearly been a catalyst for the breakdown of relations, as well as a temptingly emotive vehicle for the dissident faction.

---

111 The EPRDF’s political leadership is, in line with its commitment to democratic centralism, wary of forming coalitions with other political parties, recognizing only reluctantly that there are circumstances where this cannot be avoided. One factor which has influenced EPRDF’s willingness to co-operate with the leaders of other organizations is its recognition of the constraints it faces in pastoral areas, where the dynamics of clan affiliation override its capacity for popular mobilization through the cadre system (Meles Zenawi, interviewed August 1994).

112 See Negash and Tronvoll (2000), and Gilkes and Plaut (1999) for the background to the outbreak of the war.

113 Long standing anger on this issue is associated with the person of Siye Abraha who is reported to have argued violently about it with then President Meles Zenawi already when he was Minister of Defence of the TGE in the early/mid-1990s.
subsequently to attempt to garner support. It has exacerbated the dispute (and continues to be an inflammatory issue inside and outside the party), but cannot be understood as its primary cause. Interviews conducted with both sides suggest rather that the primary cause of the division was a breakdown of trust, and consequent power struggle, between the leaders of two groups whose day-to-day interaction was no longer close enough to sanction and overcome divergence.

Later, when the internal discussion of the party moved to an evaluation of the previous 10 years, debate centred on ideological differences, and divergence of development strategies. The original dissent group, composed of twelve senior TPLF cadres in central positions within the party and regional government, challenged the framework put forward for evaluation of the organisation. The dissenters rallied support for their move against the chairman and his supporters both internally within the TPLF and from the other EPRDF-partners. In the initial phase, the chairmen of the OPDO and the SEPDF supported them, whilst the ANDM leadership kept a neutral stand, or came out in support of the Chairman. In the intense power struggle that followed, the dissenters made the key political mistake of withdrawing from cc meetings, and were subsequently expelled from the organisation. Following the expulsions, the party political and military apparatus underwent ‘evaluation and discussion’, and individuals supportive of the dissenters were ousted, including the leaders of the OPDO and the SEPDF (see also further discussion of the impact of the division in the section below on state-party relations).

The 2001 process of dissent seems to have altered power relations within the TPLF, within the EPRDF, and between the TPLF and its constituency. First, senior and well-known TPLF cadres, regarded as ‘liberation heroes’ from 17 years of struggle have been ousted from official party/government power positions. Since a number of the dissenters were popular in Tigray (not least for their war record both during the struggle and during the war with Eritrea), some Tigrayans, including supporters of the party Chairman, have been frustrated by the handling of the internal dissent. This has resulted in the alienation of some parts of the Tigrayan constituency, although most have resigned themselves to the existing situation. Although the party still enjoys support amongst a large proportion of Tigrayans, the alienation of some parts of the Tigrayan constituency, although most have resigned themselves to the existing situation. Although the party still enjoys support amongst a large proportion of Tigrayans, the TPLF can no longer claim to have the unified backing of its home constituency as before. It seems, for instance, impossible that Tigrayans will continue to speak of ‘our organisation’ (wedibna) in the remarkably uniform way that was prevalent until recently. Consequently, many observers have concluded that the party chairman’s power base has waned, which may in turn have an influence on the TPLF’s position within the EPRDF coalition. ANDM’s role within EPRDF and the government seems to have been strengthened as a result of its unity in deliberation, whereas the heavy expulsions from OPDO and SEPDF suggest that they remain weak and relatively marginal to the core of the federal power structure.

**Opposition parties**

After the fall of the Dergue in May 1991, the EPRDF invited all political forces within and without Ethiopia (with some few exceptions) to participate in a transitional national conference. In July 1991 a broad-based Transitional Government of Ethiopia (TGE) was established, with representatives both from the EPRDF and opposition parties (most notably the OLF). However, soon after its establishment, the spirit of co-operation within the TGE began to decline, as opponents began to claim the TPLF/EPRDF were using their military forces and party apparatus to gain political control over Oromo and southern areas. The opposition complained about the lack of a level playing field, and just prior to the 1992 local elections the OLF withdrew from the cabinet and boycotted the elections, declaring their intention to return to

---

114 The original twelve dissent Central Committee members were: Tewolde Wolde-mariam (at that time vice-chairman of TPLF), Abbay Tsehaye, Gebru Asrat, Seye Abraha, Alemseged Gebreamlak, Ms. Aregash Adane, Awalom Woldu, Gebremeskel Haile, Hassan Shifa, Solomun Tesfai, Abraha Kahsai, and Bitew Belay. Later, Hassan Shifa and Abbay Tsehaye took self-criticism and remained in the TPLF.

115 The initial ‘division’ within EPRDF was the vote against the adoption of the Technical Arrangements Agreement presented by the OAU/UN negotiation team on the Eritrean-Ethiopian war at the end of 1999. OPDO were 100 percent against, ANDM also 100 percent against, and the SEPDF two for and the rest against, whilst the TPLF split 17 against and 14 for. This defeat for the position favouring a negotiated settlement with Eritrea, probably led the TPLF dissenters to think that they would also have support for a general vote of confidence against the Chairman. This move failed, and those who did support the TPLF dissenters from the OPDO and SEPDF seem to have been those with whom the TPLF party liaison people (between TPLF and OPDO and SEPDF respectively) had best connection. Their failure to garner support within the ANDM suggests that this kind of influential liaison relation did not operate in the same way between TPLF and ANDM.

116 Although most assume that his personal position within the CC has been strengthened by the removal of potential opponents.

117 This contentious issue deserves a much more elaborate investigation and analysis, which goes beyond the time-frame and resources of the current report.
armed opposition. EPRDF forces encircled and disarmed the majority of the OLF army, although some contingents went to the bush and some members of the leadership went into exile. Later, other opposition parties withdrew or were expelled from the cabinet (most notably representatives of the SEPDC) and prior to the 1994 Constituent Assembly elections, the co-operation across party organisations had all but ceased and the political party landscape in Ethiopia was firmly polarised.

There is a wide range of legally registered opposition parties in Ethiopia. However, the majority of them are registered as regional parties, confined to rally for support within one ethnic group only. Thus, only a handful of registered opposition parties may have the potential to play a role influencing existing power relations at the national level.

Southern Ethiopian Peoples’ Democratic Coalition (SEPDC) (chairman Dr Beyene Petros) is a multi-ethnic coalition, composed of a dozen smaller ethnic parties from the Southern region. Its strongest bases are in Hadiya zone, Kambata zone, Gedeo zone and in Awassa. The party was a strong competitor for EPRDF in the 2000 and 2001 elections, and international election observers reported EPRDF intimidation and manipulation in the region, both during the 2000 federal/NRS parliamentary elections and the 2001 local elections. Beyene Petros is also chairman of the Council of Alternative Forces for Peace and Democracy in Ethiopia (CAFPDE), an umbrella organisation originally for five political organisations representing both southern and northern constituencies in Ethiopia. However, after an internal disagreement, several of the northern-based representatives withdrew from the coalition in the run-up to the May 2000 elections. Consequently, today, the SEPDC effectively dominates CAFPDE, and the CAFPDE label is used more out of convenience (since it is registered with the National Electoral Board) than as signalling a different political platform than SEPDC. The All Amhara People’s Organisation (AAPO) (chairman Hailu Shawl) has its main support base in the regional towns of Amhara region and in Addis Ababa, with offices in Gondar and several other towns in Amhara NRS. AAPO is striving to get a foothold in rural Amhara, but reports that government intimidation and harassment of supporters create difficulties for the expansion of the organisation. AAPO also claim that EPRDF is actively infiltrating the organisation, making it difficult to consolidate their work. Oromo National Congress (ONC) was established by the academic Merera Gudina (current chairman) as a third Oromo alternative, between OPDO and OLF. ONC tries to attract Oromo intellectuals and moderates, arguing for peaceful co-existence of Oromos within Ethiopia. ONC is a very small and weak party in terms of organisational structure, and it is an open question if they would survive if the OLF were to return to the legal process sometime in the future. They do however have something of a constituency in the surplus-producing areas around Ambo in Western Shoa, and they might capitalise upon grievances regarding the impact of government economic policy in the wake of the collapse in food prices. Before the elections in 2000, a new non-ethnic party, Ethiopian Democratic Party (EDP) (chairman Dr Admasou Gebeyehu), appeared on the scene. Some of its leaders had previously been members of AAPO, but wanted to create their own party to provide a genuine non-ethnic alternative. EDP took part in the 2000 and 2001 elections and obtained relatively many votes in the capital Addis Ababa. Their natural constituents are the urban populations of mixed ethnic origin, the intellectuals and the commercial sector, in addition to the Amhara middle-class. Since they argue on a national platform, they have the potential radically to increase their support as their organisational capacity expands.

The difference in political programme between the EPRDF parties and the opposition parties centres on two issues: land, and ethnic federalism. Some of the opposition parties criticise the primacy of the ethnic federal model, and are arguing for either a modified geographical federal system, or a unitary state model.

---


119 On the conduct of the 2000 and 2001 elections, see Pausewang & Tronvoll (2000), Pausewang et al. (2002), whose initial reports were for the Norwegian Institute of Human Rights.

120 Joint Political Forum, Ethiopian Democratic Union Party, Agew People’s Democratic Movement, National Democratic Union, and the SEPDC.

121 Information provided by chairman Hailu Shaul, January 2002.

122 As this is written, ONC’s only MP has reportedly defected abroad and joined the OLF (confirmed by Lencho Bati, OLF foreign spokesperson, 27 May 2002).

123 At the time of revision of the report, AAPO has also declared that it is reconstituting itself on a pan-Ethiopian rather than ethnic Amhara base, as the All Ethiopia Democratic Party (AEDP).
Most object to the EPRDF policy on land, which disallows private ownership, and call for one form or another of land privatisation. With this stand they have earned the approval of much of the international community.

The opposition parties are very weak in terms of organisational capacity, numbers of members and outreach (particularly amongst the rural peasantry), and material and human resources. Consequently, their prospects as individual parties are weak. However, if they succeeded in forming some sort of consolidated coalition/bloc, their individual bases of support might merge into a more significant power base that could play a small role in influencing the policies of the government in the medium to long term. The chances for such a consolidated bloc to emerge currently seem to be meagre, since the opposition parties stand divided on core political issues. There are, however, ongoing talks among the key opposition parties with the objective to form some sort of co-ordinated co-operation.

There is currently no permanent arrangement for external support to the financing of political parties. All parties speak with enthusiasm about the fund for this purpose which was established under the administration of the NEB during the 2000 elections at national and regional state levels. The fund, provided by donors, and administered by the NEB with an advisory/supervisory committee drawn from the various interested parties, was deemed to have been deployed effectively, equitably, and to good purpose. Most express the hope that such a procedure can be instituted on a less ad hoc basis for the future.124

**Diaspora/armed opposition**

Adding to the confusion of the political landscape in Ethiopia are several external political parties, and armed resistance groups operating in the country. They try to mobilise the people against EPRDF on the grounds that EPRDF lacks a democratic legitimate basis in the population, and that Ethiopia is still run as a dictatorship. The best-known Diaspora political party is the legendary Ethiopian Peoples Revolutionary Party (EPRP), major heir to the Ethiopian Student Movement, although its potent historical profile greatly surpasses its contemporary capacity. After the almost complete annihilation of EPRP by the Dergue during the Red Terror of 1976-77, several EPRP members fled abroad to re-consolidate the organisation. The current leadership is spread in several countries, but a focal point is Iyasou Alemayehu in Paris.125 EPRP claims to have an extended cell-network within Ethiopia, in addition to some armed units (although they are not currently engaged in active armed resistance).126 Their political constituency is the urban leftist intellectuals and middle class, among whom the EPRP legacy is still felt. The EPRP has added importance since a number of high-ranking EPRDF cadres and ministers are former EPRP members.127

An opposition party that is symbolically important, although numerically insignificant, is the Tigrayan Alliance for National Democracy (TAND). Hailu Mengesha, one of the seven founders of the TPLF, established TAND in 1995. In 1998 Aregawi Behre, a former TPLF leader, took over the chairmanship. The fact that this is the only political organisation so far to claim to challenge the TPLF on it home territory, and that a number of ex-TPLF cadres are members, gives TAND a symbolic significance.128 After the TPLF split in 2001, a number of disgruntled Tigrayans expressed sympathy with the former TPLF members Aregawi Berhe and Ghidey Zeratsion, both of whom were ousted from the front in 1988, and there has been some speculation as to whether some of the new TPLF dissenters might join TAND, radically boosting its legitimacy. This seems unlikely.

Of the armed resistance movements, the most significant is the Oromo Liberation Front (OLF), although the Ogaden National Liberation Front (ONLF) and the Ethiopian Peoples Patriotic Front (EPPF) also wage an active armed struggle.129 The Oromo Liberation Front, as mentioned above, claims to be the single legitimate political representative for the Oromo people and it seeks support from all Oromos: rural and

---

124 The Netherlands Embassy, in co-ordination with others of the donor group has recently commissioned the drawing up of recommendations for an action plan relating to the 2004/5 elections. The report is available as of July 2002.
125 Iyasou fled abroad during Emperor Haile Selassie time. He is married to Gennet Girma, also an EPRP activist and the daughter of the current President of Ethiopia.
126 Information provided by Iyasou Alemayehu, March 2002.
127 Minister of education Genet Zewdie, for instance, and Minister of Justice Herka Haroye.
128 Information provided by Aregawi Berhe, April 2002.
129 There are also armed resistance movements in Benishangul-Gumuz and Gambella regional-states.
urban, peasants and intellectuals. The Front’s predecessor was formed during the time of Haile Selassie, so that the OLF has a longer active, political history than the TPLF itself. The OLF claims today to be at its strongest in terms of military capacity since 1991. Some observers estimate that they have between two and four thousand men under arms. The front itself claims as many as 1,200 fighters in western Oromia. Whatever the true scale of the movement’s strength, all sides agree that there was an upsurge in military activity along the Sudanese border area over the early months of 2002.

OLF’s traditional objective was to liberate Oromo areas from central Ethiopian control (Amhara/Tigrayan dominance), and establish an independent state of Oromia. However, after the shift of leadership a couple of years ago, where Dawud Ibsa replaced Gelassa Dilebo as chairman, the objective of independence has again been modified. The ideas of the foremost Oromo political thinker, Leenco Lata, have gained ground, stating that the Oromo question has to be solved within the confines of Ethiopia’s borders. As long as current federal principles apply, and Oromia can be administered by ‘the true representatives of the Oromo people’ (read OLF), the current OLF leadership has signalled that they will abandon their avowed goal of full independence for Oromia. There continue, however, to be internal disputes over this issue within the Front, and Dawud’s leadership is challenged on this ground. The 2002 up-flare of fighting in Wollega and other parts of Oromia, for instance, should thus not only be interpreted in terms of the OLF-EPRDF dichotomy, but also understood against the background of internal OLF dissent. Post-September 11 doctrine on international terrorism has also had a direct impact on the OLF, who are themselves afraid of being labelled an international ‘terrorist’ organisation by the US administration or ‘international community’. EPRDF and Oromia NRS authorities by contrast have been quick to use this label in their political rhetoric against OLF, in order to give legitimacy to their handling of the Oromo question.

Two other armed movements are worth mentioning. The Ogaden National Liberation Front (ONLF) fights for control of the Somali Ogaden part of Ethiopia. It operates over substantial rural areas of Ogaden, and has challenged EPRDF control of rural areas in this region. ONLF has a military coordination agreement with, inter alia, the OLF and EPLF. It is difficult to estimate the popular support of the ONLF, since very few field data are available, but the ONLF is able to draw upon clan ties amongst the Ogaden. The Ethiopian Peoples Patriotic Front (EPPF) is a much smaller ‘hit and run’ outfit made up of a mixed group of pan-Ethiopian nationalists, mostly Amhara based. They oppose the ethnic federal system, and want to restore a unitary Ethiopia. The EPPF has conducted some raids on military targets in the highlands, and is mentioned here as a representative of the ‘new’ organisations established in the wake of the Eritrean-Ethiopian war, all heavily supported by the Eritrean regime. It is difficult to estimate its popular support or constituency (if any at all), and it is usually viewed as terrorist organizations without any particular political platform.

Concluding remarks

The political party structure in Ethiopia is characterised by the extreme asymmetry between the power of the ruling parties, and the weakness of the opposition parties. For instance, out of the 547 seats in the House of Peoples Representatives, the EPRDF holds 481, or 88 percent. Members of the parties of government may be tempted by their access to the infrastructure and resources of the state, whereas the opposition relies solely on private donations and minimal membership fees. In particular the older members of the EPRDF emerge as apparently the most powerful organisational parties, both in terms of membership (direct party members and affiliated members through the mass organisations), and in
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130 Information provided by OLF Chairman Dawud Ibsa, August 2001.
131 Their main base area is in the eastern lowlands of Eritrea, reflecting the greatly improved fortunes of a number of Ethiopian and Eritrean armed opposition movements following the outbreak of Ethio-Eritrean hostilities in 1998: this saw the resounding reinforcement of the Horn of Africa’s long-standing regional credo ‘my enemy’s enemy is my friend’.
132 Information provided by Lencho Bati, OLF foreign spokesperson, March 2002. Government sources suggest the figure is more like two to three hundred.
133 It was of course first put on hold when the OLF agreed to participate in the TGE in 1991. Some interpret this recent change in the leadership as marking a shift in favour of the eastern and more Islamic wing of the nationalist movement, at the expense of the largely protestant-educated Wellega/Illuabor wing. However, Dawud Ibsa himself is from Wollega.
134 See the personal political manifest of Leenco Lata (1999).
135 See the recent student demonstrations in Oromia, and the highly publicized response of the OPDO and regional government as examples of this.
136 Although see Vaughan & Markakis (2002).
137 For a full breakdown of political party representation in the House of Peoples Representatives, see annex.
terms of access to resources. Both are connected with a range of commercial enterprises, further discussed in the section on privatisation below.

The Ethiopian constitution puts women on an equal footing with men, and women are free to run for political office at all levels. However, when it comes to female representation in party politics, the government and the opposition parties are equally dominated by men. During the armed struggle, the TPLF was enthusiastic about female representation both in the party and military structure. However, after it came to power in 1991, equal gender representation has not been given priority. The only woman to have held a powerful position within the Front’s leadership was expelled amongst the dissenters in 2001. In the Ethiopian Federal cabinet there is only one woman heading a line-ministry. Among the opposition parties, no women hold leadership positions. Moreover, only one party, the EDP, endorses affirmative action as an appropriate strategy to enhance female representation in politics.¹³⁸ (See more on the role of women in politics below)

There are some indications that EPRDF is planning a shift of emphasis away from the coalition of ethnic parties, moving in the direction of a single pan-Ethiopian party (keeping the EPRDF component parties as regional representatives only). The Prime Minister recently confirmed that the manner Ethiopian society is developing and integrating means that the need for a peasant-based ethnic coalition is waning, and might disappear over a period of ten to fifteen years.¹³⁹ Seen in connection with the ongoing debate over Revolutionary Democracy, EPRDF might be positioning itself ahead of an emergent willingness to tone down the prominence of sub-national ethnicity in political life, in the wake of the nationalism created by the Eritrean war. If this is happening, the ethnically fragmented opposition will again get a slow start in order to prepare a national bloc to compete in upcoming elections.


¹³⁹ Interview, 19 January 2002. This issue should also be seen in connection with a Marxist-Leninist approach to the national question. The 1991/2 rhetoric focused on ‘independence and autonomy of member organisations of the front’, while in 1997/8 a shift of emphasis was noteworthy to the idea of ‘single party with regional organs to mobilise and implement its programme’.
C) The links between the state and the ruling party coalition

The model is, once more, the TPLF experience in Tigray during the armed struggle, where a parallel provincial state administration was founded and staffed by the movement’s members. This model was replicated by the EPRDF affiliates in Amhara, Oromo and Southern regions, and an effort is made to do the same in the other regions. Nearly all the officials in the state administration, from the kebele to the federal government are EPRDF members, having joined the party before or soon after election to their post. Government business is discussed and decisions are made in party meetings that precede meetings of state bodies. In view of the party-state merger, it is understandable that Ethiopians have difficulty distinguishing between them. (Markakis 2001: 52)

Since the renewal party and state have been separated. This is good. But it is not easy any more to see what the point of the party is, since we no longer discuss anything. Policy decisions go straight from the leadership to the government, and rank and file party members hear about them just like everyone else. (veteran EPRDF member, interviewed northern Ethiopia, June 2002)

Here, as commonly in other areas of Ethiopian political analysis, it seems particularly important to distinguish between the formal arrangements which apply, and what they mean in day to day practice.

Formally party and state in Ethiopia are organisationally and functionally distinct and distinguished, with no requirement that membership in one should either entail, or form a precondition for, appointment to the other. At the formal level, the party in the early 1990s took elaborate steps to divest itself of the many roles and functions of a de facto state, which it had acquired during the armed struggle against the Dergue. The fighters and military wing of the TPLF were subsumed in 1993/4 under the authority of the Ministry of Defence, and all of those who remained in the ranks of the Ethiopian Armed Forces at that point were stripped of their party membership and responsibilities. The various socio-economic and administrative activities of the TPLF were either hived off into the public sector (where their line management responsibilities were to state civil service Ministries or Executives at NRS or federal levels), or re-established as ‘independent’ commercial or voluntary bodies: formally these new entities (be they the companies associated with the Endowment Fund for the Rehabilitation of Tigray, GONGOs like the Relief Society of Tigray140, Radio Fana, or Walta Information Centre) do not ‘belong to’ the party, and operate like any other private sector body, subject to the regulation of the state.

The moves which have been taken, in order to bring about even this formal separation, were in many cases arduous, uncomfortable, controversial, and painful. They involved lengthy discussions to overcome resistance and disagreement. They encountered personal insecurity, anger, and frustration at all levels, as when, for instance, the field experience of barely literate TPLF health workers was weighed against the formal qualifications of Ministry of Health personnel, and the two structures amalgamated and integrated141. The process of reform since 1991, undertaken to regularise the status and roles occupied by the ruling party, so that they accord with the requirements of the constitution, was carried out by its leadership and members with painstaking gravity, determination – and not a little sorrow. Those who were party to it do not doubt that it was conducted with seriousness. Equally clearly, however, the process has not brought about the real separation of party and state structures and systems of accountability, or indeed curtailed the influence of the party in non-state sectors, in a manner which would be recognisable as the desirable basis for a liberal democratic system. Given the combination of almost exclusive dominance, and revolutionary determination displayed by the EPRDF, this is a situation which should not surprise us. The situation set out by Markakis in the passage quoted above has remained the norm throughout the last decade. There are indications that it may now be undergoing a radical shift.

140 Discussed in subsequent sections
141 The account prepared for UN EUE by Hicks (1992) for instance is evocative of this period.
State – party relations

Following the split in the ruling party in 2001, much discussion has focussed on the potential for realignment of the relations between state and party, and the possible scope for moves towards a real separation. Supporters of the PM are keen to stress that this was one of the lines of division between themselves and the more rigid ‘dissident group’. They maintain that, consequent upon the party’s new elaboration and understanding of the dangers of bonapartism, steps are being taken to demarcate and effectively separate out the structures of party and state, in a manner consistent with the demands of (liberal) democracy. Whilst a range of observers and critics are sceptical about these claims, given failure to institutionalise such changes over a decade, some shifts do seem now to be occurring. These have, in turn, been greeted with concern and dissatisfaction amongst those older members who see them as means of emasculating the party as a genuine political structure.

One line of analysis suggests that the division within the TPLF resulted from and reflected the emergence of the state and the party as alternate power structures, increasingly in competition in the senior echelons, and dominated respectively by the PM and the dissident group. It is possible to overstate this perspective. However, it seems that the role of party functionaries is now being modified, to make them accountable also within the state structure, so as to preclude the emergence in future of this kind of ‘faction’ operating outside (indeed over and above) the purview of the council of ministers. This kind of shift is already visible in the new decision of the party that political control of the civil service should be exercised through ministers and vice ministers who will be exclusively political rather than technical appointments of the ruling party, with a corresponding removal of informal ‘political advisory’ positions, and the professionalisation/depoliticisation of the civil service.

The reforms as a result of the EPRDF ‘renewal’ process are important steps, which apparently do raise signposts of hope for the separation of ruling party and state, when viewed from a liberal democratic perspective. The government’s ambitious reform programme is also intended to separate powers between the different branches of the regional and local governments, and to establish on all levels an administrative hierarchy ‘independent’ of the political leadership. Amendments of the regional constitutions have already been voted through, to provide a legal base for the reforms, and many new officers appointed. This is an important development, which could indeed establish a new relation of power. It has a potential to reduce differential access to resources, and to enable parties to compete and to develop a democratic dialogue. A realistic competition along these lines could eventually lead, not least, towards increasingly democratic elections.

If the executive has been, and continues to be strengthened in this manner, a corresponding gap in the ranks of those responsible for party affairs was certainly left in the immediate wake of the dissidents’ departure. Interlocutors acknowledge that this lack was felt particularly by the SEPDF and OPDO at that time, and with some initial alarm and confusion. It remains unclear precisely how this gap has been ‘closed over’. There is some speculation that the redistribution of various of the functions of the PMO to the new superministries has opened up space for a more ‘hands-on approach’ to party business by its chairman. What seems to have emerged can be interpreted in two ways. Either it represents an effective ‘fusion’ of party and state, with the party leadership now identical with that of the government, at federal and Regional State levels (a fusion rather than a separation of the two); or alternatively it implies the downgrading of the party structures in favour of the bureaucratised state executive. Whichever interpretation one adopts, it seems clear that below the leadership level, the role of the party is less clear, and the organisation less active, than was previously the case. Apparently the political system in Ethiopia now rests more clearly on the capacity of a single structure – the state - instead of the two legs of party and state as has been the case under EPRDF to date.

142 A concept introduced during the party evaluations last year, embodying the problems of party corruption, complacency and authoritarianism.
143 It was, after all, by means of appeals to the wider party structure that the dissident group was eventually marginalized and defeated.
144 It is further reported that the pervasive EPRDF system of ‘criticism and self-criticism’ (gim gima), by means of which the party evaluated performance and loyalty, will now be removed from the public and private sectors, and its use restricted to internal party business.
145 Interview, SEPDF cc member, April 2002.
146 perhaps a combination of the two: fusion at the top of the hierarchy and downgrading lower down.
147 Interviews, Amhara and Tigray NRSs, June/July 2002
148 Marxist analysis is that this represents a weakening of the system.
Political experience from Africa throughout the 20th century suggests that this kind of development has been common, and may in fact be a major cause for concern. Amongst other commentators, Allen (1995) traces two historical trajectories for political systems faced with economic decline, and increasing corruption: either a slide into 'clientelist crisis', and towards what he calls 'spoils politics' or state collapse; or alternatively – as potentially here - the adoption of a classic package of 'centralised bureaucratic reforms'. This latter path involves the retention of a 'clientelist system' but with greater control of its indiscretions, in combination with 'the centralisation of power in an executive presidency, the occupant of which would be able to stand above factional politics and to manipulate it, through control of constitutional, military and financial resources' (1995:305). Such reforms involve either 'the displacement of the party and associated bodies as the main distributors of clientelist resources, by a bureaucracy answerable to the presidency' or the transformation of the party structure itself into a bureaucracy, and its integration 'with other bureaucracies such as the hierarchy of regional and district commissioners' (:306).

Of significance is the universal corollary of such reforms in Africa, which Allen also outlines, namely the downgrading of representative institutions within the political system, be they political parties, elections, parliament, local government, trades unions, or co-operatives. The key question for Ethiopia, then, remains whether such reform can be accomplished without centralisation, and without downgrading representative institutions, both key features which have repeatedly enhanced the stability of the arrangement at the cost of entrenched authoritarianism.

Decentralisation

A useful recent World Bank report, ‘Wereda Studies’ (2001) concludes that Ethiopia’s federal system involves the deconcentration rather than the devolution of power to regional and local government structures. It seems important, however, to give an indication of the significant regional variation in the capacity and context of decentralisation.

The ‘peripheral’ regions

Of the four so-called ‘weaker regions’, Somali NRS seems to be emerging as the most problematic in terms of a range of criteria, notably security, stability, and corrupt use of budgetary resources. Nine months into the 2001/2 financial year, no budget had been agreed by the Regional government. Since 1991, no *wereda* elections have been conducted in Somali region, and it is only in 2000 that new mobile registration procedures seem to have facilitated the involvement of a significant proportion of the electorate in federal and State elections. The primary dynamic of political activity in Somali NRS involves the balancing of Ogaden and non-Ogaden clans, with attempts at achieving equilibrium repeatedly failing.

By contrast, Afar region seems to have been experiencing greater stability following the unification of five of the main political forces into the ruling Afar People’s Democratic Party; it seems likely that the Ethio-Eritrean conflict has served to concentrate minds in the region, and a number of elements originally opposed to the EPRDF and federal arrangement have now been persuaded to join the new party which is a member of the EPRDF-alliance constellation. Major conflict dynamics now focus on the demarcation of the border with Shinile zone of Somali NRS, and jurisdiction over, and access to grazing lands and contraband flows in this area.

Dynamics in Gambella and Benishangul have long been affected by events across the border in Sudan, and it seems that in recent months the conflict between Khartoum and the south has been allowed to spill across into these regions. There are additionally widespread reports of EPLF/OLF

149 examples of reform processes in Senegal, Cameroon, Cote d’Ivoire, Zaire, Kenya, Tanzania, Zambia and Malawi are given as following this pattern.
150 The latter strategy is associated with Tanzania under Nyerere, a party and context with strong parallels to EPRDF and Ethiopia.
151 Created from the unification of the Afar Liberation Front, ALF, a long-standing liberation front associated with the conservative family influence of the Asssa Sultanate; the Afar National Democratic Movement, ANDM, drawn from amongst Afar intellectuals; the Afar Revolutionary Democracy Unity Front, ARDUF, for some time opposed to the EPRDF; the Afar People’s Democratic Party, the EPRDF-associated party; and the Afar National Liberation Front, ANLF.
152 Although significant elements still remain outside, including part of ARDUF, and Uguguma.
153 Interview with John Young, April 2002.
infiltration through Asosa area, probably facilitated from an enclave held by a southern Sudanese faction with close links to Asmara.

**The EPRDF-administered core**

This section briefly reviews the major dynamics of state-party relations and decentralisation in the four EPRDF-controlled regions, which bear a remarkable degree of similarity, with primary differences of degree only.

The EPRDF is, of course, longest and best established in **Tigray**, in parts of which the movement had operated as an effective government for 12 or 13 years before 1991, i.e. over a longer period than that since then, during which the party and state have been formally separated. Tigray is experiencing a period of substantial political turmoil and confusion in the wake of the political ‘bloodletting’ of 2001. In this context a more realistic critique of state-party relations seems to have been emerging than was previously possible. Observers have suggested that Tigray suffers primarily from the inadequate emancipation of party and state structures from society, which has resulted in the prevalence of deeply personalised politics based on close-knit patronage systems around a small group of key individuals and families. This is a trait commonly associated with politics in Africa\(^\text{154}\), and as constituting a primary cause of its instability and apparent ‘disorder’.

Critics of the situation in Tigray, including party insiders, draw unfavourable comparison with the situation in **Amhara** NRS. Here, they suggest, a wider and more varied constituency has called for the more elaborate balancing of interests, and institutionalisation of structures through which they can be pursued. As a result of the need to mediate competition between, for instance, Gojjam and Gondar, Wello and Shoa, state and party structures have been better emancipated from the society.

Another difference between the two regions can perhaps be traced to the relative willingness of the professional classes to join the regional governments and party structures of each. Whilst in Tigray in 1991 the middle classes flocked to join their ethnic compatriots in the incoming regime (and, many observers suggest, have recently flocked away equally easily), in the case of Amhara many professionals were deeply resistant to regionalisation, having had in many instances ‘something to lose’ at the centre (see section on Public Administration above). Amhara professionals were persuaded to join the NRS executive and civil service only after a much slower and more intensive lobbying process, which some have suggested now provides a more stable and mutually respectful basis for collaboration and trust than is the case to the north\(^\text{155}\). Anecdotal information suggests that there has been a significant drain of educated personnel from Tigray NRS in the wake of the divisions in the party, and economic downturn\(^\text{156}\).

If the party in Tigray has recently faced problems to do with complacency, because of its relative strength, security, and lack of opposition, those confronting the EPRDF organisations in the **SNNPNRS** and Oromia are much more straightforward: they stem from relative lack of capacity, and lack of popular support.

In the **SNNPNRS**, the programme of federal decentralisation has been able to harness the enthusiasm of many populations for the principle of self-government, and recognition of diverse languages and cultures. Problems have arisen in relation to the emergence of an ethnified version of clientelism, and the perceived need of each group to ‘get their feet under the regional table’\(^\text{157}\). Increasingly political competition has centred upon control of government budgets and local resources, a situation in which the separation of party and state structures can hardly be expected to be maintained. Since the major provider of resources at the local level remains the state, control over state structures remains the major locus of conflict, whether between groups arrayed as competing political parties, or between local factions using the local structures of the ruling party as a framework within which to compete.

\(^{154}\) See for instance, the recent account of Chabal & Daloz (1999) which hangs great explanatory weight on this key factor.

\(^{155}\) it is certainly the case that senior civil servants in Bahar Dar interviewed in 1998 and 2000 had enthusiasm and praise for the relationship of trust they enjoyed with senior members of the regional executive.

\(^{156}\) as many as several thousand Tigrayan degree holders are rumoured to haven been seeking employment elsewhere (interviews, in Addis Ababa and Tigray, April and July 2002)

\(^{157}\) interview, Awassa, November 1999
The situation in the SNNPRNS is of course further complicated by an underlying dynamic of competition between groups for influence at the regional level. A current trend, in the wake of the removal of the former regional President on corruption charges is the perceived ‘de-Sidamafication’ of the regional government – with Welaiyta commonly seen as winning ground.

In Oromia popular interpretations see a similar shift in the balance of power within OPDO from the extensive influence of Illubabor and Wellega, in favour of Bale and Arsii. OPDO's problems, however, are as deep and widespread as any facing EPRDF, not least because it seems to have been unable to draw on the residual ethno-nationalist sentiment from which the other EPRDF parties benefit. By contrast in Oromia, expressions of cultural and linguistic pride risk dismissal as ‘narrow nationalism’, in a demonstration of caution which seems effectively to have played into the hands of the nationalist OLF. Deprived of this potential spur to development, the OPDO recruitment has drawn on the only motivation remaining – that of joining the party of government and resource distribution. Prospects for separation of party and state in such a negative and cynical context must be regarded as slender. The extreme weakness and incapacity of the party structure in most parts of Oromia means that it is more than usually reliant upon the structures and capacities of the state to maintain its position.

**The Security Apparatus, Military, and Police**

After the fall of the Dergue, the EPRDF forces and security apparatus were widely understood to have carried out a radical disarmament and demobilisation of both the Dergue’s and their own forces. However, recent research suggests that while the army was reduced, local police forces and militia were correspondingly expanded, and given the support of various security forces at regional and zonal level. For radical critics, the police and the security forces are ‘politicised instruments of control’. They form part of the state machinery of repression, and are rarely seen as ‘friends and helpers’ or guardians of public order, particularly in the rural areas, away from paved roads and urban centres. Although the federal police drew some institutional support in the early 1990s, the training of police and militia at local level is an area that has received inadequate attention and assistance from donors concerned with democratisation.

There has been speculation regarding the likely reorganisation of the military, and its lines of command since 2001 following the replacement as Chief of Staff of the widely liked General Tsadkan Gebre Tensaie (and other key Generals) by General Samora, and the imprisonment of the popular military leader and vocal dissident Siye Abraha. Head of the Security and Immigration Agency has been, since 2001, Getachew Assefa, a veteran of the so-called bado shewate162 of the TPLF, and such connections raise concerns about the structure of accountability of the military and security.

Over and above concerns about the official and formally ‘sanctioned’ activities of the military and security, allegations persist as to the existence of a parallel system of detention (and indeed torture) operated outside state systems of accountability by security officers carrying over a system applied by the TPLF during the armed struggle. According to the reports of international human rights monitoring organisations, two categories of prisoners (political opponents, particularly those internal to the Front, and ‘international terrorists’ notably those linked to radical Islam) continue to be held outside the purview of the state, in significant numbers. Whilst few states can be expected to be transparent regarding the organisation of their secret and security services, the implication that the system remains effectively in the form evolved by the ruling party for use in an earlier period of civil war has particularly disquieting resonance.

---

158 Abate Kisho is a Sidama.
159 A range of those who have defected or been dismissed from the organisation in the last year were from Wellega or Illubabor zones in the west – also long seen as a stronghold of OLF activity.
160 According to the report cited, whilst the defence budget has registered an impressive decline since the period of the Dergue, the costs of the military forces have been distributed across the budgets of the former Ministry of Interior, now Security and Immigration Authority, and those of the NRSs. Were these items consolidated, the paper claims, the benefits of disarmament (the so-called peace dividend) would be seen to have been negligible, even before the outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean conflict.
162 Meaning, in Tigrigna, 07, i.e. the security division of the TPLF during the Dergue period; the front’s main divisions were referred to by numbers, thus 01 cultural affairs, 02 training, 05 logistics, etc.
Transparency

It is worth noting in passing a new focus in party publications, and government rhetoric on the issue of transparency, which seems to dovetail with the prevailing language of openness and ‘renewal’. Until recently the party had effectively rejected even a principled commitment to the idea of transparency, with faith invested in secretive systems of democratic centralism. A different note of mea culpa, and the public acknowledgement of mistakes, has been sounded by the new party English language publication Tehado (Renewal), with 2002 articles detailing mistakes made, for instance in relation to the Gurage/Silte claims for separate autonomous status. Such articles mirror the infuriating, and widespread, tendency of interlocutors in all spheres and at all levels to acknowledge past failings whilst insisting uniformly that they are ‘now resolved’. What is of interest, however, is the public acknowledgement that transparency had not previously been a principle to which the party was committed163.

Control of the media

The close and blurred relation between state and party detailed above, has given the ruling party control over the state apparatus and resources – an overwhelming advantage over the opposition. Control over the most important media of mass communication is one of these key advantages. Whilst the Ministry of Information also fulfils technical functions of registering journalists and publishers, for instance, its major role is to act as mouthpiece for government. New personnel have been appointed to the Ministry during 2001164, and a shift of style seems to have resulted, with clearer policies adopted in the vigorous dissemination of information165, and the improved presentation and range of ETV programmes.

The party had further extended the scope of its domination by means of its de facto establishment of the major (only) extant non-governmental radio station (Radio Fana) and news agency (Walta Information Centre, WIC) from its own pre-existing organs. The considerable appeal of the populist and widely-listened Radio Fana has been a highly effective tool in EPRDF’s mobilisation strategy. WIC continues to provide more comprehensive and accessible (albeit uniformly pro-government) information than the state sector Ethiopian News Agency, and its website provides an important resource for the domestic, international, and diaspora communities.

Recent legislation provides the legal framework within which radio stations can now be established by private organisations. A range of licensing applications has been submitted for consideration by the Ministry of Information. None has yet been approved, although WorldSpace’s satellite-based digital radio service has been available for some time. Were private radio stations to be established in advance of forthcoming elections, they could be expected to have a significant effect on its conduct, if not its outcome.

163 Interview, Federal Minister d’Etat, April 2002
164 the minister is Bereket Simon, the second person in the ANDM, and the Minister d’Etat is Netsinet Asfaw, a journalist long associated with the TPLF, which she joined in Germany after leaving the EPRP in the early 1980s.
165 Vigorous Ministry of Information handling of the Ethio-Eritrean Border Commission demarcation announcement in early 2002 is perhaps a case in point.
D) Power at the village and community level

In a country as Ethiopia, with over 85 different ethnic communities, and a number of various livelihoods (from sedentary agriculturalists to nomadic pastoralists, from urban environments to rural hinterland), power relations are manifested at the grassroots in endless ways. Hence, this brief outline will only touch upon the two most distinct (and universally represented) arenas of power at the local level: the kebele/wereda administration and the customary offices of authority.

Since the socialist revolution of 1974, one may say that two parallel systems of organisation have been operating at the village level (Poluha, in press). One is made up of the different locally organised and (often) locally controlled associations, whose activities are directed towards social and religious affairs. The other system is that of the state, namely the wereda, kebele and nus-kebele (sub-kebele) committees, assemblies, and associations, along with other state or state-initiated organisations. These include: the local offices of government bureaux, encompassing development and extension agents, health workers and tax collectors; local social court judges and officers, police and militiamen; as well as the micro-level organisation of the population into mengistawi budin and lema’at budin. State structures have been closely accompanied in the core EPRDF-administered areas by the less visible party structure of cadres, officers, and local cells. The objectives of the state sector structures are to ensure the implementation of government laws, policies, and programmes (including those intended to bring about socio-economic development), and to see that government taxes and other dues are collected. Poluha observes that the people categorise these two types of institutions/structures as ‘private’ [jegil] and ‘governmental’ [yemangild], respectively. These two sets of institutions are described here as being most relevant in terms of furthering Sida and the Government of Ethiopia’s shared objectives of poverty reduction and fostering democratisation in Ethiopia.

Kebele/wereda structure

The policies and work of the wereda (district) and kebele (neighbourhood) administrations have a great impact on the everyday lives of Ethiopians. As is the case in many countries where state structures are poorly emancipated from society, the administrative and political structures in Ethiopia overlap and interweave in such a way that, in practice, the local administrative units (kebele, wereda and zone levels) are infrequently politically neutral or independent bodies. Rather, as discussed above, they work in ways which often make them barely distinguishable in practice from the ruling party itself. There is evidence that the local administration is normally conceptualised by the villagers as a fusion of both state and party authority.

The administrative structure of wereda and kebele (baito and tabia in Tigrigna) councils was first developed during the Dergue regime, with the primary objective of implementing the land reform throughout the country in the mid-1970s, as the cornerstone of the socialist revolution throughout the rural areas. Later, however, the objectives entrusted to these councils were broadened, and administrative, political
and defence tasks were added to the remit of what has ever since been known as the kebele system. For the authoritarian Dergue government, the kebeles soon developed an important two-fold capacity. On the one hand, they worked as the extended arm of the central government in communicating the Marxist ideology and political orders of the day. On the other, they operated as a tool of intelligence, since the local administrators worked as informers and spies, keeping the grassroots under surveillance and reporting any ‘anti-revolutionary’ and ‘anti-government’ activities back to the party and intelligence services. In this manner, the kebele system became an effective and efficient means for the state to keep a tight control of their citizens and to clamp down on any opposition activities.172

People are well aware of the powers of these administrative bodies and are conscious of the fact that they need to maintain good relations with officials. In principle each woreda has about one hundred thousand inhabitants.173 Every kebele is allowed to elect three members for the woreda council. Each kebele has on average five hundred households. In a standard size kebele, the number of members in the kebele council is thirty, but if the number of inhabitants exceeds five thousand, the council can have up to fifty members.174 The kebele/woreda bureaucracy is a significant political force at the local level, exerting an often ill-controlled power over local inhabitants with extensive impunity. The woreda as the basic administrative entity of every regional state in the country has its own administrative apparatus, police and security force, judges and prosecutors and the power to prepare and determine economic and social plans in the area under its authority. The kebele is generally concerned with the implementation of plans and policies determined by the woreda, and has its own social court elected by the kebele council.

Until recently the administration at all levels (regional government, zone, woreda, and kebele) was carried out by an executive committee composed of 7 individuals (occasionally 5 or 9, according to capacity or need) elected from the relevant elected council at that level175. At the lower levels only a maximum of three of these council members were salaried, and often none of the kebele committees received remuneration. Although most of the council members were unpaid, membership gave influence in matters that are very important for the majority of citizens. In a country with a high level of unemployment, membership in one of the councils could be a way of securing an income, if not directly, then indirectly. Pausewang, Trenvoll & Aiden (in press) describe that all Ethiopians who are dependent on support or approval from the state have to get in touch with officials in these institutions. First and foremost, people are dealing with the kebele officials. Anyone who wants health services, tap-water and electricity, or is applying for a job in the public sector, needs a letter from the kebele to the concerned authorities, showing that they are citizens entitled to services or employment. The kebele owns houses, which are rented out to residents. Kebele officials hand out identity cards, which are a precondition for every Ethiopian to be able to move around freely and get access to all kinds of services. Many people are also directly involved in community work and local politics through these administrations. As one informant phrased it:

"The house belongs to the kebele. If I need to repair it, I need to get the approval from the kebele. If I get sick, I have to pass through the kebele to the hospital. If my sons and daughters are looking for a job, they have to go to the kebele first. Unless and otherwise we follow the orders of the kebele we have no services." (Pausewang et al. (in press))

The system which resulted in this degenerate and largely uncontrolled situation was radically revised last November, 2001, following changes introduced as a result of the EPRDF renewal or ‘tehadso’ movement. Instead of an Executive Council, the administration of Regional Governments, Zonal governments (in the SNNPNRS), woredas and kebels is now overseen by a ‘cabinet’, which includes a chairman and vice chairman elected from the wider elected council (or parliament), together with the Bureau, Department, or Office heads (at region, woreda, and kebele levels respectively) of the new key co-ordination Ministries: capacity building, rural development, infrastructure development, finance, 

---

172 For a closer description on how the administrative system was organised during the Dergue see Clapham (1988), Dessalegn Kahmato (1984) and Andargachew Tiruneh (1993). Andargachew Tiruneh, in particular, describes the political working of the kebele system in detail: ‘An outstanding example of their political function was the role they played in harassing, detaining and eliminating members of the various political organisations during the “red terror”’ (1993:261).

173 Although it is reported that in practice they range in size from 6,000 inhabitants in very sparsely populated areas of the south-west periphery, up to nearly half a million population.

174 During the 2001 kebele election, each kebele was divided into three to five zones, where each zone elected ten members to the kebele council. In Addis Ababa, there are twenty-eight woredas with five to eighteen kebele in each.

175 This system of course only applied to the ethnic zones of the SNNPNRS that have an elected council; in other regional zonal administrations were political appointments of the regional government.
economic development and planning, and information. Judicial, security, and administrative oversight responsibilities are often also overseen by a member of the cabinet.

It remains to be seen whether wereda and kebele administration can be 'cleaned up' to any appreciable degree by these means. Any such change would have to mitigate against the weight of three decades of abusive tradition, built on top of centuries of moribund and hierarchical local administration in the imperial period. What is already remarkable is the dramatic increase in educational qualification and capacity of wereda and kebele cabinet members throughout the country, as compared with the earlier period of the 1990s. Whilst in 1994/5 it was common to find wereda administrators who had only 6th or 7th grade education, now cabinet members who do not have degrees or diplomas are the exception.176

Whilst this transformation of local government capacity provides grounds for great optimism regarding the future of local socio-economic development in Ethiopia, this dramatic building of capacity also has its problematic side. The government has created a class of local administrators and civil servants who have benefited enormously from educational and administrative opportunities provided from above by the state/party/government. In addition to education, the government has recently provided markedly increased local government salaries. The loyalty and concern of administrators and civil servants is, unsurprisingly, focussed sharply upwards towards the system which has benefited them, rather than downwards in the public service of their constituents, who may often seem largely irrelevant to their rise to influence. This situation is a further reflection of the hierarchical social and cultural context within which these developments are taking place.

The changes also have potentially significant ramifications in terms of the bureaucratisation of local government in Ethiopia, in two ways. Firstly, they will bring about the closer incorporation of government ministry activities (and budgets) under the purview of the local administration. They are thus designed to locate and equip wereda cabinets to be in a position to control, allocate, and manage the block grants, which are expected to be transferred directly to them from regional government in the financial year commencing July 2002. Secondly, the move reduces to only two the number of the executive cabinet members at each level who are subject to election from the membership of the council/parliament. Remaining members can be assigned, not elected. Of note, therefore, is the quiet introduction of an associated shift of policy, which will allow the appointment to these positions of candidates other than those whose ethnic background is indigenous to the administrative unit in question. In marginal areas of the south, for instance, only the chair and vice-chair of the cabinet need be elected from the area, the rationale being that a much wider pool of educated people will be available for recruitment to the rest of the cabinet positions. Meanwhile, whilst the kebele and wereda parliaments continue to meet only for four or five days quarterly, their nominal independence of the executive has been extended by the establishment of the office of speaker and deputy speaker down to kebele level.

176 Interviews in Yirgalem town in June 2002 indicated that of the wereda cabinet of 7 only one had education only to ESLC; the others included 3 BA holders (from the civil service college), and several with diplomas either completed (AAU) or in process. There were however no women in the cabinet, and only 7 women in a wereda parliament of 252 people (elected three from each of 84 kebeles in this large wereda).
177 To which the Ethiopian Civil Service College has provided the key.
178 According to interviews, June 2002: Zonal administrator: 2,600 EB pcm; wereda administrator: 1,500; wereda cabinet members 1,150; kebele chairman are also now expected to be paid for the first time.
179 Compare also the discussion above regarding the common effects of centralising bureaucratic reform packages in Africa, in the section on state-party relations.
180 It was an idiosyncrasy of the previous arrangement, for instance, that Executive council members at wereda and kebele levels were unable to answer questions about wereda and kebele level budgets beyond the extremely small proportion which was allocated to run the administrative office and its resources. The wider perspective was available within each ministry at that level; whilst this information was technically shared with – and subject to the authority of - the executive council, this was rarely the case, and the authority rarely exercised.
181 Quite what the impact of this major reversal of policy will be in ethnically mixed areas remains to be seen. In Bench-Maji zone, for instance, the zonal executive committee at Mizan Teferi was delicately balanced to incorporate members from each of the five major groups in the zone: Bench, 3; Sheko 2; Me’enit, 2; Dizi 2; Surma 1 (interviews, Mizan Teferi, October 1999). Even this careful balancing act seems to have been insufficient to satisfy the demands of the minority Majengir community, whose violently pursued grievances initiated bloody conflict in neighbouring Sheka zone, in 1991, 1993, and most recently in April/May 2002.
182 It is interesting that a wereda speaker recently interviewed explained his role in terms reminiscent of that of the prospective human rights commission: to bring to the attention of parliament, and deal with and resolve popular complaints of abuse of power by the executive. It will be interesting to monitor the development of this new position at different levels, to trace whether
The workings of the kebele/wereda judiciary at the local level have also been questionable and widely problematic. The Norwegian research team to follow the election processes of 2000 and 2001 discovered that in one rural area people were convinced that there are two grounds on which someone could be imprisoned: by court decision or by administrative decision. The police executed both, and both were, in popular opinion, legal and could not be challenged. Even a high-ranking official in one zone confirmed this understanding and had no qualms in making use of it.183 Lack of awareness on the principles of judicial independence, among both the administrators themselves and the people, create severe obstacles to its establishment at the grassroots. Further discussion of electoral practice is found below, in the section on Democratic Governance.

**Customary power-holders**

In many of Ethiopia’s rural areas traditions and norms apparently little changed over centuries still guide much social interaction. Fully to understand power-relations at the local level, factors as religious office, traditional authority, age, and gender need to be taken into account.

In rural highland Ethiopia, several local associations, established and run by the villagers themselves, have been instruments to organise socio-economic collaboration and mutual assistance among the villagers (Poluha, in press). We find, for instance, the almost ubiquitous *senbete*, a religious association to take care of church affairs, *idir*, which is a burial network for mutual support during times of death/funerals, *equuh*, a credit and savings collective, and *mahaber*, which binds together smaller groups of villagers to celebrate a common guardian saint, but which also serves as a socio-economic welfare network. The leadership of these organisations are selected by and among the villagers themselves.

In particular, religious leaders, Christians, Muslims and traditional believers, have power to define appropriate social behaviour and conduct, a capacity that, for instance, severely limits the social space of women in Ethiopia. In Orthodox Ethiopia (the highland areas of Amhara and Tigray in particular), the village priests are influential small-scale power brokers. In every village, no matter how small, there are several priests and deacons of the Orthodox Church. They oversee that the norms and rules of the church are followed, a set of commandments that, *inter alia*, puts restrictions on when farmers can work in their fields (in order to uphold the prohibition of physical labour on saints days), and confines women to narrowly defined gender roles (see the section on women below), etc.. In the Muslim communities of the lowlands, and highland pockets, the Imams and religious leaders of Islam also wield strong influence over appropriate social behaviour.

The EPRDF-government continued the policy of the Dergue to separate state from church/religious affairs. Thus, religious institutions have generally not been employed by the government to implement its policies.184 After the EPRDF-take-over in 1991, the old Patriarch of the Orthodox Church was dismissed due to his closeness to the Dergue regime, and a new Patriarch was appointed, arguably in contravention of Orthodox traditions, which would normally prohibit the appointment of a new Patriarch, as long as the incumbent is alive. As a result, a body of educated and conservative Christians185 refuse to accept the new Patriarch as a true representative of the Church. Moreover, the Patriarch, Abuna Paulos, is seen as a Tigrayan with a degree of political affinity with the EPRDF. This combination of factors has, amongst certain constituents, damaged his reputation. These controversies, however, are the concern of a rather small circle of Church leaders, and do not reflect a reality perceived at the grassroots among village parishioners. Here issues such as the venality and capacity for extortion of local priests are much more significant causes for concern.

The Muslim community has also been put under a stronger political focus in recent years, due to armed political resistance from various Islamic organisations, most notably *Al-Itihad Al Islamiya*. Since there is a growing politicisation of Islam in Ethiopia, the Ethiopian government observes more closely all activities undertaken by organisations with an Islamic constituency. Sharia law is followed within the area of the civil code, guiding the practice of marriage, divorce, and inheritance. Otherwise, the

---

183 See the forthcoming Pausewang et al.
184 However, during the Eritrean-Ethiopian war, the Orthodox Patriarch and representatives from other religious communities, were involved in attempts to inspire the Ethiopian troops, as well as interfaith peace building initiatives sponsored within the international community.
185 Particularly prominent in the diaspora.
Muslim groups in Ethiopia (in particular Afar and Somali) have also strong and elaborated customary codes, which work in parallel with sharia and state law. In the pastoral-nomadic areas of Afar and Somali, the clan leaders hold almost total authority over social and political affairs on behalf of their clan members.

All across Ethiopia, elder age is accorded a high socio-political value in the local community. Shimagile (elder) councils are frequently used to settle local disputes (over land or grazing rights, for instance)\(^{186}\), and it is always the elder men who are first heard in community meetings, etc. Elders serve both in a formal and informal capacity, and their decisions are usually consensus-based.\(^ {187}\) In some Ethiopian communities, as for instance among Borana Oromo, particular age groups have traditionally defined roles in society in relation to administration, protection, and arbitration, etc.\(^ {188}\) Although the institution of elders often can play a positive role in mediating power relations at the local level, one must keep in mind that the groups of elders are not in themselves representatives for the local community. Not all elders achieve status as respected shimagile; women are basically excluded, as are also elders from despised minorities (crafters, tanners, hunters, etc.).

Another group of individuals which exerts power at the local level is traditional healers and spirit cults/mediums. In many of the local communities there are a number of spirit cults who are consulted by the people in order to identify remedies for health problems, for resource management, and even regarding more politically-oriented issues. The spirit mediums are individuals from various backgrounds, and they are highly respected and/or feared in the local communities due to their spiritual powers.\(^ {189}\)

**Concluding remarks**

Given the hierarchical nature of social and political relations in highland tradition, peasant-to-peasant relations in Ethiopia have been few, and restricted to narrow foci of practical import. Where they do exist, however, they are characterised by their horizontal orientation (as in senbete, idir, equub, and mahaber associations); people who co-operate do so on an equal basis (Poluha, in press). In order for this to be the case, however, traditional associations are designed expressly and exclusively to bring only existing peers together\(^{190}\). The horizontal collaboration thus embodied in these structures is extremely circumscribed, re-constitutive of the social status quo, and inimical to social transformation (The contextual customary hierarchy, which is defined by age, gender and - to a certain degree - kinship, is further explored in the ‘democratic culture’ section below). This being said, however, the leadership of these organisations is appointed/elected by their fellow villagers, and is thus accountable to their local community or membership. In cases where members of the associations are not satisfied with the leadership, this can be addressed within the organisations to find a solution, either dismissal or other corrective measures. The peasant-to-peasant relations administered by these indigenous networks/associations incorporate internal capacity to sanction deviance, and are thus qualitatively different from the organisations administering the peasant-to-state relations (kebele, etc.). Poluha asserts that where relations between individual peasants and state officials are concerned, these are always vertical, entrenching a hierarchy that prevents trust or interdependence from developing. In such structures, whatever the formal situation, in practice the leaders are less likely to be accountable to their fellow villagers, than to those above them in the system.

We may say that in Ethiopia today, the kebele administration forms a relay point in local power relations. Since the kebele administration represents the arm of the state/government/party with all of its potentially coercive apparatus, kebele leaders are generally feared for their potentially repressive and punitive powers. Moreover, since the kebele structure effectively reaches out to every village and locality in Ethiopia, the central government has no incentive to co-opt or work through traditional offices of authority.

\(^{186}\) The MoFedA has increasingly drawn on councils of elders in conflict resolution between Afar and Issa Somali, for instance. Particularly in the Somali NRS, elders’ councils occupy a position of importance vis-à-vis the state.

\(^{187}\) On elders, see (Poluha 1995) and (Birhanue 1998).

\(^{188}\) On the analysis of age system communities, see Kurimoto and Simonse (1998).

\(^{189}\) On the practice of spirit cults in highland Ethiopia see Aspen (2001).

\(^{190}\) Iddir and equub are composed of household heads of similar economic status; women are separately organised into mariam mahaber, and senbete.
Thus, in order to establish a local administration which is also accountable to its own constituency and not only to its leaders at the higher administrative levels (and which accepts plural political expression at the grassroots) a clear separation of political and administrative powers is required. As such, the *wereda*-administration reform of the government, is of crucial potential importance in addressing these issues.
E) The democratic culture

As set out above, on assuming power in 1991 the EPRDF promised to implement an ambitious programme of political reforms, enshrining democratic standards and the respect of human rights. Their promise was followed up with a process of accession to international instruments of human rights. Acceding to these instruments implies that the Ethiopian government is obliged to draft and implement their policies in coherence with international human rights standards. The Ethiopian Constitution reaffirms this intent and contains elaborate chapters on human and democratic rights (articles 8 to 44), which, according to article 13.2, ‘shall be interpreted in a manner conforming to the principles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, International Covenants on Human Rights and international instruments adopted by Ethiopia.’

This section will briefly outline the situation within the fields of human rights and democratisation. The introductory section on ‘political culture’ in Ethiopia forms a backdrop of understanding to these issues.

Human rights

Documented, reported, and alleged human rights abuses

A decade after EPRDF took power, widespread human rights violations still occur throughout Ethiopia. Detention without trial, torture, ‘disappearances’ and extra-judicial executions are regularly reported by international and national organisations. The International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) has been given increasing access to places of detention by the government. They report that by the end of 1997 some 10,980 people were still held in custody in relation to the 1991 ouster of the Dergue regime or for other security reasons. Additionally, the ICRC had registered 5,660 new detainees. The conditions in prison are harsh – overcrowded, little food, and minimum medical treatment.

Human Rights Watch (2002) has identified a marked deterioration in human rights observance in Ethiopia in the wake of the Eritrean-Ethiopian war.

Under EPRDF rule in Ethiopia (since 1991), several categories of individuals have been targeted for detention/imprisonment on politico-juridical grounds. A number of reports written by international human rights organisations document these cases. Very broadly speaking, it is alleged that the first category of people targeted immediately after the EPRDF take-over in 1991, was individuals belonging to the opposition party Ethiopian Peoples Revolutionary Party (EPRP), which continued a policy of militant opposition. A number of their key members are reported to have disappeared in detention in 1991 and 1992. In parallel with the crackdown on EPRP, was the arrest of individuals belonging to the former Dergue/WPE regime.

The TGE legislated that the human rights abuses during the Mengistu era should be addressed by a Special Prosecutor (SPO), who was given wide powers to detain and arrest individuals on suspicion of human rights abuses only, without presenting evidence at the time of their arrest. Several thousand people were thus arrested in the period of 1991-93. Charges were brought against about 5,200 individuals, of whom 2,200 remain in prison (the rest being charged in absentia). The international community supported the government’s move to try for trial the officials of the former regime. However, there are allegations that the ‘Red Terror’ trials, as they have been called, have been used as a means to arrest and detain people (not necessarily connected to the Dergue) without charge since

191 See Annex for a full list of UN and ILO covenants and conventions ratified/acceded to by Ethiopia.
1991. It is reported that a number of individuals have been detained for longer or shorter periods by the SPO, without explanation or charge.

After the 1992 local and regional elections, and the withdrawal from government of the Oromo Liberation Front and a number of other minor political movements, Oromo were reportedly targeted for imprisonment and harassment by the Ethiopian government. It is difficult to estimate how many have been imprisoned/detained without charge, for longer or shorter periods of time during the last decade, but the number is alleged to run into the tens of thousands. The UK-based NGO Oromo Support Group has, as of July 2002, reported 2,915 extra-judicial killings and 854 ‘disappearances’ of civilians suspected of supporting groups opposing the government during EPRDF’s tenure. According to this source, most of these have been Oromo. OSG also claim that “scores of thousands of civilians have been imprisoned. Torture and rape of prisoners is commonplace, especially in secret detention centres, whose existence is denied by the government.” Today, the political leadership of OLF claims that there are about 30-40,000 Oromo prisoners in Ethiopia, a number it is impossible to verify.

During the Eritrean-Ethiopian war, the Ethiopian government is estimated to have detained and expelled about 75,000 Eritreans and Ethiopians of Eritrean origin on the grounds that they represented a security threat to Ethiopia. With the conduct of the 2000/2001 elections it is alleged that thousands of individuals in the southern region of Ethiopia (SNNPR) who sympathised with the opposition Southern Coalition were detained and imprisoned, and that dozens of them were also killed by the police/military. The international human rights reports on Ethiopia for the year 2001, all list political prisoners as grave human rights abuse by the current EPRDF government. Amnesty International writes “suspected rebel supporters were detained, tortured and extrajudicially executed. Several thousand remained in detention; some had been held for years without charge or trial.”

Last year’s demonstrations in several cities throughout Ethiopia also resulted in the detention without charge of several thousands of people. For instance, over 400 AAPO members and 100 EDP members were arrested between April and June 2001, the authorities claiming that they had instigated violence. While in detention, four of these arrested died, some allegedly in circumstances where there was suspicion of torture. The US State Department’s report on Ethiopia’s human rights practice lists a number of cases of political imprisonment, and even killings, during 2001. The Department concludes that:

“The Government’s human rights record remained poor; although there were some improvements in a few areas, serious problems remained. Security forces committed a number of extra-judicial killings and at times beat and mistreated detainees. Prison conditions are poor. Arbitrary arrest and detention and prolonged pre-trial detention remained problems. The Government continued to detain persons suspected of sympathising with or being members of OLF. […] Thousands of suspects remained in detention without charge…”

The ICRC has generally had access to federal and regional prisons, civilian detention facilities and police stations throughout Ethiopia. However, according to the US State Department report, the ICRC does not have access to the military facilities where OLF fighters/members are detained.

There is thus evidence which would support the conclusion that the current Ethiopian government has used detention without charge or trial, as a means to repress political activity it finds threatening. In particular is this done during times of election or political tension.

---

195Interview, Ministry of Justice official who had himself been detained for 2 years during the 1990s.
196 See OSG web-site: http://www.oromo.org
197 Information from OLF foreign spokesperson Lencho Bati, 16 August 2002.
198 Pausewang, et al. (2002); see also: Ethiopia 2001, Local elections in the southern region, and Withering Democracy, Local Elections in Ethiopia, February/March 2001, both authored by S. Pausewang and L. Aalen, for the Norwegian Institute of Human Rights, and available on the Institute’s web-site: http://www.humanrights.uio.no/forskning/publ/publikasjonsliste.html#nr
Government attitudes and responses

The government is generally reluctant to admit any direct responsibility for human rights abuses, and usually blames the incidents on action taken by local officials out of control of the central government. According to the Human Rights Watch, the Ethiopian Minister of Foreign Affairs admitted in 2001 that conditions in Ethiopia were “not conducive for liberal democracy” and the Minister of Education acknowledged that Ethiopia’s justice system had major deficiencies and that “government agencies interfered in the justice system”.202 However, the EPRDF appears to be very sensitive to criticism on human rights abuses and is concerned about its international reputation within this field. Since the government bases much of its policy and international reputation on being ‘different’ from the Dergue in the respect of human rights, criticism is taken as an attack on the government’s legitimacy.203 For instance, after critical reports made by Amnesty International (1995) and the American Association for the International Commission of Jurists (AAICJ) in 1995, the Government distributed by way of response a booklet by an organisation called the International Transparency Commission on Africa (ITCO-Africa).

The EPRDF denial of any wrongdoings was repeated after the 1999 report by Amnesty International on human rights abuses as a consequence of the Eritrean/Ethiopian war, and all allegations were bluntly rejected.204 Rather than accepting political responsibility for human rights violations, the EPRDF government defends itself with the progress that has been made in economic, social and political development since the fall of the Dergue, and the fact that to transform the political culture of the country will take time. However, when the Prime Minister was challenged on the topic of EPRDF’s human rights abuses recently, he acknowledged that certain police and security officers had violated human rights, and that at least 100 of them had been dismissed over the last years. He was less willing to acknowledge a more deep-rooted culture of human rights abuses, or indeed political responsibility for them.205 Acknowledging abuse only by low-level government employees, seems to leave the political and structural causes for human rights violations opaque.

One should be sympathetic towards the tremendous challenges EPRDF is facing in terms of economic, social and political constraints, in order to enhance human rights observance. Moreover, taking the regional context into consideration, with a long-term history of political violence, it is a daunting task to transform a ‘culture of violence’ in a short time. This, in combination with limited resources to carry out an efficient juridical reform process (Elgesem 1998) and the link between some human rights violations and deep-rooted cultural norms and traditions, make the context of human rights in Ethiopia a complex issue. One should perhaps not judge the EPRDF Government on the basis of concrete changes experienced alone, but also consider their expressed and practical will to bring change vis-à-vis the complex human rights issue. A vigorous policy campaign to change attitudes and behaviour in the directions pointed out by international human rights instruments, NGOs, and (increasingly) Ethiopian citizens, is needed. An apparent lack of appropriate political action and determination to condemn and sanction social norms abusive of human rights has been of concern to date. Evidence to support recent government claims that this is to change will be welcomed. The Government’s plans to establish an independent Human Rights Commission and an Ombudsman’s Office, which are currently recruiting staff, are positive steps, although it seems appropriate to reserve judgement in relation to the likely working conditions and political independence of these institutions.

Democratic governance

The Ethiopian Constitution of 1995 provides for a full liberal democratic structure of government in the country. This is an important innovation in the history of the Ethiopian state that should not be underestimated. However, during the ten years since 1991, the government seems to have established a two-track structure of governance at all administrative levels. It has built up a formal structure of democratic institutions to keep in line with the constitutional premises. On the other hand, a range of recent studies suggests that, in parallel, the regime has built a party structure that retains a degree of

203 See the well-argued country-profile on Ethiopia by Siegfried Pausewang (1996)...
control to the extent that in practice it would be difficult to use these democratic institutions effectively to challenge the power of the ruling party. These were problems of which the elections of 2000 and 2001 provided numerous examples.206

Electoral practice

Political parties have been allowed, even encouraged, to register for elections. However, Pausewang et al. (in press) suggest that, at the local level, opposition parties face difficult conditions. Where they present no challenge to the ruling party, they are relatively free. But if they are considered to pose a challenge, it has been the experience of repeated international election observer missions that they find their offices closed, their potential candidates harassed or arrested, their supporters warned against voting for them. Reports on such events were gathered from Amhara, Oromia and SNNPNRS by the Norwegian research group to follow the election process, and the following observations summarise their impressions207.

As well as parties, individual candidates also face severe hurdles. Commonly, prior to elections, there is intimidation, with family members getting warnings and threats, and being instructed to discourage the candidates from running. Just before the elections, the candidates may find that some of the signatures endorsing their candidacy are refused, so their candidacy is cancelled because of insufficient numbers of signatures. A candidate may also find himself disqualified as being “under police investigation” for an unspecified offence. On voting day, candidates may see substantial pressure on their voters, and if they so much as talk to people in public, they may be arrested for “illegal agitation” on voting day. After the election, they may face revenge from the authorities, they may feel discrimination in public services or even be arrested, or dismissed from their jobs.

The conduct of elections in Ethiopia has been hampered by government interference, and manipulation. The federal and NRS parliamentary elections of 2000 showed widespread government intimidation, harassment, detentions and even killings of opposition party members and sympathisers.208 The difficulty of assessing the nature and extent of the democratisation process in Ethiopia lies the fact that violations occur only in areas/regions where opposition parties pose a challenge to the EPRDF hegemony. In Tigray, large parts of Amhara and Oromia, where EPRDF has been the only party on the ballot, the elections have been conducted in an exemplary manner. In the SNNPNRS, and in parts of Oromia and urban Amhara NRSs, however, where the opposition parties have managed to organise themselves at the grassroots, widespread (and apparently systematic) intimidation and manipulation occur. This is particularly the case were local-level positions are at stake, so that the experience of wereda/kebele elections in 2001 was more problematic than had been the case with federal/NRS elections in 2000.

Thus, taking the local elections of 2001 as a benchmark, it is difficult to conclude that the wereda or kebele administration in Ethiopia is established in such a way as to make it democratically accountable towards its constituencies, since a level playing field has not been designed or allowed. Unfair tactics used during the 2000 and 2001 elections, which are acknowledged by National Electoral Board (as reported by the US State Department) include:

“election officials instructing voters for whom to vote, candidates campaigning at polling stations, and candidates being pressured into quitting. There were also credible reports of ballot stuffing, vote count fraud, voter intimidation or bribery, dismissals from work, withholding of salaries, detentions, abductions, and killings”209.

As detailed in the previous section, local politics in Ethiopia operate within the framework of the wereda/kebele administration, administered by EPRDF in the four regions of Amhara, Oromia, SNNPNRS and Tigray. This situation does not foster or encourage dissent or pluralism of political opinion. Moreover, since women are generally excluded from traditional public arenae and offices, female representation in these organs is low. Those belonging to outcast or low-status occupational

206 Pausewang & Tronvoll (2000); Pausewang et al. (2002); see also the – less neutral - election studies of EHRCO (2000) (2002)
207 These are detailed in Pausewang & Tronvoll (2000), and Pausewang et al. (2002), as well as a further series of reports from the Norwegian Institute of Human Rights: Ethiopia 2001, Local elections in the southern region, and Withering Democracy: Local Elections in Ethiopia, February/March 2001, both authored by Pausewang and Aalen, and available on the institute’s web-site: http://www.humanrights.uio.no/forskning/publ/publikasjonliste.html#nr
208 Reported by all human rights organisations, also included in the US State Department reports.
groups (see section H below) are also generally excluded from holding office at the local level, since they
are not considered as ‘belonging to the community’. Thus, the voice and influence of opposition
sympathisers, women, and despised groups, are often ignored in the running of local affairs.

Ruling party influence

On a regional state level, five mechanisms have been identified in a range of studies, by means of which
the EPRDF has sought to secure influence over the internal politics of the regional states which lie
outside their direct administration. First, there is direct membership of elected EPRDF
representatives on state councils, such as currently exists in Benishangul/Gumuz and Afar states.
Secondly, there have been key EPRDF political ‘advisors’ attached to the executive in each of the four
peripheral states, who have played an active, and some claim decisive, role in political affairs (although
the policy of despatching federal advisors at the political level has been withdrawn). Third, the
EPRDF provides a wide range of seminars, courses and educational functions for state and party
officials and bureaucrats, disseminating and streamlining an EPRDF ‘way of thinking’. Fourth, the
EPRDF can directly discipline members of its affiliated organisations and remove them from their
political positions. Finally, the federal armed forces have intervened to assume direct control in various
‘unstable’ peripheral parts of the country, such as the Somali, Afar, Gambella and Benishangul/Gumuz
NRSs, and within the troublesome Borana zone of Oromia NRS.

The institution of gemgema, a regular ‘evaluation’, or criticism and/or self-criticism, of office holders by those
over whom they have administrative powers, is again in principle a powerful tool of democratic
accountability. It was developed during TPLF’s resistance struggle against the Dergue. At that time it is
reported to have worked well to ensure that the leaders of the armed struggle would not estrange themselves
from the civilian population and would not lose the support of the peasants (Young 1998). Transformed in
the context of a peacetime administration, it is today often regarded as open to the manipulation of senior
cadres who decide when to hold a gemgema and which issues to raise. Though it is still used to expose
corruption and remove administrators who use their power for unpopular and selfish ends, it has also been
described as working as a ‘tool of party control’ (Aalen 2002). Issues are prepared beforehand, and with the
party pre-selecting candidates, it is seldom the popular will that is deciding a gemgema, other than if party
leaders anticipate that they can profit from allowing a genuine popular scrutiny and vote. Information
indicates that the practice of gemgema is under reconsideration by the EPRDF, and that its use may from
now be restricted to internal party business: if it is indeed removed from civil service practice, this will mark
a significant shift in thinking.

Customary democratic practices

Many of the ethnic groups of Ethiopia share elements of customary practice of ‘democracy’. The most well
known and researched traditional system of governance in Ethiopia, is the Gada system of the Oromo. Gada
is essentially a social order of age cycles, according to which every male Oromo goes through age cycles,
usually of eight years, which assign his status, his role in the division of labour in the predominantly pastoral
areas of Oromo society (notably Borana). Each age group has its distinct tasks and responsibilities, where
the younger male members of the community take care of the cattle, then a second group has the
responsibility to protect the community, while the leadership is in the hands of the fifth group. Every eight
years, in a "luo" ceremony, men are collectively promoted to the next age cycle, while the boys born during
the last eight years are ceremonially promoted to the first age cycle. Each age group chooses its leaders by
election. Though certain families had in practice a claim on leadership positions, the entire group was in a
position to choose the one it had trust in, and to get rid of a leader who did not fulfill its expectations. Those
who had to hand over leadership to the incoming luo group of new leaders were retired into the status of
respected elders. Women were excluded from the gada, as were slaves and minorities. The gada system, even
when its operation was widespread and intact, was far from an ideal democracy, but the OLF has long

---

211 This policy of ‘federal assistance’ to the weaker states was initiated in early 1998, in response to simultaneous ‘requests’ from
the four NRSs. Whilst technical advisors continue in place, the policy relating to political advisors was revised as a component of
the ‘tehadso’ reforms during the upheavals in early 2001.
212 Thus for instance, in addition to technical seminars between regional bodies on programme implementation, the Tatek
political training programme educates cadres (and prospective cadres) of the party from all over the country.
considered it a symbolic base on which to build a modern democratic political order which people would understand and cherish as theirs. It has thus gained in potential political significance.

There are other customary practices in Ethiopia as well with rich democratic traditions. The Xeer of the Somali (Lewis 1961), the Sera of the Sidamo, the Gurage and the Kambata (Bahru Zewde & Pausewang (eds) (2003)), and the council of elders in many regions (Poluha 1995), all constitute institutions which arbitrate in disputes, and contribute to mechanisms which can re-establish peace and a balance all parties can accommodate. Studies show that even the much purged rist/risti system of the Amhara and Tigrayan societies had its intrinsically democratic roots. It had been gravely misused in the conquest of the Ethiopian South, and thus is discounted and hated among the Oromo and other groups. Yet the rist system, in its original spirit, made every member of the community co-responsible for providing the means for feeding themselves, their families, and those of all members. Everyone had a right to access to land and, and in case of incapacity to work, to food, assistance, and solidarity. As population increased and the demands of the nobility on the peasantry grew, land became scarce, and rist turned more and more into a fight for access to land. When rist was exported to the South during the time of Menelik II’s expansion, it became a mechanism for the exploitation of southern peasants, and expropriation of their land by new (assimilated) Abyssinian landlords.

The melange of cultures encompassed within Ethiopia’s borders is extremely rich, complex and various in their practices and traditions of authority and power, so that any kind of generalisation is difficult. The oral and articulate cultures of the Somali and Afar, have an extreme sensitivity towards kinship and clanship in organisational models (and not confined territory/spaces); the open and plural cultures of the south, compare with the more closed, inward-looking and territorially-based organisational features of the highland cultures of Amhara and Tigray. The ‘Abyssinian’ highland, as discussed above, is known for its strict hierarchical order, where social conduct is defined and delimited by an individual’s rank (according to kinship, age, sex, social/material resources, religion, etc.). The cultural background and upbringing of Ethiopia’s citizens, must influence their way of thinking about ‘modern’ concepts such as ‘democracy’.

Concluding remarks

The most important achievement by the EPRDF during ten years in power is the establishment of democratic administrative structures. This is significant in itself, although the culture and practice of democracy has serious limitations. Clearly people have begun to understand what democracy could mean for them, and when they experience pressure before elections, for instance, increasing numbers now know that this is not according to constitutional principles. When villagers see repression exercised in the rural areas, they are often aware that it differs from the freedoms they are officially granted by law. The difference between what is set by law, and what is practised, is apparent for some – though by no means all - rural people.

The Head of Government has asserted that after the 2000 elections, the parliament is working as an arena of public debate, where the opposition leaders frequently challenge him on the EPRDF government policies before open camera. The PM is further of the opinion that the parliament will have an instrumental role to play in broadening the democratic culture in Ethiopia. A prominent opposition MP, Dr Beyene Petros, on the other hand, dismisses such a view, and is of the opinion that the parliamentary debates are just ‘window-dressing’. These contradictory positions were aired in a widely cited exchange upon the opening of the new parliamentary session. The PM, citing the traditional proverb that ‘you can’t get a dove from a snake’s egg’, suggested that the presence of opposition MPs was the result of EPRDF commitment to democracy over a decade, i.e. the dove’s egg. Beyene Petros, meanwhile, rejected the suggestion that the Southern Coalition had benefited from EPRDF policy making, insisting instead that it had had to fight its way into parliament against great obstacles originating primarily from EPRDF itself. Such exchanges demonstrate the extent of the gulf of bitterness between ruling and opposition parties, and how far Ethiopia remains from enjoying the benefits of a loyal opposition.

---

213 Although see also Bassi (1996), on the shortcomings of the gada system as a viable political arrangement.
214 This section builds on Pausewang et al. (2002). See Markakis and Nega Ayele (1986) for a full discussion.
A new capacity-building/educational reform is also planned, which promises well for the development of the academic sector in the country. As a consequence of increasing the capacity of the academic sector, more people can be expected to become aware of their rights as citizens and the workings of a modern democracy. The enhancement of knowledge and awareness of human rights and democracy in the country should have a positive effect on the process of political liberalisation in the medium to long term. In a similar vein, the Prime Minister has recently reported that a public dialogue initiative will be launched in 2002. InterAfrica Group has been requested to organise a series of open fora for dialogue between various sectors of Ethiopian civil society (the commercial sector, academics, NGOs), and the authorities. One such public dialogue is also planned to take place between the opposition parties and the government.

The contradictory political context in Ethiopia today, where the ethnic federal system has given rights and resources to earlier suppressed ethnic groups, but where individual human rights abuses also continue, prohibits any general conclusion on whether Ethiopians feel themselves to be ‘citizens’ or ‘subjects’. Many would reject the label ‘citizen’, because their citizenship rights are not upheld and protected. As such, they are rather ‘subjects’ under a state and party structure which still do not allow for democratic accountability. Others, however, would emphasise the newly obtained rights of language, administrative autonomy, and mother-tongue education, granted under federalism as essential preconditions of their status as equal citizens.

---

217 The Addis Ababa University aims to quadruple the intake of students to their graduate programmes by 2006.
218 Addis Ababa University (AAU) is currently considering the possibility to include elements of human rights and democracy training to all students, irrespective of academic disciplines. Information provided by Prof. Dr. Eshetu Wencheko, President AAU, May 2002.
219 Interview with Meles Zenawi, January 2002.
**F) Private sector**

**The role of privatisation in economic policy making**

The international community now has little doubt that ‘the Government of the FDRE is committed to ensuring that private capital plays a significant role in the economy’ (US Department of Trade 2000)\(^{220}\). To this end, in the mid-1990s the government adopted a Five-Year Development Plan designed to ‘enhance agricultural productivity, improve rural infrastructure, encourage private investment, promote participation of the private sector in the economy, mobilize external resources, and pursue appropriate macroeconomic and sectoral policies’. It established the Ethiopian Privatisation Agency to implement a phased privatisation program, issued new laws opening banking and insurance to the domestic private sector, and, in 1995, started selling state-owned retail shops, hotels, and restaurants. It has ‘eliminated discriminatory tax, credit, and foreign trade treatment of the private sector, simplified administrative procedures, and established a clear and consistent set of rules regulating business activities’ \(^{(ibid.)}\).

In June 1996, the government issued a revised investment code which, *inter alia*, ‘provides incentives for development-related investments, reduces capital entry requirements for joint ventures and technical consultancy services, creates incentives in the education and health sectors, permits the duty free entry of capital goods (except computers and vehicles), opens the real estate sector to expatriate investors, extends the losses carried forward provision, cuts the capital gains tax from 40 to 10 percent, and gives priority to investors in obtaining land for lease’ \(^{(ibid.)}\). The investment code has been further revised and liberalised on a number of occasions since then.

Ethiopia has privatised approximately 180 properties, mostly small enterprises in trade and other service sectors (including the Pepsi-Cola and Coca-Cola bottling plants, and the St George Brewery), but also the larger Lega Dembi Gold Mine. Private companies have been involved in protracted negotiations with the government over a range of tenders related to the Kenticha Tantalum Mine, the Calub Gas Company, and the Wonji-Shoa Sugar Factory. In November 1998, the Ethiopian privatisation agency published a list of 114 firms it planned to put up for privatisation in the near future, including breweries, hotels, textile and garment factories, construction and building materials industries, food factories, tanneries, and cotton, tea, and cereal farms. These are being offered for sale, lease, management contract, or joint venture with the Government, although progress since the outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean war has been slow. None of Ethiopia's utilities has been privatised to date, though the government is looking for foreign investor partners in telecommunications.

**Trends in the ownership of assets**

The government retains complete ownership of all land. A relatively new legal framework allows the leasing of urban land, the value of which is established by public auction or via pre-set rates established partly in response to the market. In the agricultural sector, the government has abolished pre-existing state marketing boards, which has enabled farmers to sell their crops to the highest bidder\(^{221}\). Parts of the market for agricultural inputs have been liberalized, and coffee marketing has been opened to competition.

The manufacturing sector is dominated by about 150 public enterprises, which account for more than 90 percent of its value. Production from state-owned enterprises is concentrated in food and beverages, textiles, clothing, leather products, tobacco, rubber, plastic, and cement. Private sector manufacturing activity follows a similar pattern. Most of the 165 private sector manufacturing firms are involved in bakery products, pharmaceuticals, textiles, footwear, and furniture production. Only those businesses associated with the ruling party have undertaken investment in ‘heavier’ manufacturing sectors. In the short term at least, the sustainability of the manufacturing recovery is likely to be influenced by how well the private sector responds to market incentives, as well as by the capacity of public enterprises to adapt to the recently more competitive market environment.

---

\(^{220}\) This contrasts with doubts during the transitional period when in 1992 the then Minister of Finance famously told a World Bank delegation that ‘Ethiopia remained a socialist country’.

\(^{221}\) The widely despised fixed prices of the Dergue era have, of course, been equally widely lamented following the widespread collapse of grain prices over the last two years.
Clearly, the state retains its dominant position in the ownership of key assets. The emerging private sector, resulting from liberalisation and privatisation over the last decade, however, has played an increasing role, particularly with respect to service enterprises and sectors. What critics have called the ‘genuine’ private sector, however, has been dwarfed by the emergence of two powerful blocs: the so-called ‘party-associated enterprises’, the activities and role of which are documented in the following section, and the Midroc business ‘empire’ owned by the Saudi-Ethiopian business magnate, Sheikh Mohammed Alamoudi. Beyond these two areas, it seems clear that the private sector has not grown to the extent that it represents an effective voice in the political arena. It has, for instance, been little able to lobby to effect change in policy making, with the possible exception of the case of land lease arrangements which were substantively revised soon after their initial promulgation\textsuperscript{222}. Forthcoming battlegrounds are likely to relate to new taxation legislation, which requires investors and private citizens to make a complete register of their assets, and to the introduction within one year of a system of VAT. It is noteworthy that the National Chamber of Commerce was involved in the consultations regarding the formulation of the PRSP, to which process it made a submission.

Midroc has emerged as a disproportionately large player both in establishing new ventures, and in the purchase of privatised state enterprises\textsuperscript{223}. It is noteworthy that of the large-scale privatisations so far conducted, all have been bought up by Midroc – the best known being the Lega Dembi gold mine. In addition to a range of privatised enterprises, Midroc has been active in construction, service, and manufacturing sectors, with the construction of the highly visible Sheraton Hotel a flagship, and apparently loss-making, prestige project. Much speculation has focussed on the generously financed Midroc investment programme. It now operates on a sufficiently large scale as to have resulted in the adoption of an unofficial government and party decision against joint ventures which might (be seen to) further encourage its dominance. A more detailed review of Midroc businesses, along with those associated with the EPRDF, is provided in Anon. (1996).

**Party-associated enterprises**

**EFFORT and Endeavour: structures, strategies, and sectors**

The Endowment Fund for the Rehabilitation of Tigray (EFFORT, or *Tirit* in Tigrigna) was established in 1995 as ‘a means of co-ordinating the effective developmental use of the material and cash resources in the possession of the TPLF at the end of the war with the *Degue*’\textsuperscript{224}. The foundation, registered in Tigray under the regional Bureau of Justice, is governed by a 32-member Board of Directors elected from the TPLF and its mass associations; it is managed by an Executive Committee whose seven members have also been members of the TPLF central committee\textsuperscript{225}. The resources deployed by the Foundation have included machinery and equipment either purchased or captured by the TPLF during the war, as well as some equipment supplied through REST cross-border programmes, including vehicles and spare parts.

Resources have, according to EFFORT officials, been used firstly to support the families of TPLF fighters who lost their lives during the war, or fighters who received rehabilitation at the end of the war (some 35,000 initially received such support), and secondly to establish commercial enterprises designed ‘to kick-start key sectors of economic development and industrialisation in the region’. This second element of the strategy was reportedly designed to ‘fill a gap’, on the assumptions that: typically small and cautious local private investors were likely to shy away from the new agro-industrial sector; neither regional nor federal government had the resources to establish an industrial base in the country, and state-led investment would in any case be frowned upon by the international community; a poor peasantry would produce only meagre savings; and, finally, NGOs were ‘unreliable’.

\textsuperscript{222} Although one may suggest that the lobbying of the international community, in particular the World Bank, is likely to have been more influential in this case.

\textsuperscript{223} Unconfirmed reports suggest that the Midroc group accounts for some 60% of foreign direct investment since 1991.

\textsuperscript{224} Interview with Tewodros Hagos, EFFORT Board member, Addis Ababa, March 1998

\textsuperscript{225} in the wake of the corruption allegations pursued by the ACC, some of which have been levelled at EFFORT executives, it has been decided to restrict TPLF oversight to the Board, making separate professional appointments to the Executive Committee in an attempt at improving control through the separation of management and oversight functions (interview, Addis Ababa, April 2002)
Sectors of activity include, but are not limited to: **agriculture** (Hiwet Mechanisation), with particular emphasis on the rehabilitation of the Humera area; **trading** (Guna Trading House) reportedly to improve supply to remote areas, ensure a market for crops such as cotton and sesame, and seek to loosen traditionally existing monopolies; **cement production** (Mesebo Cement Factory, authorized capital 240 million birr as at August 1996) to reduce the regional costs of this critical resource and promote spin-off industries; **textiles and garmenting** (Almeda Factory, authorized capital 180 million birr) to maximize the processing of locally available resources for the domestic and export markets; **livestock and leather** (Sheba Tannery, authorized capital 40 million birr; proposed meat factory) also to concentrate on the processing of materials for export within the local economy; **mining and exploration** (Meskerem, Ezana) for base metals and industrial minerals; **transport and public transport** (TransEthiopia Share Co., TESCO, authorized capital 100 million birr; Selam Bus Co.) to ensure adequate servicing of remote areas; **engineering, construction, and consultancy** (Mesfin Engineering; Sur Construction, authorized capital 100 million birr; Addis Consultancy, Addis Engineering); and the **finance sectors** (Wegagen Bank, Africa Insurance), where EFFORT’s investment is complemented by that of parallel structures from other regions, notably Endeavour in Amhara NRS.

EFFORT management claims a range of laudable development objectives. For instance, that one of its primary objectives is the recruitment of new investment to the region, and many of its initiatives – including some of those listed above – are joint ventures: the best known of these is the Addis Pharmaceuticals Factory in Adigrat (authorized capital, 180 million birr) the controlling share of which is owned by an Amhara entrepreneur. For this reason EFFORT has not been involved in the purchase of government enterprises under privatisation. Other strategies for the recruitment of capital have reportedly involved opening up new sectors, geographical areas, and markets for others to follow, and establishing a core of skilled workers and experienced consultants/supervisors. As a result EFFORT claim that many of their supported ventures incorporate technology transfer, skills development and training, with most external suppliers of equipment also required to take on responsibilities in these areas. To date, projects are estimated to have generated around 6,000 permanent, and around 13-14,000 temporary jobs, with EFFORT headquarters employing around 40 staff.

This is the (impressive) official version.

### Problems with party domination of the private sector

The large scale, selection of key sectors, and strategic integration of these activities have been emphasised by EFFORT and Regional Government sources keen to spell out their socio-economic potential. They are, on the other hand, precisely the causes of the anxiety of those many observers who fear the political and economic implications of the concentration of such economic power in the hands of a body effectively controlled by the TPLF. These critics allege the emergence of new monopolistic and unfair trading practices by the ruling party. The policy of aggressive development of non-governmental as well as governmental channels for socio-economic development in Tigray lies squarely within the tradition of TPLF strategic thinking which sees the strength of the movement as intrinsically bound up with the socio-economic advancement it can (be seen to) offer its constituents.

Little formal research has been carried out to measure the impact of activities of party-affiliated companies upon the sectors where they are active. A recent exception is a study commissioned by the federal Ministry of Transport and Communication (RTA 2000), which comments adversely on the impact of the large party operators upon market competition. The report concludes bluntly:

- Which had been abandoned during the Ethio-Eritrean war, and is regarded as critical to food security in the region.
- Whilst this review enumerates the activities of EFFORT in Tigray, these are paralleled by less advanced operations in Amhara, Oromia and SNNPNRSs. In Amhara NRS the parallel endowment fund ‘Endeavour’ was established in 1996, and owns a substantial stake, or majority shares in the following companies: Ambasel Trading House; Blue Nile Transport Company; Zeleke Agricultural Mechanisation (commercial agriculture in the Metemma area); and Dashen Brewery, a joint Franco-Ethiopian venture in Kombolcha. In Oromia, Dinsho Trading House acts as an ad hoc umbrella for party-orchestrated commercial activities, including those of Biltu Trading House. In the SNNPNRS, Wondo Trading house deals in coffee and fertilizer.
- In its defence EFFORT sources are quick to claim that the organization does not have a monopoly in any of the sectors in which it operates, and that, unlike most businesses it is motivated not by the desire simply to make money, but by the mandate continually to move on to develop new sectors which others would otherwise be unwilling or unable to work in, because of existing levels of underdevelopment.
- Hardly an obvious opponent of ruling party policy.
The road transport market is today almost completely deregulated [but] in fact characterised by a situation of imperfect competition. [...] In particular in freight transport, the presence of three large conglomerates of operators [...] in different ways exert some ologopolistic influence in the market. [...] Only 11 private companies have been registered after deregulation. New individual companies may be discouraged to enter the market because of the presence of share companies deriving from regional development associations [namely TESCO and Blue Nile], which could be potentially favoured for public tenders. There is a rather pervasive discouragement to owners operating independently.

The extent of the competition and monopoly/oligopoly-related problems emerges yet more clearly, when one considers the nature of the freight moved by the transport sector, with most work carried out either for the state sector, or (in the case of major volumes of fertilizer) for other party-associated companies such as Guna, Ambasel, and Dinsho. It seems unlikely that, unless it undergoes a radical transformation, the party will take on board these concerns, given its attitudes towards pluralism, and its insistence that these enterprises benefit ‘all of the people of their respective regions’. It needs little imagination, however, to envisage the difficulties which might beset the timely distribution of fertilizer, for instance, to weredas or zones which, after election, were administered by representatives of political parties other than those with which these key trading and distribution companies are so closely, if non-formally, associated.

A new focus of criticism has however been directed at the strategy in view of the economic downturn in the wake of the Ethio-Eritrean conflict. In addition to concerns that the party’s strategy has concentrated too much economic power in its own hands, and that it has worked against the development of open competition, observers now additionally question the quality of the economic decision-making upon which the strategy was formulated and pursued. A number of flagship projects in Tigray230, for instance, are now roundly criticised as having been ‘over ambitious’, and designed beyond the possible scale of regional, let alone local, markets. It seems clear that the ongoing standoff between the Ethiopian and Eritrean governments radically changes the market environment within which many of these projects were initially designed and drawn up231.

---

230 the Mesobo Cement, Almeda Textiles/Garmenting, and Addis Pharmaceuticals factories foremost amongst them.
231 Although the regional government maintains that of the projects implemented, all are expected to bring benefits in the medium to long term, with the possible exception of Addis Pharmaceuticals, from which the local value-added is insignificant. (Arkebe Oqubay, interviewed Mekelle, July 2002).
G) The role and structure of civil society

The term ‘civil society’ has tended to be used in the development literature of the 1990s (by NGOs and other bodies) as a catch-all to designate those positive elements of non-state associational life which can be mobilised for social political and economic development. In drawing upon the liberal and neo-liberal traditions, analysis has tended to overlook the fragmented and reactionary elements of community organisation, and to assume the negative influence of the state, as something which can desirably be curbed or ‘rolled back’ through support to ‘peoples’ organisations’ within civil society. Implicit in this understanding have often been what Friedman has called a ‘widespread set of doctrinal beliefs about alternative development’ (1992:6): that the state is a negative, and civil society equally a positive actor; that state-civil society relations are hostile and zero-sum; and that ‘genuine community’ action is sufficient for development, whilst political activity is to be avoided.

In Ethiopia there have been particularly compelling reasons why the polarisation of state and civil society has been seen in starkly crude terms. A modern history of state autocracy, oppression, totalitarianism, and coercion reached its nadir under the Dergue regime, when ‘civil repression turned into all out warfare’ during the Red Terror of 1977/8, and the manipulation of food aid and enforced relocation or villagisation increasingly became ‘counter-insurgency strategies’ wielded against starving and recalcitrant populations. In response to this situation, and – perhaps more significantly – in view of the government’s pro-Soviet alignment, international emergency assistance during the crisis of the 1980s was channelled at an unprecedented level through the NGO sector precisely because it was non-governmental.

Observers have argued that this history has left the NGO sector in Ethiopia with an inflated sense of its own importance. NNGOs have often been the artificial product of the international need for tool for the delivery of relief assistance, and do not reflect the organic evolution and indigenous consolidation of civil society. Lacking roots in community development, as a result some emerged in the early 1990s with a clearer sense of their rights to special privileges (for duty free import of equipment, for instance) than of their responsibilities to their ‘constituents’. Without the experience of a collective struggle to establish its own legitimate space outside the remit of the state, the voluntary sector has lacked cohesion and solidarity, and been overly expectant of the largesse of state or international bodies in facilitating its activities. With the emergence of new organisations over the last decade, this situation has now shifted in positive ways, but remains an important backdrop to understanding of the constraints and potential of the sector.

NGOs and the EPRDF

The NGO sector in Ethiopia has flourished, grown, and diversified since EPRDF came to power. It has however also felt itself buffeted from all sides since 1991. Bilateral and multilateral governmental funding through the NGO sector dropped off dramatically as the international community has sought to re-establish its government-to-government relations following the demise of the Dergue regime. Additionally, there are three areas in which shifts in government policy have presented challenges to the NGO sector, particularly its international members.

---

232 ‘The new strategy [of international development organisations] is neo-liberal not because it promotes capitalism, commercialisation, and markets which all liberal strategies do, but because of the redefinition of the role of the state in this process’ (Beckman 1992:5); Muir (1996:5-7) has documented the way in which the ‘favoured child’ status which NGOs have attained in recent years in relation to official donors reflects the ideological rise of ‘gradualist welfare pluralism’ and traces the close parallel between the expansion of the NGO sector in sub-Saharan African and increased official funding of NGOs in the UK in this context.

233 Africa Watch (1991:4-7). This publication provides perhaps the fullest account in english of the negative impact of government policies under Haile Selassie I and the Dergue regime. See also, for instance, Hendrie (1991) and Clay & Holcomb (1985).

234 Successive US administrations, for instance, were subject to legislation, enacted soon after the superpower realignment in the late 1970s, specifically forbidding the provision of all but skeleton emergency assistance to Ethiopia. These regulations were lifted only in 1992.
Policy directions

Firstly, TGE policies first published in 1993 have required NGOs to shift their activities from relief to development, linking all relief distributions, particularly of foodstuffs, to development activities ‘designed in collaboration with the communities affected’, in practice usually ‘employment generation schemes’235. The inflexible and abrupt manner in which this new policy was introduced and enforced caused widespread alarm236, and damaged the capacity for food security analysis and research often funded on the back of food aid ‘overheads’. Secondly, the increasingly formal government requirement that NGOs design and implement their programmes in collaboration with (if not through) local bodies – usually local government structures - has significantly curtailed the extensive freedoms (some would say ‘fiefdoms’) they had enjoyed. There are recent indications of a reversal in this policy direction, with government now more willing to tolerate the independent operation of NGO-run programmes. A third area of change to which the NGO sector has had difficulty responding has been the impact of the programme of structural adjustment to which Ethiopia has been subject since 1991. Debates common in other parts of Africa (regarding, for instance, the need for NGOs to address the causes of poverty rather than simply supplementing the welfare ‘safety nets’ less and less provided by the state) are little developed in Ethiopia.

Government-NGO relations

Government has been, by and large, suspicious of the NGO sector, concerned by what it sees as that ‘inefficiency and competition for hearts and minds’ engendered by the establishment of a parallel resource-provision system. This attitude seems to lie at the heart of government antagonism towards a range of voluntary and private sector organisations. It is supplemented by a number of other concerns, including: the fungibility of funds (the largely mistaken view that resources which might otherwise go to the government are being ‘lost’ to NGOs); NGO corruption (government rhetoric has been indignant on the subject of the ‘waste of resources intended for the Ethiopian population’, although the evidence is that it is neither widespread nor on a large scale); lack of NGO understanding of, or commitment to social transformation; personnel implications for the civil service of a high-paying competitor sector of employment; and finally, the incapacity (or unwillingness) of many NNGOs to work outside the capital and major cities. As suggested above, the EPRDF has not over recent years emerged as an organisation committed to pluralism for its own sake. This has meant that the government which it dominates has co-operated with NGOs only in so far as they are seen to contribute additional resources to an economic and social development process the broad parameters of which have been defined by the state: the EPRDF government has not viewed the existence of the NGO/voluntary sector as a good thing per se. As a result, the various (and legitimate) objections it has raised have tended to reinforce one another and coalesce into a generalised and ideologically-driven suspicion of an alternative mechanism for socio-economic improvement, drawing on a vision, methodology, and potential for patronage separate from those of the state.

The antagonism has been reflected in the establishment of a regulatory environment which many NGOs regard as inconducive and obstructive to their operations237.

International NGOs (INGOs)

There are around 126 international NGOs (INGOs) out of a total of 270 registered as working in Ethiopia, many of whom commenced operations during the 1984/5 famine, when they numbered several hundred. Many of the larger INGOs have consolidated existing policies of working only through indigenous structures, in line with government directives. Some few are willing to prioritise co-operation with, and strengthening of, government structures at local, regional, and national levels.

Ethiopian National NGOs (NNGOs)

235 Cf. TGE’s National Policy on Disaster Prevention and Mitigation (NPDM) published in 1993
236 ‘Restrictions in the use of food aid in a country in which approximately 55% of the population are food insecure […] may be less than helpful to local development’ (UN EUE 1994)
237 details of the revised regulatory framework which was adopted in 1995/6, and which shifted legal responsibility for oversight of NGOs from DPPC to the MoJ, are given in Vaughan (1996).
‘Government oriented NGOs (GONGOs) and Development Associations

The Relief Society of Tigray (REST) is well known as one of the largest and best-funded of Africa’s ‘indigenous NGOs’. Established in 1978 as the de iure independent NGO, and de facto humanitarian wing of the TPLF, it set out to provide financial and material support to farmers in non-government held areas of Tigray through a cross-border operation, and to Tigrayan refugees in Sudan. As such, its activities completed a picture of NGO domination of funding channels for long-term development (and indeed relief) in all areas of Ethiopia during the Dergue238, whilst effectively delivering humanitarian resources into the domain of the de facto government of TPLF-administered Tigray. During the latter part of the civil war, REST had a sister organisation in the Tigray Development Association. TDA was established (also by the TPLF) in 1989 as a mechanism for recruiting the technical and material support of the many professional Tigrayans in the Diaspora. Under its auspices many who would have been unwilling to become allied with the political struggle were able to offer their know-how, time, and money to support the ‘development struggle’.

Both organisations were formally registered as NGOs in Addis Ababa after the change of government. They have come to represent the twin facets of what has become familiar as an Ethiopia-wide ‘development drive’, nominally non-governmental, but clearly EPRDF-driven. The pattern of an ethno-national development organisation focusing on the rural economy, complemented by a membership association concerned primarily with social transformation (particularly through skills and technology transfer) has been reproduced in many of the NRSs since 1991. Also replicated has been the structures through which the boards and management of these organisations have been dominated by senior party members. Examples include ORDA (originally ERO) and ALMA in Amhara, as well as the Oromo and Gurage Development Associations – the latter itself one of a number of members of the Southern Ethiopian People’s Development Association, launched with a characteristic fundraising ‘telethon’ in 1998.

The widespread creation of NGOs so closely allied with the government or ruling party is clearly open to abuse and criticism. The ruling party's creation of yet another markedly non-level playing field is justified by its members on the basis of ‘overwhelming popular demands for an improvement in living standards’; that the fulfillment of the government’s development objectives by means of what is at least informally a ‘party organisation’ would also further its perceived political interests seems apparent. It is a policy which has generated strong resentment from other sectors of civil society (and elsewhere). The majority of NGOs in Ethiopia share a deep suspicion of, and antagonism towards the ‘strengths and advantages’ seen to be enjoyed by these GONGOs as a result of their EPRDF links. Such feelings are commonly and vigorously articulated and militate against the development of a unified voice or platform amongst NGOs in Addis Ababa. More recent criticism has also focussed on the activities and impact of the work of these organisations, with recent debate even within the party considering that a more plural and devolved approach to rural development might have been more successful.

Welfarist and traditional NNGOs

There are more NNGOs active in the field of child care and family welfare than in any other sector. The author of a report for PACT (1995) distinguished between CBOs (amongst which he included most of the GONGOs, church affiliated organisations, and some ‘spontaneous groups’); Ethiopian development NGOs (‘mid-level indigenous organisations formed by well-meaning Ethiopians to directly help other people whom they have defined as needy with a variety of development programmes to change their lives for the better. They range from top-down service delivery kinds of organisations to participatory catalyst kinds of organisation who see their function as motivating and encouraging CBOs’); and indigenous welfare organisations (‘who see their role as institutional charity to ameliorate present problems [and] may have had a history of or may still be involved in relief work’).

The main strengths of Ethiopian NNGOs lie in ‘relief, rehabilitation and traditional charity’ (Clarke & Campbell 1996), which has resulted in a relatively conservative overall approach. This problem has been compounded by relatively low levels of overall staff training, in terms both of technical capacity,
and developmental philosophies. Experience of involvement in relief and rehabilitation work over several decades has marked out the church associated development organisations as relatively effective and efficient. Where church affiliated development bodies are successful – as frequently – they work by means of a closely collaborative approach, involving local authorities and communities, and attempting to build links, which integrate their projects’ activities with the needs of the wider population. A range of other indigenous NGOs has been able to supplement governmental services to urban and rural populations, including in specialised sectors not reached by the state; and many have a considerable capacity and potential for fundraising within Ethiopia. During a period of tightening of government control of NGOs following the establishment of the FDRE in late 1995, a high proportion of Islamic Welfare Organisations were seized upon as constituting little more than formal fronts for projects which had either not materialized or not met expected potential. The renewal of government caution towards this sector since September 2001 builds on a pre-existing concern about the scope of regional networks for radical Islam.

Community-based organisations

In Ethiopia (as elsewhere) the search goes on for the utopian ‘autonomous’ CBOs with whom partnerships can be forged ‘in the interests of genuine social transformation at the grassroots’. There are a number of relatively new NGO actors, which can be said to have grown out of social and cultural connections within the communities in which they now work, independently of state or party association. Examples might include such organisations as, for instance, Hundee in western Oromia, Pastoralist Welfare and Development Associations in Afar and Ogaden, etc.. Some INGOs have experimented with the use and development of traditional social structures (the church diocesan administrative unit got, the savings and credit associations iddir and equb) as vehicles for socio-economic development, with considerable success but on a very limited scale. The Service Co-operatives and Peasant Associations (kebeles) institutionalised during the Dergue period are discussed in Section D of the report considering power at the village and community levels. Opinions differ as to their impact upon pre-existing forms of social organisation.

Sections of the development literature on Ethiopia have referred to kebeles (and their counterparts in Tigray, baitos) as CBOs. During the 1980s, those INGOs funding projects in non-government held areas of the country (primarily through REST and ORA) conceived their support as directed at local communities co-ordinated, in Tigray, through ‘democratically elected village councils’ – the baitos. These structures were understood to administer all aspects of community life (from security and judicial matters, through economic development and environmental protection, to social improvement in the aras of community health and education) and to operate in a highly participatory manner through an inclusive form of ‘direct democracy’ based upon regular community meetings to draw up, modify, ratify, and implement local project planning.

The baito system was thought to provide an astonishing vehicle for development success in one of the most resource insecure areas of the world. It was seized upon by international donors as an admirable and replicable development model. What was perhaps inadequately confronted in this analysis was the fact that these structures did not materialize spontaneously from Tigray’s rural communities. They were the product of explicit and exhaustive social and political mobilisation by the TPLF, in a process which provided the pilot for the subsequent campaign by which EPRDF sought to widen its sphere of influence throughout southern Ethiopia throughout the transitional period. As is discussed elsewhere in this report, the political mobilization activities of the EPRDF have been aimed at the development of community-based structures which fed directly into the administrative, developmental, security, and control systems of local government and party. They were not directed at the encouragement of independent associational life at local level, as is commonly understood in connection with CBOs.

---

239 The Ethiopian Orthodox Church, Ethiopian Catholic Secretariat, and Evangelical Ethiopian Church Mekane Yesus all have substantive relief and development bodies. In the last few years, these ‘indigenous’ churches have faced intensive ‘competition’ from large influxes of foreign capital injected most notably into the various evangelical denominations. Consequent disquiet regarding the perceived threat to traditional spiritual allegiance presented by the rapid material expansion of these competitors, is considerable. Where church affiliated development bodies are successful – as frequently – they work by means of a closely collaborative approach, involving local authorities and communities, and attempting to build links which integrate their projects’ activities with the needs of the wider population.

240 The innovative, small-scale, and long-term activities of Farm Africa and SOS Sahel in these areas are of wide interest and potential application.
Advocacy, lobbying, research and consultancy outfits

These are areas in which NGO activity barely existed in Ethiopia prior to 1991. A small range of vigorous organisations is now active in the areas of advocacy and lobbying, civic and other education and training, and professional membership interests. Donors have prioritised this group of young actors as instrumental in the furtherance of ‘democracy and good governance’. This emphasis is reflected in a funding scenario in which generous resources have been chasing a limited number of organisations with similarly limited absorptive capacity.

The Ethiopian Human Rights Council EHRCO has now worked for 7 years in the field of researching, documenting and publicising human rights abuses, and conducting public debates on democracy and human rights. It has adopted a robust and confrontational stance vis-à-vis the government, which has on more than one instance responded with heavy-handed ill temper241, accusing the organisation of ‘operating like a political party’242. Despite a degree of harassment, the organisation has recently obtained the renewal of its operating registration. Whilst a number of other human rights monitoring organisations have briefly operated and faded (most recently based out of the Law Faculty at AAU)243 this organisation continues to expand the boundaries of the degree and style of criticism which the government can be pushed to tolerate.

An organisation recently briefly threatened with deregistration244 is the vigorous and high profile Ethiopian Women Lawyers’ Association (EWLA). This body has lobbied for the introduction of a new family code and (not entirely successfully) to influence the provisions of the draft. It also provides effective legal help to individual women, taking up crusading cases designed to illuminate the shortcomings of a court system, which often fails to take proper account of the modern legal instruments, which have changed the legal position and status of women. Other organisations committed to the provision of legal advice and protection include the dynamic lawyer group APAP, and the pro-government Anti-Red Terror Committees established to defend the rights of alleged victims of the previous regime. Other significant membership bodies include the National and Addis Ababa Chambers of Commerce, the Women Entrepreneurs’ Association, and the Ethiopian Economics Association (on which more below).

NGOs dealing with civic education and information-sharing include DKT-Social Marketing (focusing on AIDS/HIV), AD-NET (an ad hoc group of indigenous NGOs all with interests in the sphere of voter and electoral education and regulation; following successes in the mid-1990s, the group failed to consolidate a useful role during the 2000 and 2001 elections); Waag Communications and Press Digest (media information, translation and training services); AIDWO and Abugida (civic education). InterAfrica Group works on information dissemination, and dialogue, research projects and NGO networking, operating at an elevated political level.

Perhaps the most exciting new developments in the voluntary sector are the research-focussed institutions which have emerged in the last three or four years from the cross-over between academic, policy-related, and NGO activity. Primary actors in this sphere are the Forum for Social Studies (led by Dessalegn Rahmato), and the Ethiopian Economics Association (and Institute) (led by Berhanu Nega), which have emerged respectively from the Institute of Development Research, and Economics Department of AAU. Whilst EEA has cultivated a more combative tone, both organisations have engaged in independent and critical research on core issues of socio-economic development245. Both have been major contributors to (and beneficiaries of) the recent PRSP consultation exercise.

241 Its flamboyant chairman, Professor Mesfin Wolde Mariam, along with Chairman of the Ethiopian Economics Association Dr Berhanu Nega, was arrested in 2001 following public debates at AAU which the government (implausibly) claimed had inflamed subsequent disturbances. The resultant court case is pending.

242 Concerns have been raised within the donor community regarding the accuracy of EHRCO reporting.

243 A Human Rights Centre is reported to have been established recently at the Ethiopian Civil Service College.

244 The decision to suspend the organisation for ‘operating outside its mandate’ was overturned upon the replacement of the Minister of Justice in the latest reshuffle of the Federal cabinet.

245 EEA, for instance, is currently engaged in the analysis of data from a survey of 5,000 households regarding land tenure arrangements.
Networking

A culture of collaboration and co-operation has never been the hallmark of the voluntary sector in Ethiopia. The Christian Relief and Development Association (CRDA) was established around the same time as the governmental RRC, and fulfilled a vital role in the co-ordination of NGO relief efforts (and their protection from hostile government interference) during the mid-1970s and throughout the 1980s. In the early 1990s it was suggested that it should take on the role of 'co-ordinating government lobbyist'. A number of factors have curtailed the potential for this to happen. CRDA's membership is disparate in terms of profile and interests, and it seems unclear that a unified position on many issues of concern to the voluntary sector could be elaborated. The failure of the alternative Consortium of Ethiopian Voluntary Organisations (CEVO) within two years of its establishment in 1992 points to the difficulties of civil society co-ordination and collaboration, even within the capital. Perhaps the most important benefit to accrue from the recent process of public consultation on the PRSP has been its value as an exercise in experience sharing and capacity building for the voluntary sector at the national level. It certainly represents the first opportunity that many have had to engage in (what was formally) a dialogue with the government on matters of socio-economic development policy. It is to be hoped that regular repetition of the process will consolidate these advantages.

Religious institutions

EPRDF rule in Ethiopia has been marked by a resurgence of religious affiliation and activity (as discussed above).

Islam in Ethiopia has gained in status and recognition under EPRDF, with new working hours in the public sector facilitating Friday mosque attendance, and recognising Islamic as well as Christian holidays. The federal status accorded to Harar NRS, together with the visible inclusion of muslim communities in government contrasts strikingly with the situation under Haile Selassie I where it was 'easier for a camel to pass through the eye of a needle than for a non-Amharic speaking Muslim to [gain access to state position]' (Markakis 1974).

At the outset of the transitional period, the incumbent patriarch of the EOC was removed by the incoming government, and in a controversial move, replaced. The new patriarch, however, has shown himself to be a shrewd political operator, doing much to build the profile and resources of the church both domestically and on the international plane.

In the last few years, Ethiopia's older established and 'indigenous' churches have faced intensive 'competition' from a large influx of foreign capital injected (most notably) into the various evangelical denominations, which have seen an exponential expansion of membership. Consequent disquiet regarding the perceived threat to traditional spiritual allegiance presented by the rapid material expansion of these competitors, is considerable, particularly in areas of the south and of Oromia which have seen mass conversions to the new churches on a large scale. There are reports that the alarm of the older religions, confronted with these dramatic developments, has been such as to bring collaboration between local EOC and Islamic bodies to keep out the newcomers.

Press

From 1974 until 1991, all media of communication in Ethiopia were state owned. A legislative framework to provide for the 'freedom of the press' was introduced by the EPRDF soon after it came to power, and a private press in the form of weekly and monthly newspapers and magazines mushroomed overnight. Ironically, these publications were almost unanimously hostile to the new regime, and all too eager to find fault. A climate of mutual hostility resulted. Inexperience, political passion, and a culture of political exclusion led to exaggeration and misinformation, and give the government reason to crack

---

246 It is to be noted that the MoJ has recently emerged as reluctant to countenance 'networking activities' within the remit of NGOs. This has presented a particular problem in relation to election monitoring and civic education activities in 2000 and 2001. (interview, donor democracy and governance officer, June 2002)

247 see discussion above

248 A year ago the Oromia NRS government accorded EEAMY the same 'state recognised' status as the EOC and Islam. The Ethiopian Catholic Secretariat is also long established and 'indigenised'.
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down with fines, the imprisonment of editors, and closure of newspapers, on the all too recognisable charges of ‘dissemination of false information, ‘inciting racial hatred’ or ‘damaging the national interest’. From a peak of 128 publications registered in 1994, there are now fewer than several dozen, a reduction which at least in part must also reflect a level which the market can realistically support.

Those publications which have survived do, within certain limits, criticise the government. The space for such criticism has been seen to widen following the outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean war. Provided only (as all did) that they gave full support to the government’s prosecution of the war, the private press has enjoyed unprecedented freedom to attack both government and ruling party on a range of other issues. Although these papers were, for much of the 1990s, distributed only in the capital, hardly reaching the major towns where they were often selectively suppressed by the authorities, their circulation in regional towns now seems to be increasing. There are clear indications that this circulation has a significant impact upon the ability of the small-town intelligentsia to follow, and become engaged in, opposition politics249. However, the lines of press freedom are not clearly drawn in practice. Many complain that the Press Law, which is now in place, includes clauses allowing imprisonment of journalists for ‘loosely defined and arbitrarily applicable crimes’ (including, for instance, that of spreading false accusations against the government). The Reporter newspaper, for instance, is currently the subject of a variety of legal proceedings for a range of alleged transgressions, large and small. At best, such cases drain the financial and human resources of the private press, as presumably they are intended to do. At worst they result in imprisonment and bankruptcy. As a result, independent journalists live under a constant pressure, not a good climate for modern journalism and a democratic press, nor for a public opinion holding politicians (and newspaper editors) accountable.

---

249 Interviews, SNNPNRS and Amhara NRS, June/July 2002
H) The participation of the poor

Ethiopia, in common with most other countries, faces a serious challenge in order to incorporate broader shares of its population into decision-making processes. The current Ethiopian context can be considered on two levels. At a general level, we might say that the new ethnic federal system has radically improved the possibility for people to express their local ethnic identity and to feel ‘at home’ within the newly defined ethnic administrative constituencies. This new system has also opened up the possibility for all Ethiopians to be recruited into the administrative system, since Amharic language and education is no longer a prerequisite for official employment\(^{250}\). Since the local state, zone, and woreda officials and bureaucrats are predominantly from the area in which they reside and work, most Ethiopians no longer have to speak Amharic to engage in local affairs, which, for many, is a great relief, and transformative of their access to the state.

On the other hand, if we look closer at a more individual level, the current situation has perhaps not changed that much for the absolute majority of the population. It can be argued that a new local elite has emerged which has taken over the positions and benefits previously held by the Amhara (or Amharicised) ruling class.\(^{251}\) However, with an apparent decline in economic indicators and persisting conflict, it is arguable that some pastoralists and peasants, especially women and those from the peripheries may not have gained that much in practice. The ‘broad masses’ are still barely involved in influencing and participating in the political process, whether at the local, regional or national level.

Among this large sector of relatively disempowered people, there are groups who are yet more marginalised. These are in particular women and certain minority groups. There may also be ways in which the ethnic federal system itself actually exacerbates obstacles to participation for individuals with specific ethnic backgrounds\(^{252}\).

Women

The roles and relations of women in Ethiopia are predominantly bound by tradition, although there is great variation across the various ethnic and socio-economic groups. Still, to a large degree, marriage and motherhood determine women’s relationship to work, property and other matters of public importance, and define their status as political beings in society (see Pankhurst (1992) and Berhane-Selassie (1991)). Women’s lives are embedded in their social, economic and religious contexts, so that many of the factors that disadvantage women are problems of poverty and underdevelopment, shared by their communities and the country as a whole. The high level of poverty across Ethiopian communities bears particularly hard on Ethiopian women, resulting in a huge burden of domestic chores. In particular the three tasks of grinding grain, preparing food, and fetching water and fuel-wood are extremely time-consuming and physically demanding. Fetching water and wood usually entails hours of walking daily. In this context, women’s problems can no longer be dismissed as part of the socio-economic context only. Poverty-related problems are also compounded by socio-cultural factors and customary norms which consign women to a very low socio-political status in relation to men. This ‘double oppression,’ explains Hammond, is the hardest to eradicate because it has become entrenched as part of ‘culture’ and even internalised as a sense of inferiority by the women themselves.\(^ {253}\)

Although the TPLF/EPRDF’s war of resistance against the Dergue also had as an objective to enhance women’s rights in Tigray and elsewhere, this gender struggle had little concrete impact on the lives of women in Ethiopia at large. Since coming to power the EPRDF government developed a national policy on women in Ethiopia in 1993 that aimed to create appropriate structures within government offices and institutions to establish equitable and gender-sensitive public policies. In the new

\(^{250}\) compare this with the situation under the imperial regime where it was ‘easier for a non-Amharic speaking, Ethiopian Muslim to pass through the eye of a needle than to gain access to government employ’ (Markakis 1974)

\(^{251}\) The analysis and identification of the Ethiopian ruling elite, both historically and in modern times, warrants a separate study. However, one should be aware that although many speak of the ‘Amhara’ as the historical ruling class in Ethiopia, this is a simplification which requires qualification. A distinction needs to be made between the educated elite of Amharic-speaking Orthodox Christians (and warriors in historical times) who intermarried irrespectively of ethnic affiliation; and the people who speak Amharic as their mother tongue, the majority of whom are poor peasants.

\(^{252}\) The extent to which the introduction of ethnic federalism may have ‘ethnified’ politics in Ethiopia, and its involvement in inflaming ethnic conflict is a matter on which the authors of this report have somewhat differing views. A detailed analysis perhaps lies outside the scope of this paper. The discussion below builds on Tronvoll (2000). Cf. also Freeman & Pankhurst (2001)

constitution of 1995, the Ethiopian government renewed this commitment to gender policy. Article 25 states that all persons are equal before the law, irrespective, inter alia, of their sex. Moreover, article 35 in the Constitution elaborates on the rights of women, granting them equal rights as men across the board, including rights to land and property. The Constitution spells out the idea that “the purpose of such measures shall be to provide special attention to women so as to enable them to compete and participate on the basis of equality with men in political, social and economic life as well as in public and private institutions.”

A Women’s Affairs Office with the rank of minister without portfolio within the office of the Prime Minister was also established to follow up the EPRDF government’s policy on women. The national policy acknowledges that “the discriminatory political, economic and social rules and regulations prevailing in Ethiopia have barred women from enjoying the fruits of their labour”. The first priorities of the government are thus: to improve the level of income of women by facilitating opportunities and women-friendly conditions in the workplace; to improve the health and nutrition of mothers and their children; and to upgrade and improve their education.

The national policy has three overall objectives:

- to facilitate conditions conducive to the speeding up of equality between men and women so that women can participate in the political, social, and economic life of their country on equal terms with men, ensuring that their right to own property as well as their other human rights are respected and that they are not excluded from the enjoyment of the fruits of their labour or from performing public functions and being decision makers;

- to facilitate the necessary condition whereby rural women can have access to basic social services and to ways and means of lightening their workload;

- to eliminate, step by step, prejudices as well as customary and other practices, that are based on the idea of male supremacy and to enable women to hold public office and to participate in the decision making process at all levels.

A World Bank initiated study conducted in 1998 to follow up on the implementation of the National Policy on Women in Ethiopia, in co-operation with the Women’s Affairs Office in the Ethiopian government, identifies several shortcomings and weaknesses in the policy and its implementation. Firstly, it suggests that the new ethnic federal system of governance in Ethiopia is in itself an obstacle to an effective implementation of the women’s policy. The local administrative units do not have the capacity to undertake the policy, whilst the central government lacks knowledge about the constraints and issues at the regional levels. The main findings of the study are that interventions for women largely consist of ad hoc and unconnected self-standing projects, which, if continued, will remain gender neutral and ineffective in delivering benefits to women. In addition to the lack of capacity at the regional and sub-regional levels, the report shows that the development plans for women were not demand-driven and therefore failed to recognise substantial variation across regions. This resulted in women being ‘disconnected’ from all development interventions.

Work for women’s rights in Ethiopia face cultural, legal and institutional issues which operate as obstacles to the effective enhancement of gender equality. The Women in Development Report by the World Bank/Ethiopian Government identifies several cultural/legal issues working against the National Policy on Women:

- The use of patriarchal customary laws constrained women’s access to resources.
- The legal framework prohibited poor women from entering informal labour markets.
- Prevailing traditional gender biases exist against women in the allocation of land.
- Women’s access to resources is limited due to biases resulting from the family arbitration system.
- Women have no access to legal aid and are severely constrained in seeking remedies from civil courts.

254 See Annex for a full citation of Article 35 “Rights of Women” in the Constitution.
• Lack of women’s grassroots organisations prevents their participation in development activities.

Moreover, the report identifies several political and institutional factors as impediments to the implementation of the women’s policy.

• The responsibilities and roles of the Women’s Affairs Office are unclear and inadequate.
• Regional governments lack the requisite institutional capacity to successfully implement development policies.
• Women’ Offices are dependent on donors or NGOs due to the lack of a capital budget.
• There are constraints on the preparation and implementation of appropriate development plans.
• Lack of regional gender-desegregated data limits the formulation of gender-sensitive development interventions and responses.
• There is an absence of women’s groups because of cultural taboos.
• There is weak collaboration with non-governmental or private entities.

Evidently the EPRDF and the government’s policy on women has failed to produce the desired results, and promises made during the struggle against the Dergue also to liberate the women of Ethiopia from suppression have not been fulfilled. Women in Ethiopia are still a heavily disadvantaged group, in terms of social, cultural and structural discrimination.

Indications of this are, for instance, the adult literacy rate which shows that only 29 percent of women are literate, compared to 41 percent of men.256 Still, only one political party (EDP) includes affirmative action in its political programme to enhance female representation in the educational sector257. And moreover, in the records of formal employment at all levels in government, women occupy only nine percent of the jobs.258 In the Cabinet, we only find one woman heading a line ministry (Education), and in the new parliament elected in 2000, there are 42 women (in the HPR) out of a total of 547 seats, a percentage of 7.7. The low percentage of representation of women in parliament is also reflected in the low interest of the different political parties towards women/gender politics.

A recent study conducted prior to the 2000 elections of the seven biggest parties259 in the country reveals that none of the parties mention the gender imbalance in the country in their political statements.260 Even the EPRDF’s five-year development plan, on which they campaigned on in the election, does not mention the word ‘women’, or the work of the Women’s Affairs Office at all within its 47 pages, according to this study. Ethiopian political and public life is overwhelmingly dominated by men.261 This marginalisation of women is still being reproduced, since girls are not given equal chance to access formal education by their parents. In primary education enrolment in the multi-minority SNNPNRS, for instance, 63 percent of the boys are enrolled, in contrast to only 31 percent of the girls,262 although some improvement is recorded recently.263 The girls are generally kept at home in order to assist in the practical chores of the household, fetching water and firewood.

More recent studies of women suggest that they may be affected negatively by the new ethnic federal system introduced in Ethiopia. The upsurge of ethnic consciousness after the introduction of the federal system may lead to the revival of certain traditional practices that discriminate against women, since these practices are seen as ethnic boundary markers by political or ethnic ‘entrepreneurs’. Therefore, even though the Ethiopian federal state discourages such practices, the regional states or ethnic groups

259 EDP, EDU, ONG, SEPDC, EPRDF, CAFPDE and AAPO.
260 See study conducted by Dr Konjit Fekade: “Existing Political Parties in Ethiopia”, presented on Gender Forum: Women and Politics, Ghion Hotel, Addis Ababa, 20 April 2000.
261 See also (Poluha 2002).
263 See article on waltainfo.com, 2 May 2002: “Number of Girl Students in Hadiya Zone on the Rise”, indicating a seven percent increase in girls student enrolment in junior schools in 2002 compared to 2001.
may tacitly approve or allow them to be carried out. Tsehai Berhane-Selassie notes that among the particularly harmful practices which have re-appeared are the abduction or kidnapping of brides and the reinstatement of forced marriage. Other studies suggest that female circumcision, or female genital mutilation (FGM), which is widely practised in Ethiopia, is also often employed as an ethnic boundary marker.

A recent study conducted on harmful traditional practices in Ethiopia estimates that about 73% of the female population have undergone one form or other of FGM, another 90%, and that the majority of ethnic groups inflict variations on this custom upon their female members. The child’s age at the time of operation varies according to the type of operation and the ethnic group, but generally the operation is performed before the girls reach puberty. Among the Amhara, the excision generally takes place on the seventh day after birth, while among other groups the operation is commonly undertaken between the age of four and 8-10 years. There are reports, however, that the operations may also be carried out in adolescence or even at the time of marriage. Traditionally, the Afar and Somali practice infibulation, while the highland groups undertake clitoridectomy, and labial piercing. There is also a distinction based on social class, and a recent study indicates that female circumcision is more common in poor sections of Addis Ababa than in wealthier residential areas (Rye 2002).

The EPRDF government seems to have resigned in the fight against FGM, and leaves the initiative to local and international NGOs and organisations. The National Committee on Traditional Practices (the Ethiopian wing of Inter Africa Committee), UNICEF and UNFPA are the main actors in the combat against FGM. However, other traditional practices are considered just as important to combat as FGM, as for instance child marriage. FGM is not explicitly prohibited in the Ethiopian penal code, as child marriage is. Although it is prohibited to marry under the age of 15, nevertheless it remains a widespread practice in many regions that girls are married from the age of eight or nine years old.

Since the fall of the Dergue, a number of women/gender-focused NGOs have been established in Ethiopia. A recent study of these organisations shows that 80 percent of them were involved in some kind of micro-enterprise, micro-lending or income generating work for their beneficiaries. Moreover, the study reveals a number of observed weaknesses with these NGOs, notably: donor dependency; absence of networking among themselves; insufficient access to media; urban concentration or operational areas; lack of organisational and management efficiency; and absence of effort to work for political participation of women.

During the TPLF struggle in Tigray in the 1980s, there were two rights which became almost iconic for women in their struggle for equality with men. One was the right for women to participate in the struggle on an equal footing with men, in other words to be fighters in frontline positions. The other was the right to plough the land. After the EPRDF came to power, however, paradoxically, the two icons of women’s equality – as fighters and ploughers – have vanished. In the recent war with Eritrea (1998-2000), Ethiopian women were prohibited from assuming fighting positions, and women were not recruited into the armed forces. Former TPLF women fighters who wanted to join their old comrades were denied this right. Female volunteers were only allowed to serve in administrative, logistical and medical positions. Many reasons were given to explain what many Tigrayan women saw as a ‘set-back’ in gender equality, including the claim that international conventions prohibited the use of women in

265 The first figure is from a survey conducted by the Inter African Committee. The statistics are weak on this issue. According to a study from 1995 by the National Committee on Traditional Practice in Ethiopia, about 90% of Ethiopian women are believed to undergo one of the three forms of mutilation (clitoridectomy, excision and infibulation).
266 See the study by Rye, S., *Men, women and female circumcision in a poor community in Addis Ababa*, Ethiopia, PhD dissertation, Department of Social Anthropology, University of Oslo, Oslo, 2002.
267 With the possible exception of ETV which continues to broadcast shocking details of campaigns run by NGOs, including notably Menschen fur Menschen.
268 There were 19 women/gender NGOs registered with the Minister of Justice in 1999. Among the most visible are: Women AID Ethiopia (WAE), Ethiopian Women Entrepreneurs Association (EWEA), Ethiopian Women Lawyers Association (EWLA), Women Industrialist Association (WIA), Women Empowerment and Assistance Forum (WEAF), Women in Development (WID), and Women in Self-Employment (WISE).
269 “Women-focused NGOs in Ethiopia”, by Meron Genene, InterAfrica Group, Addis Ababa.
270 Although it should be noted that already in 1988 the policy of recruitment of women fighters into the TPLF had been suspended, on grounds of ‘efficiency’
271 Although it must be observed that, despite the colourful rhetoric and powerful imagery, women were never widely involved in ploughing which remained very much a male preserve.
armed forces (sic).272 It is certainly the case that one no longer sees any Tigrayan women, let alone other Ethiopian women, ploughing their fields.273

Since the EPRDF’s political position has changed from that of resistance army to formal government, so also apparently have its political priorities concerning the needs of and for women in the ‘revolution’. It is no longer necessary to emphasise a transformative ideology of equality in order to recruit members of both sexes to the resistance army; nor one of social revolution and access to equal resources to mobilise against an authoritarian regime. The EPRDF control the state, its armed forces and it political programme and developmental policies and strategies. There is no longer much room in its ideologies, tactics, or strategies for gender equality; rhetoric must suffice274. As a footnote, it is perhaps worth observing that these changes are not as surprising or radical as might have seemed to be the case from the earlier rhetoric. The TPLF, in common with many Marxist organisations, viewed the organisation and empowerment of women as a tactical means to a more important end – that of enhancing the class struggle275. Women’s emancipation and empowerment was never seen as a legitimate goal in its own right – a view which continues to colour the perspectives of the majority of Ethiopia’s political class, with its predominantly Marxist background, be they now EPRDF or opposition politicians.

**Minorities**

Minorities here means marginalised and despised groups within ethnic groups. In other words, ethnic groups as such should no longer be regarded as minorities, in the socio-political senses of the term, given the current constitutional arrangements. Throughout Ethiopia one finds minority groups, traditionally called ‘hunter groups’ or ‘occupational casts’ (like smiths, potters, and tanners). The minority groups are usually identified as endogamous groups of hereditary occupational specialists within the Ethiopian Cushitic and Semitic-speaking areas. They are partly assimilated by the dominant group in the area in which they live and whose language they speak. Traditionally, these groups had a serf-status among the dominant people, they could be purchased and sold, had few political rights in the local community, no land rights, and could not participate in public meetings. Since they are considered as impure and thus polluting in a cultural sense, there are restrictions on social interaction between members from the minority group and the dominant group. For instance, still today, you will hardly see people from the dominant group share a meal together with minority representatives. The minorities are found in all regions, as for instance, the *Watta* among the Oromo, the *Weyto* among the Amhara, the *Fuga* among the Gurage, the *Manjo* among the Kaffa, the *Kivegu* among the Mursi/Bodi, the *Hadicho* among the Sidama, and the *Mijn* and *Yibir* among the Somali.276

These minorities are still considered to be the most stigmatised and discriminated groups in Ethiopia, and are found at the bottom of the social and economic strata of their society. Still, many of them do not have land-rights or dwelling rights, and they can be expelled at any time from their living areas (Abebe 2001). In a recent study made on twelve marginalised minority groups in south-western Ethiopia, the findings show that based on perceptions of ranking shared by farmers and the marginal group themselves, the ‘hunting’ groups rank lowest. This results in, _inter alia_, that there are more or less no intermarriage between these groups and the dominant communities, and they are generally excluded from the socio-political arena of the local community (Pankhurst 1999).

The objectives of the new ethnic federal system introduced by the EPRDF, were also partly to enhance the rights of minorities and marginalised and suppressed ethnic groups. Although some of the minorities have been recognised as distinct groups in the formal population census, in some instances at the local level the minorities have been even more marginalised than before due to the ethnic federal system. Since ethno-politics in practice is defined along language groups in Ethiopia, the minorities, who speak the language of the dominant group, are subsumed under the political representation of their ‘hosting’ community. Consequently, since the dominant hosting group consider the minority representatives as polluting and impure, they are not included in the political representative system, or

---

272 Interview with Dr Solomon Inquay, TPLF, Mekelle, June 1999.
273 During 1998-2000 Kjetil Tronvoll conducted research in Tigray, travelling also the rural areas. Not one observation was made of women ploughing.
274 At a gender seminar conducted in June 2002, the Women’s Affairs Head at the PMO once again ‘calls for a scrupulous implementation of the women’s policy’, without taking any self-criticism of the lack of implementation to date, or signalling any new strategies actually to implement the strategy. (waltainfo.com 2 July 2002).
276 On these minorities, see Freeman & Pankhurst (2001), Levine (1974), Pankhurst (1999), and Tibetu (1995).
their voices are not heard in the local administrative set-up.277 Only some few of these groups, as the Hadicho among the Sidama, has managed to politically organise themselves, in order to run in local elections or to be represented in the local administrative structure (Solberg 2000). Since the state, seemingly, accepts only (or primarily) language as means of recognising difference, the marginalisation of these groups are sustained under the new ethnic federal system. Thus, for instance, repeated petitions by the Manja community in Kaffa zone for separate and constitutionally secured representation at zonal level, have fallen on deaf ears. Manja, who live mixed amongst other ethnic communities are never likely to be in a position to secure elected representation by means of the current ‘first past the post’ system. This frustration has spilled over into unrest and conflict in the zone in recent months. It is vital that any kind of development strategy should target these marginalised minorities.

In addition to the problems of minorities which are found across Ethiopia in all its regional states, there is an added problem of peripheral ethnic groups. The four regional states of Afar, Somali, Benishangul-Gumuz and Gambella can to some extent be considered peripheral and marginalised in terms of political influence and visibility, economic resources, physical infrastructure, and social, health and educational facilities. The marginalisation of these four regions, due to both geographical and politico-historical reasons, albeit greatly reduced continues also today, despite the commitment represented by the ethnic federal arrangement to reverse this situation. For instance, in the whole of Afar NRS, there are only three secondary schools. Only one percent of Ethiopian Afars ever finish primary school, and only about five percent have access to health care facilities. A 2001 WHO report concludes that in almost every area of health, the Afar were well below the national average: no health outreach service has been offered for three years because of financial constraints and lack of transport, and immunisation against disease was almost nil.278 Compounding the problems of Afar people is their pastoral-nomadic lifestyle. Since highland Ethiopia is sedentary, and the country’s political elite throughout history has been recruited from this tradition of production, the pastoral-nomadic societies of Ethiopia have continued to be marginalised. Moreover, the Pastoralist Communications Initiative, a new NGO in Ethiopia, also identifies the new ethnic federal system as itself placing an added burden on the Afar people, since there is only exceptionally limited regional/local capacity to tackle the enormous problems facing them.279 Similar problems are also faced in Somali, Beninshangul-Gumuz and Gabella regional states.

‘Ethnic’ conflicts280

Ethiopia was first described by Enrico Cerulli as ‘a museum of peoples’, and the coincidence of class and ethnic fissures has long provided the primary nexus of conflict over the Ethiopian state. To this extent, therefore, EPRDF did not introduce ethnicity into Ethiopian politics by means of the federal system, but merely recognised and sought to confront its role as a primary pre-existing focus of conflict. Politicised ethnicity arguably constituted the most important form of the the conflict which had ripped apart the Ethiopian state for over 30 years by 1991. On the other hand, there has been a long process of inter-ethnic integration in Ethiopia, such that today a significant proportion of the Ethiopian people have a mixed ethnic background.281 This population complicates the physical demarcation of geographical borders between the various ethnic groups’ ‘homelands’, as does the mixing effect of considerable migration and population movement in many parts of the country. As a result, the ethnic federal constitution which has sought to neutralise the discrimination historically associated with ethnicity, but which also makes ethnic identity the most relevant identity in any social, political or economic interaction, is regarded by some as a dangerous strait-jacket. It is likely that future urbanisation, industrialisation, growth of the free market economy, and democratisation, as well as increased population mobility, will encourage inter-ethnic integration to continue at an even greater pace. Opponents of the ethnic policy thus argue that cementing ethnicity – even temporarily as the salient political identity-marker, will have a negative effect on the political, economic and social development of the country.

277 See the study of the Weyto in Amhara regional state by Zerihun Abebe (2001) as an example of this.
278 See “Ethiopia: Focus on the Afar people”, IRIN news, 24 May 2002 (http://www.irinnews.org)
280 It should be noted that the limited space devoted to this issue in this report does not reflect the seminal importance of political ethnicity in historical and contemporary Ethiopia, a topic which merits a separate study in its own right.
281 This section builds on Tronvoll (2000).
In its policies EPRDF has chosen to concentrate on the positive elements of ethnicity, providing a group of people that shares language and cultural values with a sense of common identity. But others argue that ethnicity ‘captured’ within the political structure of the state also holds a conflictual nature, since it is necessarily competing with many other ethnicities for scarce resources, namely political power and material and natural resources. The response, in turn, is that politically managed competition under ethnic federalism is surely preferable to the civil war of the previous 30 years. Concern remains, however, that when the relationships between Ethiopia’s various ethnic groups are defined and formed on the basis of control and domination of a range of political centres (federal, state, and district), if access continues to be experienced as discriminatory, the political system may foster conflictual perceptions of ethnicity with destructive outcomes.

In multi-ethnic regional-states and zones a system of ‘ranked’ ethnic groups [like that under centralised imperial rule which EPRDF claims it was determined to eradicate] might re-emerge to define ethnic positions of superiority and inferiority, domination and subjugation, according to which group is controlling the political centre and thus the political and material resources. If such processes are left unchecked, or indeed are fuelled by the political system itself, conflict may occur which not only sets one group against the other, but which also fragments groups along lines of clan, lineage, or language dialect difference (as, for instance, in the Garaage-Silte, and Simien Omo cases). Such fragmentation might not in itself create problems (other than the potentially enormous cost of establishing smaller and smaller units of administrations), however the potential for fragmentation might be utilised by political forces in a divide-and-rule strategy in order for a repressive authority to sustain its power.

The ethnic federal arrangement has also opened up for a number of latent ‘ethnic’ conflicts throughout Ethiopia. Annexed to this report is a brief description of the recent Gedeo-Guji conflict, as an illustration of this point. Similar conflicts have also emerged between agriculturlists and pastoralists, as for instance between between Sidama and Guji, in the south Omo between Ari and lowland pastoralists, in the east and centre between Afar pastoralists and Oromo and Amhara peasants. Many of these conflicts, which essentially reflect competition over scarce land resources, have been dormant, but the new constitutional order (and urge to draw boundaries based on ethnicity) has offered legitimacy to infuse them with an ethnic dimension.

Conflicts between regional states have also emerged, as between Borana Oromo and the neighbouring Garre Somalis, as well as the current conflict between Afar and Somali Issa. Some of the difficulties in settling such conflicts rest also with the fact that the state borders were initially decided by the federal government, but under the constitution any changes to the borders must be jointly decided by the states concerned. Given the complexity of such circumstances, and the implacable nature of the causes of conflict, it is unsurprising that a local, contextual solution to border issues cannot often materialize from below.

The admirable ‘ethnic’ rights in the Constitution, may also have a less positive edge. The establishment of an ethnic federation with strong collective political rights may not quell centrifugal tendencies, and ethnic mobilization against the political centre as intended. Although the recent war with Eritrea helped to foster a pan-Ethiopian nationalism, the post-war public rhetoric seems again focused on ethnic politics. It is true that a range of new arenas of suppression, hegemony and confrontation have emerged, particularly within the multi-ethnic south. Within multi-ethnic zones and states, locally dominant groups may suppress smaller groups to achieve local or regional hegemony.

---

282 On the theories of ‘ranked’ ethnic groups, see (Horowitz 1985); there is room for considerable discussion as to the relative likelihood of the emergence of ranked groups, or of increased fluidity in ranking pursuant on increased localisation of resource-related competition.

283 Regarding the evolution of Garaage ‘ethnicity’ see Markakis (1998) who argues that it was constructed for external consumption only, in the context of migration to Addis Ababa, and was hence vulnerable to collapse when the dynamics of interest altered with ethnic federalism. North Omo zone has, in the last two years, been divided into three zones and two special weredas (Welaiyta, Daro, and GamoGofa zones, and Basketo and Kouta special weredas) in response primarily to the demands for separate recognition (and budget allocation) from Welaiyta. This division, whilst massively impairing developmental potential in marginal new zones such as Daro, has yet not diffused calls for further sub-division, which persist between Gano and Gofa (interviews, Darota Dojamo, Awassa, June 2002, and in Sawla, July 2002).

284 These urges towards fragmentation in the SNNPRNRS are of considerable concern to EPRDF who would like to see consolidation and integration rather than fragmentation. Recent changes to the administrative structure, and in the system of allocation of wereda block grants (with only a small percentage of regional subsidies reserved to zones, and primarily for prison administration, etc.), may be interpreted as attempts to remove the incentive for groups to seek zonal or special wereda status, by curtailing the authority and resources at the disposal of this level of administration.

285 In both instances, those opposed to Somali groups complain that EPRDF intercession has favoured their antagonists.

286 See also (Poluha 1998), and various of the contributions to James et al (eds) (2002).
Concluding remarks

The EPRDF’s policy on ethnic federalism is no doubt an intriguing and bold attempt to solve some of Ethiopia’s inherited contradictions of ethno-politics. However, a decade of practising this system has also unveiled some new problems and contradictions. Some of these are in particular related to the two contradictory elements of the Marxist approach to ‘nationalities’ and ‘self-determination’, as referred to above. On the one hand is the positive idea that it is possible to mobilise a community more effectively and get it engaged in its own political development if done from the inside, deploying its own members, in its own language, based on its own cultural traditions and knowledge system, etc. This conflicts with the high-handed notion that a ‘vanguard’ elite is (or should be) in a position to grant self-determination to a community, defining the ethnic criteria which constitute the group and demarcating geographical borders around it, from the outside.

The Ethiopian government has recently revised some of the policies on ethnic administration and has decided that certain urban centres are ethnically mixed and thus should not be designated to one ethnic group only. Awassa, the regional capital in Southern Ethiopian regional state, has (as noted above) been selected as one such city, and a series of concept papers are currently under discussion with a view to modifying its status287. Since the Sidama ethnic group, who inhabit the environs of Awassa, consider the city as ‘theirs’ this sparked demonstrations where 15 people were killed in mid-May 2002. This might be an indication of that even the attempt to tone-down the ethnic policy will again lead to confrontations, since people interpret this as a curtailment and infringement of previously established rights288.

In the case of Ethiopia we see confusing and contradictory processes at work within the ethnic landscape: some inspired by ‘rightful’ or ‘exaggerated’ claims by local communities, others imposed from above; some driven by political entrepreneurs for their own advantage, others possibly exacerbated with a ‘divide-and-rule’ potential in mind. As ever, it remains to be seen whether the real issue on the ground, is not that of unequal development and resource distribution, whether or not it is also couched in terms of ‘ethnicity’ in all its varying expressions.

287 Whilst no decision has yet been taken, various options are under consideration, including the establishment of a municipal administrative council with 25-30% representation of the surrounding ethnic community, and led by a major elected from that group – in this case Sidama. Whatever is the outcome, the Sidama zonal government which has, to date, run Awassa, and administered its lease, taxation, and other economic arrangements, and benefited from the considerable influx of resources to the regional capital, stands to lose both in terms of influence and resource control.

288 It is worth observing in this context that almost all such conflicts revolve around urban centres, and the resources which accrue to them.
Conclusions

Promoting democratisation: looking for agents of change

In mid-2002 Ethiopia is potentially beginning to emerge from the calamitous period of the last 4-5 years of developmental constraints arising from the war. The outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean war in May 1998, marked a disastrous setback for a state and government which had, until then, been thought to be making steady – if slow – progress towards economic growth and administrative and political reform. In addition to the devastating impact of the war in terms of human lives lost, injured, and disrupted, the damage done to the economy remains to be quantified. What has further emerged publicly in the year since March 2001, has been the force of the political tremors with which factors associated with the war shook the cohesion and integrity of the ruling party. The dramatic challenge presented by the dissident group within the TPLF in early 2001 has had far reaching implications for the reorganisation and restructuring, ‘renewal’, and remobilisation of both the ruling party, and the structures of the state at every level. These changes have penetrated far beyond the rapid and effective marginalisation and expulsion of the group itself.

It seems likely that the internal political events of 2001-2 mark a greater sea-change in the intentions, objectives, organisation, and methods of the ruling party, perhaps than at any time since the inception of the TPLF in 1975. In this case it is possible that much of what has been described in this paper of the experience of EPRDF government over the last ten years may not apply to the next ten. Whether these changes are for the good or for the bad now remains to be seen, and Ethiopia stands poised to select amongst a spectrum of positive and negative scenarios of the future.

Periods of change are often also periods of uncertainty and – relatedly – instability. It seems clear, as suggested at the outset of this report, that both political and economic confidence have suffered grave setbacks since 2001. The coming period will demonstrate whether the ruling party has been able to reconsolidate the stability and cohesion it seeks, and whether this capacity will be put to serve plural and inclusive, or authoritarian and exclusive ends. The rhetoric of ‘tehadso’ (‘renewal’) marks a series of apparently significant changes in party thinking, many of which have been welcome to the liberal democratic ears of the donor community. It seems to be the case that relations between state and party are undergoing something of a review, and – if they provide increased opportunities for increasingly plural political competition and participation – these changes are indeed to be welcomed.

Indicators of future scenarios

Any number of scenarios of the future political development of Ethiopia can be envisaged under current circumstances. The following details a number of areas where important trends in the political development of Ethiopia may give an indication of its trajectory. Given what has already been stated about the need to base an analysis of power on the operation of knowledge systems throughout the political community in question, and given the paucity of information about the beliefs of the majority in this case, predictions about the future should be treated with great caution. The following notes suggest where one might continue to look for indicative evidence.

The health of the ruling party

Given that this paper has suggested that the EPRDF and its leadership remain, for the foreseeable future, the key ‘agents of change’ within Ethiopia, the confidence, vitality, and commitment to constitutional principles with which they and their organisation operate are factors of primary importance.

Cohesiveness of the leadership

The cohesion of the EPRDF leadership was visibly shattered in early 2001. It was quickly mended in the wake of rapid expulsions. The most serious threat to the stability of the government might be expected to come not from external pressure, but from any further fracturing of its leadership.
Stability of SEPDF and OPDO
In the wake of divisions in early 2001, it has proved difficult to maintain stability in the two southern EPRDF organisations, with multiple sackings, resignations, and defections. If these parties are to gather capacity and momentum for local administration, and in the run up to next elections, it will be important that this haemorrhaging of personnel is stemmed.

EPRDF ‘rank and file’ enthusiasm
It is not yet clear whether the rank and file members, particularly of the two EPRDF founder members are content with the trajectory of recent developments.

Party-state relations
Neither is it clear that the party will continue to play the same influential role as it did throughout the 1990s. On the face of it, relations between the party and the state seem clearer and less ambiguous than has been the case to date, with the former being downgraded vis-à-vis the latter; the implications of this new situation are less evident.

The health and capacity of the state

A strong state
The current Ethiopian leadership has set its face against the neo-liberal ‘Washington consensus’, arguing that a strong state is a prerequisite for economic and developmental success domestically and in the globalised context Ethiopia faces.

Strong and decentralised?
If capacity building at local levels is not quickly effective, it may be that the urge to build a strong state will increasingly mean that decentralisation is limited or reversed, or that it is restricted to deconcentration of responsibility at the expense of devolution of authority.

Focus on the wereda level
The commitment, professionalism, and capacity of administrators and civil servants at local, and particularly wereda levels will be of great interest and concern. Whilst a primary focus of investigation is likely to be that of the technical capacity to manage and disburse the new wereda block grants, equal attention should be paid to the development of the relations of power at this critically influential new locus of resource control.

The future of ethnicity?
The reduction of resources allocated to NRS and zone levels, and the control of budgetary resources primarily by federal and wereda civil servants under new fiscal arrangements suggests a reduction of importance of units designed around the ‘nations, nationalities, and peoples’. This may signal change in the role of political ethnicity in the evolution of federalism.

Policy inclusiveness and dialogue
Promises were made during 2001/2 that the PM and ministers will conduct public dialogue with political and policy opponents. EPRDF had continued a strong Ethiopian tradition of reluctance to take technical advice from ‘outsiders’ or those who disagree with it: there are indications that this strategy may be changing, and these should be monitored.

Accountability
The appointment of an HRC and Ombudsman may become an initiative of great significance. Its capacity, and the manner of its operation at each level will be of importance, and little can be concluded regarding the nature of accountability it may offer, pending its establishment.

Independence of the judiciary
The federal judiciary faces a tough test of its independence in the proceedings brought by the Anti-Corruption Commission against defendants who are also political opponents of the government. Some of these cases seem unlikely to succeed, and the willingness and capacity of the court system to find against the ACC may prove an important indicator and precedent for the future.
More directly relevant to the lives of the majority of Ethiopia’s citizens is the health of the kebele centred ‘social court’ system, and local woreda courts, which have both suffered serious problems of capacity, and of independence.

The importance of the independence of the judiciary in Ethiopia has often been seen in terms of securing human and political rights and democratic freedoms. It goes further than this. It is also a critical matter of local and national economic development in a context in which domestic businessmen and wealthy farmers will remain reluctant to (re)invest without what they consider to be the adequate legal protection of their assets.

**Coercive powers of the state**

The modern Ethiopian state was established by coercion, as a result of a process of conquest under Menelik II. Its subsequent history has been one of tension between centrifugal and centripetal forces, with the ‘integrity’ of the empire state maintained essentially through coercion. Although the departure of Eritrea and the introduction of ethnic federalism at the beginning of the 1990s marked a welcome swing in favour of decentralisation, the point at which coercion can, or is likely to be dispensed with remains far in the future.

*The police, security, and military*

The two changes of the Ethiopian regime, in 1974 and 1991, have been undertaken by the military or with military force. In this context, it is significant that the military seems to have been professionalised over the last decade. Its relations with the executive will continue to be of critical importance.

There are some indications that the police (along with local administrators) is being increasingly held to account for violence and human rights abuses which took place in SNNPNRS during 2002, and the conclusions of related court proceedings will be significant. Other indications contradict this impression, and the problem of impunity of the security forces requires close monitoring. New initiatives for upgrading the training of police in a range of constitutional issues will be important.

*Human rights*

International human rights monitoring organisations recorded a deterioration of the human rights record of Ethiopia with the outbreak of the Ethio-Eritrean war. There is conflicting information regarding the long and medium-term trends in relation to levels of human rights abuses.

**Elections and the electorate**

Capacity building of the local executive has over the last decade built a community of political and civil servants whose loyalty to the party and state of which they form a part is stronger than their sense of commitment to the public in whose service they are appointed, or to the constituents who have elected them. The key to the future political development of Ethiopia in the context of a strong state will be the democratisation of relationships at these key interfaces. Elections per se should not be taken as a measure of Ethiopia’s political health, as much as the relations which the electorate enjoy with the three branches of the state.

**Elections and the opposition**

*Electoral conduct*

On the evidence of previous experience, it is unlikely that competition in the run up to the next elections will be entirely ‘fair’; it may be, however, that recent changes in the ruling party will entail changes in their approach to the conduct of elections.

*Competition for control of woreda block grants?*

A factor which can be expected to increase the likelihood of ‘vigorous competition’ of elections at woreda level is the incentive to win control of block grants now at the disposal of woreda cabinets.

*Range of electoral participation?*

The willingness of those parties registered in Ethiopia to participate in forthcoming federal and woreda elections will be of significance. The possibility that (elements of) organisations currently outside the
legal framework might participate is important, particularly in the case of Oromia (OLF), but also in the cases of Somali and Afar NRSs (ONLF, ARDUF, Uguguma).

**Regional political dynamics**

Demarcation of the border between Ethiopia and Eritrea remains a potential focus for the mobilisation of opposition to the government, particularly in the north of the country.

The ability and willingness of the government of the State of Eritrea to continue to support political opposition may be expected to operate as a multiplying factor in relation to domestic grievances.

**Conflict patterns**

Before 1991, large parts of Ethiopia and Eritrea were engulfed in civil wars which persisted over decades. With the exception of the disastrous Ethio-Eritrean conflict of 1998-2000, conflict has been greatly reduced following the introduction of ethnic federalism. The continued political hostility between Ethiopia and Eritrea, particularly in relation to the demarcation of their mutual border, means that tension between the two countries continues to be high.

Current patterns of domestic conflict have not been such as to challenge the state or the government.

Critics have lumped together conflicts of different kinds and levels as a general indication of government weakness, or repression. Conflict should be disaggregated and analysed in terms of the specifics of each situation. This is particularly true of instances of so-called ‘ethnic conflict’, which often relate to collective struggles for resources.

Whilst a number of the conflicts in the SNNPNRS can be seen to relate to ethnic federalism, in the sense that they seek to extract maximum potential from federal arrangements, those longer-term conflicts in pastoral areas (OLF, ONLF, for instance), or border regions (Benshangul-Gumuz and Gambella) cannot be seen in these terms.

**Economic indicators**

The international community has expressed relative enthusiasm regarding macro-economic reform and development indicators.

**Living standards**

There is less optimism about the capacity of ADLI and other policies to improve the incomes of peasant and pastoral majorities. If poor rains in mid-2002 result in food shortages in 2003, this will place additional pressure on population and government.

**Social norms, culture, and ‘institutions’**

It is worth reiterating, finally, that better policy planning might emerge from the intensification of sustained research into socio-political processes at every level, with an emphasis on informal ‘social institutions’ understood in its widest sense to include the norms, values, customs, concepts, classifications and beliefs of citizens. Whilst the range of indicators listed may give some shorthand means of understanding developments, it provides no substitute for analysis of this kind.

**Improving policy dialogue, understanding, and knowledge**

It would seem to be important that Sida take the opportunity of the ‘renewed’ face that the Ethiopian government presents, to engage explicitly and overtly with the Government of Ethiopia and its ruling party regarding a shared ideational framework, specifically regarding the nature of the relations and structures of power which each would like to see established in Ethiopia. Failure to make explicit and to understand such common ground and differences as may exist, (for instance in relation to the understandings of ‘democracy’) is likely only to store up frustration and future confusion for both parties. The explicit evolution of shared understandings and perspectives seems particularly important.
given a context where increasing Government of Ethiopia and donor moves towards co-operation premised on direct budgetary support, remain embedded in a context of political conditionality, and concerns with human rights, poverty reduction, and democratisation.

Of all of the changes being effected in Ethiopia today, potentially the most important is the restructuring and strengthening of wereda administration, involving the new structures of cabinet, speaker, and parliament/council, as recipients, managers, and monitors of the new wereda block grants. Sida has a long and extensive involvement with the development process at wereda level through its Amhara Development Programme. It would seem appropriate that future programming decisions seek to consolidate, build on, and extend this existing knowledge base, for purposes of political as well as (or as a basis for) developmental analysis. The wereda level, after all, now seems set to become the most important locus of analysis for most of the indicators associated with the democratisation process. Ethiopia’s next round of federal and local elections will take place in 2005. By then it should be becoming evident whether and to what extent the ongoing shifts are to be understood as positive. It is to be hoped that such an evaluation will be increasingly based not only the anticipated lamentation over a litany of human rights and electoral abuses, but also on an understanding of the relations and structures of power which they reflect.

Since its assumption of power in 1991, the EPRDF-led government has shown little willingness to bow to pressure from the international community to modify its vision and activities in the political sphere. It is unlikely that this situation should now be expected to change radically, and it will be important that pressure for accelerated democratisation is realistically and constructively applied. The current context, however, is one in which, in the wake of the war, programmes of funding and loans are being reactivated at unprecedented levels. It is also one in which the ruling party has emerged from its renewal process apparently with a greater commitment to openness, transparency, and pluralism. It may be that it is therefore a context conducive to the escalation of political policy dialogue.

In this respect, there is perhaps a visible need for improvement in co-ordination of the knowledge base of the donor group (perhaps initially amongst EU missions) in relation to key objectives in the political sphere: co-ordinated activities, such as, for instance, information sharing, and the translation of relevant Amharic documents. There is now a wide range of documentation in the public domain (currently of interest are internal party discussion and policy papers; court submissions arising from the corruption cases, and so on). There is also an increasing capacity in Addis Ababa to provide translation and summary services. It would seem to make sense to establish a joint standing fund, able to respond as interesting resources in need of translation emerge. The current reticence of the international community could almost be seen as contributing to a culture of ‘secrecy’.

There is perhaps also a need to think strategically on how to build on the usefulness of PRSP, of which the experience of 2001/2 has been mixed, providing most significantly a useful capacity building exercise for the NGO sector, and a new line of dialogue for all concerned. Its real value, however, will lie in its effective institutionalisation in future rounds, and in particular (again) at the wereda and sub-wereda levels.

An attempt to offer recommendations or prescriptions regarding donor engagement to influence the structures and relations of power as they operate in contemporary Ethiopia lies beyond the scope of this paper. The various suggestions and comments regarding possible areas of increased focus offered here, however, have one objective in common: the improvement of the political analysis – of the knowledge base - on which Sida’s decision-making vis-à-vis Ethiopia is premised. At the outset of this report we observed that the relations and structures of power are inherent in the interactions of the collectivities in question, and that these relations are in turn constituted by the interlocking systems of knowledge operating amongst these communities. Empowerment, thus, depends ultimately on interaction, association, and organisation – on improved systems of collective knowledge. This is true of peasant communities, whose capacity for involvement in democratisation processes (their ‘social power’) fundamentally hinges upon their ‘knowledge’ or ‘genuine conviction’ that (and how) this is a right which is (collectively) theirs for the taking. It is also true of donors, whose power to engage in these processes of democratisation and development, is a function of the knowledge that they have of the relations and structures of power amidst which they wish to act.

---

289 This perhaps contrasts to some extent with the economic sphere where a far more comprehensive and detailed policy dialogue has underpinned the assistance and loans which Ethiopia has obtained.
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Terms of Reference for the Study of Power in Ethiopia

Introduction

The Swedish Government has given Sida, the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency, the task to prepare a proposal for a new Country Strategy for development cooperation with Ethiopia covering the period 2003-2007. In its mandate to Sida regarding the Country Strategy the Swedish Government proposes that “the overriding objective for the Swedish Development Cooperation with Ethiopia during 2003-2007 should be the reduction of poverty and the elimination of obstacles which create or fortify the poverty, especially in rural areas”.

To better understand the multi-dimensional and persistent poverty as well as the possibilities for and obstacles to poverty reduction and development in Ethiopia Sida and the Swedish Embassy in Ethiopia have decided to carry out a series of studies in some strategic issues related to these areas.

The reality of power in Ethiopia has been identified as one such strategic issue. Therefore, a comprehensive analysis will be done of political, economic and social power structures and power relations in the Ethiopian society - as they manifest themselves in both formal and informal decision-making at different levels of the society.

Purpose of the Assignment

The purpose of the study:

The study will provide Sida's different divisions and the Swedish Embassy in Ethiopia with a basis for deeper understanding of the formal and real political, economic and social power structures and power relations in the Ethiopian society as well as their implications for poverty reduction and development in Ethiopia. The study will also help Sida to identify different processes and initiatives in the Ethiopian society, which may contribute to poverty reduction, democratisation, and economic development in the country.

The Assignment (issues to be covered in the study)

The study should describe and analyse the present political, social and economic power structures, power relations and mechanisms for exercise of power in the present Ethiopian society. The study should identify and capture the dynamics of the present situation through analysis of the role and the agenda of strategic actors (agents for change, agents against change), structures, initiatives and processes in the Ethiopian society.

Are there initiatives or processes, which promote democratisation, human rights, gender equality and economic development? Are there actors, processes and initiatives for the strengthening of poor people’s (women, men, girls and boys) participation and influence in decision-making as well as access to the social services and productive resources? Identify key areas of progress. The study should also outline a few possible scenarios for coming 5-10 years regarding the key actors and developments in power relations in the Ethiopian society.

The following issues should especially be covered:

A The state institutions

Carry out an analysis of the political institutions of central importance for democracy such as the constitution, the executive, the legislative, the judiciary and the public administration at national, regional and local level, including the village and the community level.
The formal versus de facto mandates and power relations between the different institutions and between the central, regional and local levels of government should be discussed. The degree of decentralisation, transparency and accountability should be assessed. Whose interests are the different institutions promoting? Analyse also the institutions' compliance with or lack of respect for the principle of rule of law. Does the state violate people’s human rights, e.g. civil and political rights, the human rights of women and children? Is there corruption? Are there effective systems for control of and sanctions against abuse of power and violation of human rights?

B The political party system

Describe and analyse the structure and the power relations within the party system, both the ruling party coalition and the opposition. What are the agenda and the power base of the different parties? How are women represented? How are the parties financed? Do the opposition parties have any armed groups? If so, what role do they play in the exercise of power in Ethiopia?

C The links between the state and the ruling party coalition

Is there a separation of the ruling party organs and the constitutional state organs? Assess/analyse to what degree the state organs are a means for the ruling party coalition (EPRDF) to maintain or increase its dominance? What are the mechanisms - for example the role of the military and the security police, taxation and government budget allocations, land user rights, media as tools of control and dominance?

D Village and community level

Describe and analyse how power is exercised at the community and the village levels. Discuss the role and status of the state organs - elected political bodies, public administration, the judiciary and the police - and the formal law versus existing traditional structures, traditional leaders, family and the customary law. What is the role of the private sector, religious institutions, community based NGOs or other influential groups. What possibilities do the women have to influence the decision making and resource allocation to promote their interests?

E The democratic culture

The important aspects here to be studied are to what extent pluralism, tolerance, dialogue, consultation and public confidence in the state characterises political actors as well as ordinary people in Ethiopia. Do people see themselves as citizens? What is the role and status of women, young people, children and disabled? To what extent does the state respect, promote, fulfill and protect human rights?

F Private sector

Analyse trends in ownership of assets (land, other fixed assets, enterprises of different sizes, etc). What is the share of commercial ventures owned by the ruling party and its members? Analyse the transfer of ownership of state owned enterprises in favour of the ruling party and its members. What other mechanisms are used by these groups to exert control of the business sector (e.g. control of management of state owned enterprises, control of credit allocation of state owned banks, control of licenses and concessions for new commercial ventures and control of government procurement procedures). To what extent does the ruling party and its members interfere in the establishment and operation of "genuinely" private ventures (foreign joint ventures, large enterprises, SMEs and informal enterprises)?

G The role and the structure of the civil society

The civil society at the national, regional and local levels – media, religious groups, NGOs, CBOs (community based organisations), trade unions, business associations, intellectuals, etc. Who are the key actors and what are their mandates and agendas? Who are these organisations representing? Are they ethnically based? Are they agents of change for reduction of poverty, democratisation and promotion of human rights and gender equality? What are the possibilities for and obstacles to development of a stronger and more dynamic civil society?

H The participation of the poor
Identification of the power relations at all levels of society that have an effect on poor people’s chances of participating in - being represented and influencing - the decision-making and the resolution of conflicts of interest that affect their efforts to improve the quality of their lives.

Are some groups (based on gender, age, ethnicity, rural, urban, religion or other reason) systematically favoured or discriminated against? By whom? What mechanisms are used? What are the consequences for the different discriminated groups? Are there serious risks that the discrimination or the favouring is going to lead to escalating violence or armed conflicts between the different ethnic and other groups in Ethiopia?

I The donors’ role

The donors' roles as actors and power factors in Ethiopia and in the international system should also be taken into consideration. Are the donors promoting transparency, accountability and participation in the decision making and implementation of development cooperation programmes? How do donors administrative limitations and demands for efficiency affect decision-making and representative functions in Ethiopia?

Methodology, Consultant(s) and Time Schedule

The study should mainly be carried out as a desk study combined with interviews provided that the central issues of the study are covered by recent research. The need for fieldwork should be discussed with the consultant(s) before the initiation of the study.

The consultant(s) should have broad and deep knowledge of the political, economic and social developments in Ethiopia. In addition, the consultant(s) should recently have carried out research in Ethiopia on the central themes of the assignment under 4. The study should, preferably, be done by two consultants, a political scientist and a social anthropologist. The consultants should have documented skills in gender approach in their areas of expertise.

The study should be carried out in March- April, 2001. The time required for the study should not exceed six weeks.

Reporting

The draft report, written in English, shall be submitted to Sida electronically and in two hardcopies no later than 4 May 2002 by the consultant(s).

The consultant(s) should present and discuss the findings of the study on a seminar arranged by Sida Headquarters or the Embassy of Sweden in Ethiopia in early May.

Within two weeks after receiving Sida’s comments on the draft report, a final version shall be submitted to Sida, again electronically and in two hardcopies. The study report must be presented in a way that enables publication without further editing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ministries</th>
<th>Ministers</th>
<th>Accountable Executive Bodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prime Minister’s Office</td>
<td>Meles Zenawi,  TPLF</td>
<td>Ministry of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopian Science and Technology Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal Civil Service Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopian Management Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopian Civil Service College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Justice and Legal System Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Building</td>
<td>Tefera Walea, ANDM</td>
<td>Federal Police Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vice ministers:</td>
<td>Federal Prisons Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teklehaiman, H/Sellasse</td>
<td>National Urban Planning Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr Tebhuon Yizengaw</td>
<td>Addis Ababa City Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dereje Terefe</td>
<td>Dire Doma City Administrative Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Affairs</td>
<td>Abhay Tesfaye TPLF</td>
<td>Federal Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ministers d’etat:</td>
<td>Ethiopian Science and Technology Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gebreabr Barnabas TPLF</td>
<td>Ethiopian Science and Technology Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Berhanu Tamirat, ANDM</td>
<td>Ethiopian Science and Technology Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Revenues</td>
<td>Getachew Belay</td>
<td>Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/M Tezera Wedajo</td>
<td>Central Statistics Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopian Mapping Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance &amp; Economic Developm’t</td>
<td>Sofian Ahmed, HNL</td>
<td>National Population Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ministers d’etat:</td>
<td>Office for sale of government-owned houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mekonnen Manyazewal</td>
<td>Ethiopian Roads Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr Mula Ketela</td>
<td>Ethiopian Roads Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Getachew Gebre</td>
<td>Ethiopian Roads Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>Seryoum Mesfin</td>
<td>Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/M Dr. Tekeda Alemu</td>
<td>Ethiopian Broadcasting Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mass Media Training Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Kebede Tadese, ANDM</td>
<td>Infrastructures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/M Demisse Tadese</td>
<td>Ethiopian Roads Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopian Roads Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>National Roads Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>Bereket Simon, ANDM</td>
<td>Ethiopian Roads Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minister d’etat:</td>
<td>Ethiopian Roads Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Netsanet Asfaw</td>
<td>Ethiopian Roads Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructures</td>
<td>Kastu Yilata, SEPDM</td>
<td>Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haile Asegide</td>
<td>Labour &amp; Social Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filippos W/Mariam</td>
<td>Rural Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woreda Wold Wolde</td>
<td>Rural Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice</td>
<td>Herka Haroye</td>
<td>Trade and Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/M: Ali Suleyman</td>
<td>Ethiopian Investment Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopian Investment Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopian Investment Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour &amp; Social Affairs</td>
<td>Hassen Abdella</td>
<td>Water Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/Ms:</td>
<td>Youth, Sport &amp; Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bezrav Gashaw Tenna</td>
<td>National Archives and Libraries Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tesfaye Sodano</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>Amb Mohammed Dirir</td>
<td>Youth, Sport &amp; Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/M Senkneh Eijigu</td>
<td>National Archives and Libraries Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Defence</td>
<td>Ahashula Gemeda, OPDO</td>
<td>National Archives and Libraries Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hailemedekot Tekleorgis</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Development</td>
<td>Addisu Legesse, ANDM</td>
<td>National Archives and Libraries Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mulatu Teshome</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vice ministers:</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ato Belay Edijigu</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ato Gebre-Medhin Belay</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and Industry</td>
<td>Girma Biru, OPDO</td>
<td>Youth, Sport &amp; Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ministers d’etat:</td>
<td>National Archives and Libraries Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fantaye Beti</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ato Tadesse Haile</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Resources</td>
<td>Shiferew Jarso</td>
<td>Youth, Sport &amp; Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/M: Mesfin Tegegne</td>
<td>National Archives and Libraries Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth, Sport &amp; Culture</td>
<td>Amb Teshome Toyga</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V/M: Melaku Petros</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gifty Ahastiya-</td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional State Executives for the four EPRDF-controlled States

Accurate at end 2001: note that a number of the officials listed have been replaced during the course of 2002.

SNNP NRS

Ato Hailemariam Dessalegn - Executive Head
Ato Meles Marimo - Deputy Executive Head and Head of the Justice and Administrative Affairs Coordination Bureau
Ato Tsegaye Mamo - Head of the Capacity Building Coordination Bureau
Ato Ake Adena - Head of Rural Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Darota Dojamo - Head of the Infrastructural Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Getachew Hamesa - Head of Finance and Economic Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Amanuel Ottoro - Head of Information Bureau
Ato Filimon Hadaro - Head of Peoples Mobilization Bureau
Ato Tekuria Haile - Head of Trade and Industry Coordination Office
W/o Adanech Dilnessahu - Head of Women's Affairs Bureau
Ato Bekele Haile - Head of Culture, Youth and Sports Bureau
Ato Melese Argaw - Head of Agriculture and Natural Resources Bureau
Dr. Sheferraw Telemariam - Head of the Health Bureau
Ato Gebrekristos Nuriye - Head of Education Bureau

Amhara NRS

Ato Yosef Retta - Head of the Regional State Administration
Ato Tadeesse Kassa - Deputy Head of the Regional State Administration and Head of the Capacity Building Coordination Bureau
Ato Meles Tilahun - Head of Rural Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Demeke Mekonnen - Head of the Administrative and Security Affairs Coordination Bureau
Ato Ahmed Abtew - Head of the Trade, Industry and Urban Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Ayalew Gobeze - Head of Finance and Economic Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Tesemma Gebre-Hiwot - Head of Public Mobilization Affairs Coordination Bureau
Ato Abebe Ayenew - Head of Water and Mine Resources Development Bureau
Ato Hilawe Yosef - Head of the Information Bureau
Ato Yalew Abate - Head of Youth, Culture and Sports Bureau
Ato Birhanu Hilalu - Head of the Justice Bureau
Ato Ali Mohammed - Head of the Justice Bureau
W/zt Zenebu Taddesse - Head of the Labour and Social Affairs Bureau
Ato Dereje Biruk - Head of the Agriculture Bureau
Ato Yosef Anteneh - Head of the Education Bureau

Tigray NRS

Ato Tsegai Berhe - Head of the Regional State
Ato Arkebe Ouibay - Deputy Head of the Regional State and Head of the Trade, Industry and Urban Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Abay Woldu - Head of the Rural Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Abadi Zemo - Head of the Capacity Building Coordination Bureau
Ato Tewodros Hagos - Head of Culture and Information Coordination Bureau
W/o Tifiku Kidane/Mariam - Head of Justice and Administrative Affairs Coordination Bureau
Ato Gobeze W/aregi - Head of Peoples Mobilization Coordination Bureau
Ato Mekonnen Abraha - Head of Finance and Economic Development Coordination Bureau
Dr. Tewodros Adhanom - Head of Health Bureau
Ato Getahun Kassa - Head of Justice Bureau
Dr. Adhana Haile - Head of Education Bureau
Ato Tesfaye Hagos - Head of Agriculture Bureau

Oromia NRS

Ato Juneidi Sado - Head of the Regional State
Dr Mohammed Aliy - Deputy-Head of the Regional State and Head of the Social Mobilisation Bureau
Ato Alemayehu Irena - Head of the Trade, Industry and Urban Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Abu Yadeta - Head of the Rural Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Meles Deessa - Head of the Capacity Building Coordination Bureau
Ato Suleiman Dedefo - Head of Culture and Information Coordination Bureau
Ato Workineh Gebeleyahu - Head of Justice and Administrative Affairs Coordination Bureau
Ato Retta Bedada - Head of finance and Economic Development Coordination Bureau
Ato Assela Gemmeda - Head of Health Bureau
Ato Degefie Bula - Head of Justice Bureau
Ato Mesfin Abebe - Head of Education Bureau
Dr Mohammed Hassen - Head of Agriculture Bureau
Ato Alemayehu Tegenu – Head of the Water Resources Bureau
Ato Mohammed Nur Abbachebssa – Head of the Natural Resources Bureau
Background information on the EPRDF parties
By Kjetil Tronvoll

TPLF

• The 7 founders of TPLF with their “field name” in brackets. (Note that many of them has retained their fieldname as personal name after the struggle ended.): Fantahun Zeratsion (“Ghiday”); Zeru Gessess (“Aggazi”); Alemseged Mengesha (“Hailu”); Mulugeta Hagos (“Asfeha”); Amaha Tsehaye (“Abbay”); Ambaye Mesfin (“Seyoum”); Aregawi Berhe (“Berihu”). Gessessw Ayele (“Suhul”) is also considered to be a founding member, although for security reasons, he could not participate at the founding meeting.

• Of the founding members of the organisation, only Seyoum Mesfin and Abbay Tsehaye remains in the leadership of today. “Hailu” left in 1977 during an internal crisis called hinfishfish; Aregawi, “Ghidey” and Mulugeta, left in 1988 as a consequence of the power-struggle with “Meles” Zenawi. Aregawi is currently residing in Netherlands and Ghidey and Mulugeta are residing in Norway. Suhul and Aggazi are martyred, in 1976 and 77 respectively.

• The current two most prominent leaders of the TPLF, Meles Zenawi and Sebhat Nega, joined the organisation later in 1975.

• The first chairman of TPLF was Suhul, then Aregawi took over the position in 1976. At the second congress in 1979, Sebhat was elected chairman, until Meles, who still holds the position, replaced him in 1989.

• Executive Committee of TPLF: Meles Zenawi (chairman), Seyoum Mesfin (vice-chairman), Sebhat Nega; Arkabe Ekubai, Abadi Zemo, Tsegai Berhe, Abbay Woldu, Tewodros Hagos, Mulugeta Alemseged.

ANDM

• The fist chairman of ANDM was the recognised military commander Tamrat Layne, who became Prime Minister during the transitional government of Ethiopia (TGE), and subsequent deputy-prime minister and minister of defense after the 1995 election. He was later discharged by Meles Zenawi and arrested, accused of corruption.

• The Executive Council of ANDM is composed of: Addisu Legesse (chairman), Bereket Semeon (vice chairman), Meles Tilahun, Tadesse Kassa, Teferra Walwa, Hilawe Yoseph, Dawit Yohannes, Yoseph Reta, Kebede Tadesse.

OPDO

• The Executive Council of OPDO is composed of: Abdaula Gemeda (chairman), Girma Birru (vice-chairman), Muktar Kedir, Juneydi Sado, Sufian Ahimed, Shiferaw Jarso, Alemayehu Atomssa, Mulatu Teshome, Ali Abdo

SEPDF

• The Executive Council of SEPDF is composed of: Dr Kasu Yilala (chairman), Hailemariam Dessalegn (vice-chairman), Tsegaye Mammo, Meles Marimo, Akie Hidana, Mekuria Haile, Darota Dojamo, and Amanuel Otorro
### Political parties/seats in House of Peoples’ Representatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Party</th>
<th>Number of Seats in the HPR</th>
<th>Chairperson of Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oromo Peoples’ Democratic Organization</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>Abdaula Gemeda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amhara Nation Democratic Movement</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>Addisu Legssse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ethiopia Peoples’ Democratic Front</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Dr. Kassu Yilala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigray Peoples’ Liberation Front</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Meles Zenawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Total Seats Occupied by EPRDF)</strong></td>
<td><strong>481</strong> <em>(or 88%)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afar National Democratic Party</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benishangul-Gumuz Peoples’ Democratic United Party</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mulualem Besse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambela Peoples’ Democratic Front</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council of Alternative Forces for Peace and Democracy in Ethiopia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dr. Beyene Petros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ethiopia Peoples’ Democratic Coalition</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dr. Beyene Petros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadiya National Democratic Organization</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dr. Beyene Petros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oromo Liberation United Front</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ahmed Abdelmejid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopian Democratic Party</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dr. Admasou Gebeyehu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Amhara Peoples’ Organization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hailu Shavel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oromo National Congress</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dr. Merera Gudina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidama Hadicho Peoples’ Dem. Organization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silte Peoples’ Democratic United Party</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Redwan Hussen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harari National League</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private (independent) MPs</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Member of Parliament in the HPR</strong></td>
<td><strong>547</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Parties Registered at the National Electoral Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Afar Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Oromo National Congress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Somali Peoples Liberation Front Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. United Oromo Peoples Liberation Front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gambella Peoples Democratic Unity Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Western Somali Democratic Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Harer National League</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. All Amhara Peoples Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Oromo Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Gamo Democratic Unity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Afar National Liberation Front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Amhara Peoples Democratic Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Zai Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Ethiopian Peace and Democratic Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Southern Ethiopia Peoples Democratic Front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Gambella Peoples Liberation Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Ethiopian National Democratic Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Denta, Debamo, Kitchenchla Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Selti Peoples Democratic Unity Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Kenbta Peoples Congress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Shekecho Peoples Democratic Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Kembeta Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Tembaro Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Gamo and Gofa Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Tigrayans Liberation Front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Ethiopian Peoples Revolutionary Democratic Front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Donga Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Derashe Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Yem Peoples Democratic Front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Konso Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Kore Nationality Unity Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Southern Omo Peoples Democratic Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Gurage Peoples Revolutionary Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Dawero Peoples Revolutionary Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Wolayta Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Sidama Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Hadiya Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Southern Ethiopia Peoples Democratic Unity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Basketo Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Ethiopian Somali Democratic League</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Burgi Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Agew Peoples Democratic Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Benishangul North Western Ethiopia Peoples Democratic Unity Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Ethiopian Democratic Unity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. The Joint Political Forum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Mareko Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Tigray Worgi Nationality United Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. Joint Ethiopian Nations and Nationalities Democratic Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Afar Liberation Front</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. Konta Peoples Democratic Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. Bench Peoples Revolutionary Democratic Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52. Burgi Peoples United Democratic Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53. Alaba Peoples Democratic Unity Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54. National Democratic Unity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55. Gideo Peoples Revolutionary Democratic Movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56. Kebena Nationality Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57. Zeisei Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58. Benishangul Western Ethiopia Peoples Democratic Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
59. Argoba Peoples Democratic Movement
60. Council of Alternative Forces for Peace and Democracy in Ethiopia
61. Kenhata Peoples Democratic Organization
62. Afar National Democratic Movement
63. Oida Nationality Democratic Organization
64. Ogaden National Liberation Front
65. Harari Democratic Unity Party
66. Oromo Abo Liberation Front
67. Kaffa Sheka Peoples Democratic Movement
68. Bench Maji Peoples Democratic Movement
69. Sidama Hadicho Peoples Democratic Organisation
70. Gurage Zone Nationalities Democratic Movement
71. Yem Nationality Democratic Movement
72. Gideo Peoples Democratic Organisation
An example of ethnic conflict: the Gedeo-Guji case
by Kjetil Tronvoll

The recent Gedeo–Guji conflict is an example to illustrate how ethnicity has been politicised by the new system of governance. The Gedeo people are settled agriculturists and live in the fertile, densely populated southern parts of Ethiopia within SNNP. The Guji, an agro-pastoral people, are their neighbours to the east, a lowland area which is not so densely populated. Traditionally, the Gedeo and Guji interact peacefully; they intermarry, exchange produce and cooperate in production efforts. In the border areas the people are generally bi-lingual and the habitation pattern is mixed: Gedeos live within Guji territory and vice versa. The Guji are part of the Oromo family, but the Gedeo also have elements of a gada-structure, and the two groups have similar types of traditional conflict resolution mechanisms.

In conjunction with the new administrative entities and borders, the issue of where the physical borders between the two ethnic groups should be drawn has aroused immense concern. Since agricultural land is scarce, there have been sporadic outbreaks of conflict over landed resources in this area before. Moreover, the border between the Gedeo and Guji is also the same as the border between the Oromia and SNNP regional states which infuses these borders with even greater importance. Many Gedeos live within traditional Guji territories in Hageremariam wereda, and it has been reported that political cadres from both groups utilized this situation when the border demarcation process was discussed, and fuelled the ethnic tension between the two population groups. The cadres argued on the basis of constitutional rights for an ethnic group to control their territory, but since so many Gedeos were living within Guji land this was a potential problem. When Guji cadres utilized the fear among the Guji that they might lose control over their traditional land, they thus managed to mobilize the people against the Gedeos living in their neighbourhood. During a few days of intense fighting in the summer of 1998 reportedly more than 260 Gedeos were killed, and tens of thousands were forced to flee their homesteads, resulting in an ethnic cleansing of Gedeos from Guji traditional territories. Instead of employing the traditional conflict prevention and resolution mechanisms found in Gedeo/Guji societies to overcome the growing tension between the two groups over scarce land resources, political actors in the local societies exacerbated the conflict by referring to the constitutional framework sanctioning a coherence between geographical borders and ethnic boundaries.
Ethiopia’s status in relation to international conventions

- **International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (CCPR)**
  - **Accession.** Date of entry into force: 11/09/93
  - **Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (CCPR-OP1):** No Action.
  - **Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (CCPR-OP2):** No Action.

- **International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR)**
  - **Accession.** Date of entry into force: 11/09/93

- **Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT)**
  - **Accession.** Date of entry into force: 13/04/94

- **Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)**
  - **Ratification.** Date of entry into force: 10/10/81
  - **Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW-OP):** No Action.

- **Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)**
  - **Accession.** Date of entry into force: 13/06/91

- **International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD)**
  - **Accession.** Date of entry into force: 23/07/76

- **International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (MWC)**
  - **No Action.**
**List of Ratifications of International Labour Conventions (ILO)**

Ethiopia member since 1923. 19 Conventions ratified (18 in force).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convention</th>
<th>Date of entry into force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. 2</td>
<td>11.06.1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 11</td>
<td>04.06.1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 14</td>
<td>28.01.1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 80</td>
<td>23.07.1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 87</td>
<td>04.06.1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 88</td>
<td>04.06.1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 98</td>
<td>04.06.1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 100</td>
<td>24.03.1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 105</td>
<td>24.03.1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 106</td>
<td>28.01.1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 111</td>
<td>11.06.1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 116</td>
<td>11.06.1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 138</td>
<td>27.05.1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 155</td>
<td>28.01.1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 156</td>
<td>28.01.1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 158</td>
<td>28.01.1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 159</td>
<td>28.01.1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. 181</td>
<td>24.03.1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Article 35 of the FDRE Constitution: rights of Women

1. Women shall, in the enjoyment of rights and protections provided for by this Constitution, have equal right with men.

2. Women have equal rights with men in marriage as prescribed by this Constitution.

3. The historical legacy of inequality and discrimination suffered by women in Ethiopia taken into account, women, in order to remedy this legacy, are entitled to affirmative measures. The purpose of such measures shall be to provide special attention to women so as to enable them to compete and participate on the basis of equality with men in political, social and economic life as well as in public and private institutions.

4. The State shall enforce the right of women to eliminate the influences of harmful customs. Laws, customs and practices that oppress or cause bodily or mental harm to women are prohibited.

5a. Women have the right to maternity leave with full pay. The duration of maternity leave shall be determined by law taking into account the nature of the work, the health of the mother and the well-being of the child and family.

5b. Maternity leave may, in accordance with the provisions of law, include prenatal leave with full pay.

6. Women have the right to full consultation in the formulation of national development policies, the designing and execution of projects, and particularly in the case of projects affecting the interests of women.

7. Women have the right to acquire, administer, control, use and transfer property. In particular, they have equal rights with men with respect to use, transfer, administration and control of land. They shall also enjoy equal treatment in the inheritance of property.

8. Women shall have a right to equality in employment, promotion, pay, and the transfer of pension entitlements.

9. To prevent harm arising from pregnancy and childbirth and in order to safeguard their health, women have the right of access to family planning education, information and capacity.
Halving poverty by 2015 is one of the greatest challenges of our time, requiring cooperation and sustainability. The partner countries are responsible for their own development. Sida provides resources and develops knowledge and expertise, making the world a richer place.